

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

IN RE: CLAIMS FOR VACCINE)
INJURIES RESULTING IN AUTISM)
SPECTRUM DISORDER, OR A)
SIMILAR NEURODEVELOPMENTAL)
DISORDER,)

FRED AND MYLINDA KING,)
PARENTS OF JORDAN KING,)
A MINOR,)

Petitioners,)

v.)
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND)
HUMAN SERVICES,)

Respondent.)

Docket No.: 03-584V

GEORGE AND VICTORIA MEAD,)
PARENTS OF WILLIAM P. MEAD,)
A MINOR,)

Petitioners,)

v.)
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND)
HUMAN SERVICES,)

Respondent.)

Docket No.: 03-215V

Courtroom 402
National Courts Building
717 Madison Place NW
Washington, D.C.

Wednesday,
May 21, 2008

The parties met, pursuant to notice of the
Court, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE: HONORABLE PATRICIA E. CAMPBELL-SMITH
HONORABLE GEORGE L. HASTINGS, JR.
HONORABLE DENISE VOWELL
Special Masters

APPEARANCES:

For the Petitioners:

THOMAS B. POWERS, Esquire
MICHAEL L. WILLIAMS, Esquire
Williams Love O'Leary & Powers, P.C.
9755 S.W. Barnes Road, Suite 450
Portland, Oregon 97225-6681
(503) 295-2924

For the Respondent:

VINCE MATANOSKI, Esquire
KATHERINE C. ESPOSITO, Esquire
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Division
Torts Branch
Ben Franklin Station
P.O. Box 146
Washington, D.C. 22044-0146
(202) 514-9729

2348

C O N T E N T S

<u>WITNESSES:</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>	<u>VOIR DIRE</u>
<u>For the Respondent:</u>					
Robert S. Rust	2351	2515	2592	2610	--
	2505	--	--	--	--

E X H I B I T S

RESPONDENT'S

<u>EXHIBITS:</u>	<u>IDENTIFIED</u>	<u>RECEIVED</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
8	2356	--	Robert S. Rust Slide Presentation

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

(10:00 a.m.)

SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: We are back on the record for another day of hearing in the second theory of the omnibus autism proceedings to continue with Respondent's presentation of Respondent's case.

I understand from counsel that there are no preliminary matters to address this morning.

MR. POWERS: That's correct, Special Master.

MR. MATANOSKI: That's correct.

SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Mr. Matanoski, call your next witness.

MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you. At this time we call Robert Rust.

SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Good morning, Dr. Rust.

And who's going to conduct?

MR. MATANOSKI: Ms. Esposito will be.

SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. Dr. Rust, would you raise your right hand, please?

Whereupon,

ROBERT S. RUST

having been duly sworn, was called as a witness and was examined and testified as follows:

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2351

1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

2 Dr. Rust, just a reminder, we're going to
3 ask you to speak up so that we can make sure that we
4 hear you across all of our microphones.

5 THE WITNESS: I'll do my best. My students
6 tell me I mumble.

7 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

8 You may proceed, counsel.

9 MS. ESPOSITO: Thank you.

10 DIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

12 Q Please state your name for the record.

13 A Dr. Robert Rust.

14 Q What is your current position, Dr. Rust?

15 A I hold the Worrell Chair in Neurology and
16 Child Neurology and Epileptology at the University of
17 Virginia where I'm the Director of Child Neurology and
18 the Co-Director of our Epilepsy and Child Neurology
19 Clinics.

20 Q Your CV is on file in both of these cases as
21 Respondent Exhibit JJ. But I'd like you to briefly
22 describe your educational background, starting with
23 college.

24 A I went to separate universities and received
25 a degree in 1970. Went to graduate school at the

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2352

1 University of Virginia, taught overseas, returned to
2 do research at the university and to go to medical
3 school there, finishing in 1981. Then did my
4 residency training in pediatrics at Yale University;
5 my training in neurology, child neurology,
6 developmental neurochemistry, neonatal neurology, at
7 Washington University in St. Louis.

8 Q Have you had any additional training beyond
9 that?

10 A Well, every day is a training experience for
11 most of us. That would be chiefly what I have.

12 Q Do you hold any Board certifications?

13 A I'm Board Certified in Pediatrics and in
14 Neurology with special qualifications in Child
15 Neurology.

16 Q Have you served on the editorial boards of
17 any journals?

18 A Yes, I have. I don't know the exact number,
19 but I think it's six or seven, something like that.

20 Q Can you list some examples of the journals
21 you've served on?

22 A The Journal Of Child Neurology; Pediatric
23 Neurology are among those; several neurochemistry
24 journals. Those would be the important ones.

25 Q Have you served as a reviewer for any

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2353

1 scientific journals?

2 A I don't know how long the list is at this
3 point, but it seems to me it must be 16 or 18
4 journals. Something like that.

5 Q That you currently serve on?

6 A When they send me a paper, I, yes.

7 Q Are you the author or co-author of any peer-
8 reviewed articles?

9 A Yes. I believe it's about 50 or 51 at this
10 point.

11 Q Can you name some of the journals that your
12 work has appeared in?

13 A The Journal of Child Neurology; I'm going
14 blank on this point. Neurology, Green Journal, Blue
15 Journal, all of our neurology journals I think are the
16 major ones that I have papers in, reviews in
17 neurology. A number of different journals.

