

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

No. 06-112C

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

(Filed July 18, 2007)

JACK STRUBEL,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendant.

ORDER

The Court has reviewed the government's motion for an enlargement of time in which to respond to the complaint. Although the government indicated in the motion that plaintiff took no position concerning the motion, because plaintiff is acting pro se, chambers contacted him and ascertained that he opposes the government's motion. On July 18, 2007, the Clerk received a document from plaintiff which states, among other things, "I don't believe they need more time." The Court construes this statement by plaintiff to be his opposition to the government's motion, and directs the Clerk to **FILE** plaintiff's document as such. Plaintiff is once again reminded to provide an original and two copies of each document submitted for filing, as required by the rules of the Court.

Given the confusing nature of plaintiff's pleadings, and that the Court, as an accommodation to the plaintiff, is allowing the transcript from the May 14, 2007 hearing to be treated as his second amended complaint, *see* Order of May 15, 2007, defendant's request for an enlargement of time is reasonable. During the hearing, the Court indicated a willingness to allow the defendant an enlargement of time in which to respond to the second amended complaint. Transcript of May 14, 2007 at 42. Accordingly, the government's motion is **GRANTED**. Defendant shall file its response to the second amended complaint on or before **August 31, 2007**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

VICTOR J. WOLSKI

Judge