In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 10-407 V Filed: April 9, 2012 Not for Publication

MILLMAN, Special Master

Glenn A. MacLeod, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On April 9, 2012, the parties filed a stipulation of fact in which they resolved the appropriate amount for attorneys' fees and costs in this case.

In accordance with the General Order #9 requirement, petitioner states that he did not incur any costs to pursue his petition.

Petitioner initially submitted a draft application for attorneys' fees and costs to respondent. During informal discussions, respondent raised objections to certain items. Petitioner amended

Daggues this unmuhli

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master's action in this case, the special master intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims's website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall redact such material from public access.

his application and now requests \$34,000.00 in attorneys' fees and costs to which respondent does not object. The undersigned finds this amount to be reasonable. Accordingly, the court awards \$34,000.00, representing reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs. The award shall be in the form of a check made payable jointly to petitioner and Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, in the amount of \$34,000.00.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 9, 2012

<u>s/ Laura D. Millman</u>Laura D. MillmanSpecial Master

 $^{^2}$ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.