18 Q Have you also written any book chapters?

19 A Yes, chapters and reviews I think number at
20 this point a little over 50.

21 Q Can you please describe your current
22 responsibilities at the University of Virginia?

23 A Well, as I mentioned, I run the Child
24 Neurology Division so I'm responsible for running our
25 training program in child neurology as well as our

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2354

1 clinical programs, caring for children. I'm co-
2 director of our clinical programs in child neurology
3 and epilepsy, so running our out-patient division as
4 well as our in-patient division in Child Neurology. I
5 have a fair number of responsibilities as far as
6 education, things outside of neurology, including
7 pediatrics, developmental pediatrics, psychiatry and
8 those would be the important ones.

9 Q Do you conduct any research?

10 A Yes. I've conducted research throughout my
11 career.

12 Q What is your primary research area or areas?

13 A The interests are pretty broad and cover a
14 considerable portion of child neurology. Autism, for
15 example, is a great interest that we have ongoing
16 projects in autism, in headache, in behavioral
17 disturbances of children and their treatment, of a
18 broad variety. Epilepsy and ataxic conditions of
19 children, degenerative conditions of children. Quite
20 a few different things that we have ongoing at this
21 point. The EEG aspects of both neonatal neurology and
22 of autism, we have an ongoing project with regard to
23 the EEG of individuals with autistic disorders.

24 Q And do you also have a clinical component to
25 your work at the University of Virginia?

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2355

1 A Quite considerable clinical component. That
2 includes both my own practice at the university as
3 well as the clinics that I run for our residents.
4 Again, that's residents in neurology, pediatrics,
5 developmental pediatrics, and psychiatry, all rotate
6 through my clinics.

7 We have outreach clinics as well in
8 Southwest Virginia for the medically underserved, and
9 that's both children and adults that we care for in
10 those clinics.

11 Q Do you diagnose children with autism?

12 A Yes, I certainly do.

13 Q Approximately how many times have you
14 diagnosed a child with autism in your career?

15 A I can't give you an exact number, but I'm
16 sure that it's many hundreds.

17 Q Today, approximately how many children would
18 you say you are currently treating? Children with
19 autism?

20 A I don't know the answer to that with any
21 accuracy. I suspect it's somewhere between 80 and
22 100, something like that. There may be a few more.
23 Some patients I see infrequently, patients that I've
24 seen at other institutions than my current one.
25 Patients sometimes will come a distance to see you, so

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2356

1 I have I think a fairly large number.

2 Q Do you speak in the field of child
3 neurology?

4 A Yes, I do.

5 Q Are you going somewhere tomorrow to do that?

6 A Tomorrow I'll be flying to Japan for the
7 60th meeting of the Japanese Child Neurology Society
8 and to be a Visiting Professor.

9 Q Dr. Rust, do you have an opinion as to
10 whether the Thimerosal in vaccines causes autism or
11 autism spectrum disorders?

12 A Yes, I do.

13 Q What is that opinion?

14 A I don't think it has anything to do with
15 these disorders.

16 Q At this time I'd like to go through your
17 PowerPoint exhibit. This is going to be Respondent
18 Trial Exhibit #8. We've got copies.

19 (The document referred to was
20 identified as Respondent's
21 Trial Exhibit 8.)

22 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Just a
23 reminder both to counsel and to Dr. Rust, when you
24 begin to refer to the slides, if you would indicate by
25 number the slide to which you're referring.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2357

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, Special Master. I'll try
2 to do that.

3 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

4 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

5 Q Dr. Rust, we're going to move to Slide 2 of
6 your PowerPoint where you define autism.

7 A The definition of autism has changed
8 considerably over the last 80 to 90 years, an interval
9 during which we've understood that there is a separate
10 class of disorders with some unifying features that
11 are important unifying features and these are the
12 things that we call pervasive developmental
13 disturbances that the Court has heard a great deal
14 about, and it certainly at this point knows a great
15 deal about.

16 The interesting things about autism are
17 many, including the fact that these criteria have
18 become increasingly refined. This has been very
19 important to us in terms of several different things.
20 One is understanding how prevalent the condition is,
21 which has changed as we revise criteria. Another
22 thing is as we refine our understanding of the
23 condition in terms of its clinical manifestations,
24 it's one of the most important ways in which we can
25 come to some understanding as to what its causes are.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2358

1 And then equally importantly, understanding what its
2 clinical course is.

3 We haven't fully understood this and perhaps
4 don't to this day fully understand what goes on with
5 children with pervasive developmental disturbances,
6 but they're not static conditions nor is life. And
7 the individuals with pervasive developmental
8 disturbances grow and develop as all the rest of us do
9 and we need to sort out the aspects of that
10 development that are normal to the aspects of that
11 development and those that are not, and especially
12 those that cause an individual and the family of that
13 individual to have the considerable difficulties that
14 can arise in the setting of pervasive developmental
15 disturbance.

16 It's very important that this is an age-
17 dependant syndrome. It tends to arise at a given age
18 and to have then an ensuing development that we're
19 increasingly defining. This has helped us to
20 understand a good deal about when the condition arises
21 and what the approximate causes may be, and also to
22 understand what type of a disease it is. So these
23 diseases fit into what we call systems diseases, and
24 we've got several different kinds of systems diseases
25 but the ones we're referring to here are the ones that

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2359

1 cause a change in development with deterioration of
2 function. These can happen in various ages in life
3 and these tend to happen very early in life. We have
4 other diseases that can come on at other ages that
5 also involve what we call systems.

6 So this is not an issue of brain injury from
7 trauma, it's not an issue of toxic injury to brain,
8 it's an issue of how a system that's determined
9 genetically doesn't develop properly and this can
10 happen --

11 Has this gone away again? Maybe I should
12 use this one, I don't know.

13 (Speaking into a different microphone).

14 As we increasingly understand how the brain
15 develops, which is another thing that we haven't known
16 as much about in the past as we know currently, the
17 diseases where something's gone wrong in terms of
18 development help us to understand what normal
19 development is all about. We're coming to understand
20 that in normal individuals brain development takes
21 places over at least three and possibly four decades.
22 At these various stages, genetic signals turn on and
23 turn off in normal individuals, going through stages
24 that may be more or less functional. Adolescence is
25 one of those phases where important things happen for

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2360

1 people, but some of them are dysfunctional, as we all
2 know as parents. Yet that's part of normal
3 development.

4 But at each of these stages what's happened
5 is that brain systems are being replaced. So we can
6 see degenerations occurring at any of these various
7 stages and we're defining more and more of them. In
8 some of our degenerative diseases we see stages at
9 which an additional developmental deterioration may
10 take place which has something to do in these
11 instances with a genetic signal that's meant to speak
12 to each of these successive phases of development.
13 This is a very important area of what we understand
14 about pervasive developmental disturbances.

15 We presume that the substrate for these
16 conditions is neurobiological and it has to do, as I
17 say, with signals, these complex signals that help us
18 to develop our brains in a most beautiful and complex
19 way that sometimes goes wrong.

20 So we need additional refinement of our
21 definitions. We continue to do this as I'll emphasize
22 in some of my slides.

23 This is a question of time spent more than
24 anything else, I think. When my career started, when
25 we saw the occasional patient that we diagnosed autism

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2361

1 in, we went to see that patient because we thought
2 this was a rare condition and because we defined it so
3 narrowly and because we asked so few questions.

4 Really every successive year in my career, since I see
5 a great many patients with these disturbances, the
6 number of questions that I ask gets longer and longer.

7 With this we begin to understand more about
8 what defines these diseases and what the
9 characteristics are, and it allows us to understand
10 the successive phases of disease development. We
11 can't do this without spending time, and we used to
12 not do this. And the time spent, of course, as the
13 other very important aspects of allowing us to help
14 the families of individuals that have these conditions
15 and explain what we understand.

16 Early in my career we oftentimes provided a
17 definition for something we couldn't treat and then
18 felt very uncomfortable with the fact that we didn't
19 have a treatment. Those patients would return for
20 follow-up, wondered what we were doing.

21 I've come to understand, again with time
22 spent, that there's continual alleviation of senses of
23 guilt; continual explanation to take place; and
24 continual refinement of our understanding of what the
25 successive phases in these conditions do for families

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2362

1 and what tolls they take.

2 As well, questions come up to us with these
3 conditions that the families oftentimes don't ask
4 unless we wait and spend time with them. They often
5 involve things like genetic counseling, which needs to
6 be readdressed and readdressed with these conditions.

7 It allows us, as well, to define individual
8 sub-syndromes so that we can come to a better
9 understanding of what really causes these things.

10 So this is defined by a triad of deficits.

11 I can go to the next one, if you don't mind.

12 Q Right. On Slide 3 now, you have the three
13 areas I think most of us are familiar with, but can
14 you briefly touch on what those are?

15 A This is one of the most important and early
16 recognized things was that this is a disorder of
17 verbal and non-verbal language development.

18 The onset of language is something we've
19 only come to understand carefully over the last 15 to
20 20 years with the work of Prechtl and other people
21 that have done ultrasonography in children in the womb
22 and have identified the fact that our gestural
23 language comes on before we're born and stays with us
24 throughout life.

25 Differences that may be observed in

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2363

1 individuals that have pervasive developmental
2 disturbances because it's this gestural language that
3 tends not to develop, and that's the earliest part of
4 our language development. So pointing being a very
5 important aspect of our recognition of autism.

6 Oftentimes we begin to define the disease as
7 children don't develop the language that should come
8 on in the second half of the second year of life. But
9 as we go back and wonder about gestural language, we
10 find that so frequently children that seem to have had
11 the onset of their disease at the end of the second
12 year of life have in fact lacked the gestural
13 component of language from very early on.

14 So this is a system that's involved in
15 language, and it's very widespread in the nervous
16 system, and it lateralizes from one side typically so
17 that we specialize in one hemisphere.

18 And both with language and as well visual
19 aspects of autism. One of the things we're coming to
20 understand is this lateralization which should occur
21 very early doesn't take place. So that understanding
22 not only using our own gestures, but understanding
23 both the gestures and the facial expressions of other
24 people is something that is a primary aspect of
25 another kind of communication, understanding what

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2364

1 other people are trying to tell us by their facial
2 expressions.

3 There is increasing understanding that this
4 occurs because of a lack of lateralization of these
5 systems, the lack of subspecialization which should
6 take place in the first and early second years of
7 life.

8 Q And here you're talking about the disturbed
9 social interaction, the second --

10 A We're talking about everything including not
11 only interpretation, so the interpretation of both the
12 gestural or the visual or the facial language of
13 others, but we're talking about people with autistic
14 disorders having some difficulty in providing the same
15 kind of facial expressiveness as gestural
16 expressiveness is lacking as well.

17 This plays a terribly important role in
18 social interaction and social integration of
19 individuals with disorders that involve autistic
20 features and is an isolating aspect of this that has
21 social consequences that we don't fully understand.
22 Because another aspect of these diseases has been, and
23 this is something that took 30 years of being
24 interested in these diseases for me to come to
25 appreciate at this point, but we've tended to come to

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2365

1 conclusions about what's going on in the minds of
2 individuals with autistic disorders and we actually
3 don't always really know what's going on.

4 So some of the interpretations that we
5 provide about why people do particular things with
6 autistic disorders are probably entirely
7 unsatisfactory. We need to come to understand these
8 things better. This includes interpreting features of
9 a person's performances. Anxiety for example.
10 Because it draws our attention sometimes when an
11 individual seems to be more active than others, and we
12 don't pay as much attention during those long
13 intervals when individuals are not so active, or more
14 withdrawn.

15 But we can't ask the questions that are
16 important here to understand these things well. So
17 we've made the mistake over a long interval of time of
18 assigning from our own perspective things that are
19 probably not true about autistic individuals. We're
20 perhaps getting better about this over time.

21 Q Let's move to the third area on your slide.

22 A The third area is restricted imaginative and
23 behavioral repertoire. We interpret this with regard
24 to childhood play where activities of childhood play
25 oftentimes seem to us very restricted as compared to

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2366

1 other children.

2 Again, we can't pass a judgment as to whose
3 world is better. We just know that most of us are in
4 a different world. The behaviors get interpreted as
5 representing things that they don't necessarily
6 represent such as mental retardation. But a child we
7 consider normal in their play in the first year of
8 life and in the early second year might involve
9 picking up a hammer and banging with it or picking up
10 a truck and running it around the room and trying to
11 make noises. Very frequently one of the things we
12 find in our careful histories in children that have
13 had language regression in the end of the second year
14 or not developed language, either one of those are
15 possible. We find that children tend to concentrate
16 on very tiny details of those trucks or cars. They'll
17 pick them up and turn the wheel. Put it right up to
18 their eye as they do this, and watch it spin around.
19 This of course is a very different behavior.

20 One of the things we talk about or ask
21 families about in order to confirm the features of an
22 autistic disorder have actually come on much earlier
23 than the readily recognized language disturbance.

24 Repetitive behaviors are part of this as
25 well. But again, this is something we're beginning to

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2367

1 understand in a broader context because we know that
2 people that are otherwise normal have repetitive
3 behaviors and we need to try to understand the context
4 in those individuals as well as in individuals with
5 autistic disorder.

6 Q Let's move now to Slide 4. Can you explain
7 what this is?

8 A What this is a representation of is the
9 manner in which the data that we have is not
10 necessarily very helpful, especially the data that
11 we've gathered in days when we didn't have very good
12 definitions and when we didn't segregate our patients
13 very carefully. So this is a common figure to
14 represent, commonly available, to represent what the
15 substrate for autism is.

16 Many presumptions are involved here, and
17 many problems with definitions. So we used to include
18 children with all kinds of autistic manifestations in
19 a general category, and we now know there are
20 symptomatic autisms that ought to have their own
21 particular category because although they have
22 features of autism they may be quite different in
23 terms of their substrate.

24 We need to leave open the possibility that
25 in fact those children will have injuries that are

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2368

1 similar to those that occur developmentally in both
2 categories -- the symptomatic patients with another
3 process than autism and those that don't have that.

4 So in the known etiology category which has
5 shrunk as we've taken patients away from this, we now
6 know that children that are born very prematurely and
7 children that likely have injuries to the cerebellum,
8 a very important area in autistic neuropathology, that
9 leads probably in the ensuring development of the
10 cerebellum to a systems problem with the connections
11 between cerebellum and brain stem. Those very
12 premature children who have autistic manifestations
13 add a clue to what goes on in autism itself.

14 So although there is this category, we've
15 tended more recently to consider in the way in which
16 we segregate out autistic disorder from most
17 symptomatic causes where we have another defining
18 characteristic. We think perhaps 10 to 15 percent
19 have an identifiable cause.

20 The importance of recognizing this as well
21 is something that as you spend more and more time with
22 the families of individuals with autism you understand
23 is a very important thing. Families are facing, as we
24 describe to the families, and as they come to
25 understand better than our description as time goes

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2369

1 on, we know that they're facing an extremely difficult
2 time in their lives. There are rewards, of course,
3 with any child, with whatever their disabilities. But
4 as with some other conditions that we treat, families
5 trying to cope with these things, not having an
6 adequate definition of why the child is having these
7 behavioral things and what has caused the guilt that
8 families often feel is something that we want to
9 alleviate.

10 So we need to understand what the actual
11 causes are, and properly define them as time goes on.
12 I can give you an example of a child with autistic
13 features, and this child had Rett syndrome which has
14 many autistic features and shares neuropathological
15 aspects of autism, very informative for us in that
16 regard, who came to me at 32 years of age and
17 represented another important feature of autism and
18 Rett syndrome, the fact that there are increasing
19 numbers of different types of these disorders.

20 The family asked what was wrong with their
21 child who could speak, and because of gestural and
22 because of visual issues in a child that could speak,
23 usually not thought to represent Rett syndrome, we
24 thought that's what was going on here.

25 We had a tussle with the insurance company

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2370

1 in order to get testing for this child, and in fact it
2 was declined. The family finally agreed to pay the
3 expense of this test, as many families of children
4 with autistic disorders agree to pay considerable
5 amounts of money for testing and treatments, many of
6 which are not useful but they want to do something for
7 their child.

8 We found this was Rett syndrome. When I
9 told this to the family and asked the insurance
10 company will you pay now? They said no. The mother
11 said it's all right. It was worth it because I always
12 thought, because I smoked a little during the
13 pregnancy, that my child had Rett syndrome.

14 So we have many instances where children
15 have the wrong proximate cause identified and guilt
16 associated with that. The more we can understand that
17 these disorders that have so characteristic a
18 developmental pathology and a systems pathology are in
19 fact genetically determined, the better.

20 Q Let's move to your next slide, Slide 5,
21 understanding complex disease.

22 A These are complex diseases. As I say, we
23 only gradually and I think with increasing velocity of
24 what we understand about them, because we know really
25 how to do these things better than we used to, get

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2371

1 better clinical descriptions. It has to be
2 exceedingly detailed. We need to set apart some
3 conditions where we can find a genetic clue, and
4 having done that can see to what extent those genetic
5 clues inform us about the rest of the autistic
6 spectrum.

7 This can only happen, as I mentioned, with
8 time spent. If I have a family that's coming to me
9 and I know in advance it's an issue of autism I see
10 them the last patient of the day, I set aside two
11 hours, and then go on as long as the family needs to
12 talk about these things because generally families
13 haven't had the opportunity to spend this much time
14 and it's important not only for the families but for
15 me and for our understanding of these diseases.

16 So we get more and more information and we
17 ask more and more questions.

18 We try to compare these diseases then to
19 similarly well described and better understood
20 conditions. Again, amongst these, one of the most
21 important is Rett syndrome which has such distinctive
22 features that share so many characteristics of autism.
23 We understand a great deal now about the cause of that
24 disturbance and how it develops over time.

25 We understand as well as we do in autism,

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2372

1 that there are set intervals during which we can see
2 additional periods of deterioration of function that
3 are determined by a genetic code problem.

4 We then develop hypotheses about these
5 conditions and then we try to design the best possible
6 sort of experiments. We design also retesting in
7 terms of getting more clinical history, and we try to
8 understand what's going on. We do careful analyses of
9 the increasingly abundant literature on these subjects
10 and then we execute the well designed scientific
11 investigations and among them, perhaps we don't live
12 in a golden age just now, but we live in a golden age
13 of science. There are so many techniques available to
14 us in which we can do experiments to actually prove
15 what may or may not be going on. There are many bad
16 experiments and observations, but we try to make those
17 better.

18 Q Doctor, when you said "we" do these
19 experiments, who do you mean by "we"?

20 A I mean the medical and scientific community.
21 There are both clinical aspects to this and basic
22 science aspects to this. I've engaged in both of
23 those things with regard to elements pertinent to what
24 we're talking about today.

25 Then we need to always have the willingness,

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2373

1 once we've done these experiments that prove or
2 disprove hypotheses. Hypotheses are a dime a dozen.
3 Anybody can make up an idea about what's going on and
4 try to string it together in ways that can be very
5 destructive. We need to do the experiment and see
6 whether we can either refine that experiment or
7 abandon that hypothesis based on those conclusions.

8 Q Let's move to Slide 6.

9 A We have the opposite way of doing these
10 things and some very good scientists have been caught
11 up in these things. Perhaps not so many people in the
12 court know the great astronomer Tycho Brahe from
13 Denmark. He made wonderful observations about
14 planetary movement. He was an important astronomer in
15 the days of Galileo and Copernicus, but he had a fixed
16 idea about the universe which was of religious
17 proportions. He thought that everything moved around
18 the earth. In trying to prove this he adjusted his
19 own observations and those of others with very
20 complicated explanations for why a particular
21 observation might be seen, mathematical observations
22 that altered orbits of planets and so forth. This is
23 what we call a preconception fallacy. Sticking with
24 something and making what becomes an increasingly
25 complicated explanation because you have just one

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2374

1 thing in mind.

2 One thing that can happen with a
3 preconception fallacy is that you might be able to
4 substitute other things into this framework as time
5 goes on, once you've got the complex framework. Now
6 Tycho Brahe stuck with the idea that the earth was at
7 the center of the universe, but we've seen many
8 examples and continue to see them where people get so
9 attached to a complicated explanation without
10 scientific validation that they can substitute one
11 thing after another into that framework. We've seen
12 that with autism, for example, with the substitution
13 of infections, of toxins, and other kinds of things.

14 But we can go back further than that and see
15 the other destructive elements of this approach
16 because in the 1950s when we really had the first
17 advances in trying to get more information, there was
18 really very little information about autism together,
19 the preconception fallacy was that autism was a result
20 of a refrigerator mother. This lasted, our
21 understanding of these things, for 15 to 20 years,
22 where as so often happens we blame the mother for so
23 many things, and mothers are so frequently willing to
24 take on blame for things if they can't find some way
25 to blame their husband.

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2375

1 But this was a very destructive thing.
2 Especially conditions that arise early in childhood,
3 including autism, but ones for whom the mother
4 wondered, as the mother of the child of the 32 year
5 old young woman with Rett syndrome, wondered whether
6 something she did during pregnancy caused this
7 problem.

8 So we've got to be very careful to test
9 these hypotheses because they have a lingering
10 negative effect on parents that want to do so much for
11 their children and want to understand what they had to
12 do with the arousal of those conditions.

13 Q There is a simplicity to it I think you
14 demonstrate in Slide 7. Let's move to that.

15 A This is one of my great teachers and a great
16 scientist with whom I hope to describe some work that
17 we did some time ago in his laboratory. But what he
18 taught me early on was, because he talked about
19 proving things by what we call P values which show how
20 repetitive an experiment might be.

21 If it's the wrong experiment, it doesn't
22 prove a thing. You have to have a good idea in the
23 first place, you have to have the best possible
24 experimental things. And what Dr. Lowry said, it's
25 not whether you can do the same experiment over and

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2376

1 over again, but Oliver Lowry, who is the most cited
2 scientist in the history of medicine and science said
3 was that in adjusting our experiments carefully to
4 what we do, we always find that we have as a result
5 something that's elegant and simple as our explanation
6 for things, and we begin to take some wonder at the
7 way in which things go right, and some further
8 understanding in the way that things go wrong.

9 He says that this is often an unexpected
10 conclusion, as has been true of our understanding of
11 the developmental aspects of Rett syndrome and our
12 increasing understanding of autism and related
13 disorders. It's satisfying because of the simplicity
14 and not because of this garrulous kind of complexity
15 that tries to prove a point that's preconceived.

16 Q We'll move now to Slide 8. The
17 pathophysiology of autism.

18 A Well, as I mentioned, we can identify a
19 cause, a genetic cause in perhaps 10 to 15 percent,
20 having set aside other kinds of causes into separate
21 categories. But we have those cases where there are
22 symptomatic prenatal influences that are also thought
23 to have a genetic aspect to them. But an occurrence
24 of something else that happens during a particularly
25 vulnerable phase of genetic development, Congenital

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2377

1 Rubella, is one of those things.

2 So we know that it is possible for infection
3 under a very specific circumstance and with very
4 specific pathological observation that are
5 repetitively observed and are systems observations,
6 not a more generalized toxic effect, or not a more
7 generalized inflammatory effect.

8 So in Congenital Rubella we have just such a
9 condition. As we began to understand that that was
10 the case, and as we developed vaccines, that
11 particular condition has now been eliminated as a
12 cause of tragedy for children and families.

13 But we now know about other conditions where
14 the pathology is very different, where we don't have a
15 developmental aspect to it, and a particularly tragic
16 example of this is congenital mercury exposure, about
17 which I'll say something where we have not a systems
18 disease, but a disease that causes a non-systematic
19 pathological result as we see not only in congenital
20 mercury, but as we see in measles occurring later on
21 in life than in this very vulnerable prenatal period
22 of development where so many things are going on.

23 These are all conditions which have a very
24 strong, so far as we understand it, genetic and
25 epigenetic component. They produce highly consistent

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2378

1 syndromes, even when we don't have a specific cause
2 identified. We have Rett syndrome where we do now
3 know why it is that it's mostly a disease of girls,
4 and yet we've now come to understand that boys in a
5 very vulnerable period prior to birth can in fact have
6 Rett syndrome because of a mixed aspect of
7 vulnerability that's genetic and developmental.

8 We now know that male autism is also a
9 consistent syndrome. Because it's emphasized in boys,
10 we know that, and so strongly emphasized in boys, we
11 know this must also have a genetic component to it.
12 And we have an additional now, we understand, genetic
13 and sexually related aspect to these conditions which
14 is the epigenetic aspect of inheritance from paternal
15 to maternal side with genetic imprinting.

16 And as we've only recently come to
17 understand this, we're only now beginning to ask the
18 questions in the clinic that will allow us to add that
19 to our understanding of why individuals develop
20 particular kinds of autistic manifestations, just as
21 they develop particular manifestations of other
22 imprinted conditions.

23 Q Let's get into the clinic a little bit and
24 talk about the standardized checklist that you use
25 when making a diagnosis of autism. This will be Slide

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2379

1 9.

2 A As I mentioned, the list of things I ask has
3 gotten very long and the ones that I ask my residents
4 to ask as well. When we don't anticipate seeing a
5 patient with an autistic disorder and the resident has
6 seen the patient first, they know what my checklist is
7 because I spend so much time talking about this in
8 terms of things that we now know, and I didn't know 15
9 years ago, even 10 years ago, that these were
10 important modifiers of our diagnostic criteria, and
11 these are important things that tell us about the
12 first year of life, even in individuals that seem to
13 have regressed in the second year of life.

14 But I reserve time for those patients as
15 well, to see them later on, to spend the time that, as
16 I say, is so important to talk about these things in
17 greater detail.

18 We gather that information for our research,
19 but as well the lesson of those cases is that
20 virtually every week or at least every two weeks or
21 three weeks in my clinic a patient comes into my
22 clinic that comes for cerebral palsy or comes for
23 mental retardation or some other condition and it's as
24 plain as the nose on my face that these individuals
25 have autism because I know what it looks like. It

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2380

1 just tells us that there are still very many children
2 out there that are diagnosed as having other
3 conditions and yet despite our awareness of autism,
4 it's still not properly diagnosed sometimes as late as
5 three or four or five years of life. So this is one
6 of the most important explanations we have for what
7 has appeared to us to be an increase in the prevalence
8 of autism, but not an increase in the incidence of
9 autism.

10 We use these checklists then to affirm the
11 diagnosis because these are standardized checklists
12 and they're importance is that there is an abundant
13 literature out there that doesn't use these
14 checklists. So it means that confusions about what
15 goes on in autism are so dependent on long series of
16 patients, that whatever was studied, whether it's the
17 electrographic aspects or whether it's the pathology
18 or whether it's clinical aspects or whether it's
19 treatments, include a broad variety of conditions and
20 we need to know what happens in specifically isolated
21 conditions. So these are what we use.

22 They're also important because we now
23 understand that treatment of autism is important, but
24 that treatment doesn't involve dangerous or useless or
25 expensive therapy. It involves dealing with this

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2381

1 aspect of things I referred to before which is the
2 isolation that patients with autism experience because
3 of communication differences. Whether they're better
4 or worse, they're still differences. The place in
5 which we can find these interventions are so important
6 as we try to educate children. What we find is that
7 what might appear to be anxiety or other things are so
8 readily alleviated when a child is placed in an
9 educational setting where there's understanding on the
10 part of the educators who have dedicated their careers
11 to teaching children with these kinds of problems, and
12 where there's a patience and understanding. I think
13 that misinterpretations about whether stereotypies or
14 anxiety, which they usually are not, at least not in a
15 severe way, and no difference than other people
16 really.

17 But what a child with autism may have, and
18 as I say we don't know for sure because we can't ask.
19 But if we can imagine ourselves, I'm going to Tokyo,
20 as you mentioned, where I don't speak any Japanese so
21 I'll have people to help me with these things. I'll
22 have some understanding of the framework there.
23 People will be able to interpret my gestures and my
24 facial expressions if I'm alarmed about something.
25 But the autistic child doesn't have this opportunity.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2382

1 So if I were to go there not only without
2 language but without any of these other things, I
3 could imagine myself being exceedingly bewildered and
4 to have somebody that understands and can help
5 translate and help to settle something into these
6 thing is an intervention of great importance.

7 MS. ESPOSITO: I would like to make a brief
8 request. If we could check to make sure everyone's
9 cell phone is off, that might have something to do
10 with the interference we're hearing.

11 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Turn off
12 your cell phones and your blackberries as well.

13 THE WITNESS: Mine is off.

14 (Pause).

15 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

16 Q We'll go on to Slide 10, unless you have
17 something else to say about number 9.

18 Number 10 is the red flags for autism. Can
19 you describe what you see with the patients that come
20 into your clinic?

21 A This is only one of many things that I now
22 ask about, and also what sometimes they call the
23 recognition that mothers have about things they've
24 known are not quite normal sometimes, but other times
25 they haven't really.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2383

1 This is one of the additional problems with
2 recognizing autism is that so frequently these
3 children that are diagnosed late are the first child
4 of a family. That's characteristic. When I had my
5 first child, there were many things I didn't
6 understand about children. My wife says there still
7 are.

8 But you don't know what to expect, and we
9 see this in a broad variety of conditions, whether
10 it's epilepsy or other things.

11 The only thing I'm emphasize in this slide
12 is head shyness. This is not something that finds its
13 way onto the checklist, but you find out about this
14 after a time. The families understand this, they
15 recognize it. This is a first year manifestation of
16 so many children with autism whose language problems
17 are recognized in the second year.

18 Q What do you mean by --

19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I was going
20 to ask, what do you mean by head shyness?

21 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Special Master.

22 The issue here is whether a child will
23 permit their head to be touched, whether they'll
24 permit their hair to be brushed, whether they'll
25 permit the hair to be washed, let their fingernails to

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2384

1 be cut. We know that many children don't like that,
2 but this little bit of head shyness is a very striking
3 thing.

4 In order to affirm that this is something
5 that sets children apart I've spent a lot of time
6 putting my hand on the head of other children of young
7 ages that come into my clinic. This is the only way
8 we really know what seems to us initially to have been
9 something special and it turns out not to be.

10 And this is a very special sign that comes
11 on early, along with lack of pointing and lack of
12 responsive smile and some of these other things.

13 Now a responsive smile in the first year of
14 life is a very difficult thing to know about because
15 parents want their child to smile responsively.
16 They're doing so much work for the child. I know
17 about that. I thought I did as much as my wife and
18 she said she did a lot more in the first year. That's
19 the good thing about breast feeding, I guess.

20 But at that time you get the idea that the
21 child is smiling in response. What grandparents know,
22 I know as a grandparent, or not yet but nearly a
23 grandparent, but you can blow a little puff of air in
24 a child's face and you get what appears to be a smile.
25 This allows the grandparent to one-up the parent

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2385

1 sometimes, to get seeming response.

2 So we need to set these things apart
3 carefully. These slides are only meant to emphasize
4 that we need to have more about what is normal and
5 what's abnormal and when they come on to really know
6 when autism arises.

7 I would also mention this issue of non-
8 aversive eye contact. We say a lot about eye contact
9 in children with autism and people postulate, these
10 are the theories again, perhaps the child is shy,
11 perhaps the child is anxious, perhaps the child is
12 disinterested. All of these things we talk about, but
13 it's only really in the last year or two, and a little
14 longer, that we begin to understand that this also is
15 a systems problem and the issue of eye fixation and
16 eye aversion actually become one of these issues
17 probably, this remains not entirely proven like so
18 many things, but we know more about it than we used to
19 because of careful scientific investigation and
20 because we have techniques that will allow us to look
21 at the system which are functional MRI. This can be
22 done in children that are not necessarily so very
23 cooperative.

24 We already know that there's already a
25 genetic distribution of gaze. That men and boys are

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2386

1 more attracted to a moving stimulus than girls. This
2 is well proven in the psychological literature,
3 although people don't seem to be clear about it or
4 don't seem to know about it. Women tend to look at
5 things in detail and get a system of observations
6 about what's there. Men are attracted to something
7 that moves. Sometimes this is misinterpreted as an
8 aspect of attention deficit because of
9 distractibility.

10 But it's a very important developmental
11 aspect of the function of men in civilization, noting
12 what's going to come and attack their herd of sheep in
13 the early days, probably. But these are determined
14 genetically and are systems issues, and the
15 abnormalities of these things, if we can define them
16 better, are also things that allow us to know when the
17 onset of a developmental disturbance occurs.

18 Q Your list of red flags for autism I believe
19 continues on to Slide 11.

20 A Yes. Again, I've talked a little bit about
21 what people do with trucks. It's been known for a
22 long time that personal pronouns are left out, and
23 it's known that echolalia is an issue here as well.

24 Putting objects in the mouth and touching
25 the lips not so very well recognized, but in fact the

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2387

1 issue of putting things in the mouth is seemingly non-
2 discriminately mouthing them, playing with them with
3 the tongue, rubbing them on the lips is a very
4 striking and common thing. It might be mistaken for
5 some odd dietary thing in individuals, but it's a very
6 common aspect of things.

7 Putting lips on cold surfaces, and that sort
8 of thing.

9 Q Does that have any relationship with pica?

10 A It can be mistaken for pica. Children have
11 a lot of odd habits about their eating that also need
12 to be set apart from what normal children do. So
13 there are a fair number, a large number of normal
14 children that eat odd things. String or sand or other
15 kinds of things.

16 But this issue of putting things in the
17 mouth, tonguing them, and keeping them in the mouth,
18 whether they happen to be pebbles or toy objects, that
19 sort of thing, can be a feature of autism that can be
20 seen in some normal individuals as well.

21 The social scripting is an aspect of this
22 too. Although we're only beginning to understand this
23 better. So issues that we again assign values to
24 anger is something that we do see, very difficult to
25 manage in children with autism, especially once they

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2388

1 become adolescents. And it's one of the most
2 difficult things that families have to deal with.
3 I'll say something in a moment about how I try to help
4 out with that in the clinic in the four tools that
5 we've got for these things. But laughter as well.

6 We've now come to understand that some of
7 laughter and some odd aspects of breathing have
8 something to do in later stages of autistic disorder
9 with perhaps the triggering of seizures that have a
10 pleasant sensation associated with them. These
11 sometimes, including in my own practice, have been
12 misinterpreted as behavioral issues of a different
13 sort and treated in the wrong way.

14 Q Moving now to Slide 12, regressive autism.

15 A I've referred to so much of this already.
16 Children that we have called regressive autism
17 because, again, the recognition of their condition can
18 sometimes arise at the end of the second year. But
19 good data, including the data that we're gathering in
20 my clinic, would suggest that about 80 percent of
21 these children have been abnormal prior to that time
22 during the first year of life.

23 Among those abnormalities, two were things
24 that I noted in the records of the children that are
25 involved in this trial. One of those was what people

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2389

1 have come to recognize as a quite striking thing in
2 the first few months of life, the initial rise and
3 fall of head circumference in a child during the first
4 six months of life, without following the growth of a
5 child in terms of length or for that matter weight,
6 and this is what was displayed in a characteristic way
7 in the head circumference measurements of William
8 Mead.

9 In the case of Jordan King the records
10 reflect a parental report of four to five words that
11 were lost at one year of age rather than at 16 to 18
12 months as some other aspects of the record suggest.

13 One only finds these things out by spending
14 time with the family and carefully ascertaining what
15 has gone on with the child.

16 Familial clustering. We do have this
17 familial clustering where we can identify more than
18 one child with autistic spectrum disorders. This does
19 not distinguish classic autism, so-called, not really
20 a useful term any more as we get to know more things
21 from regressive, and not really a useful term any more
22 as we know more about these things because we get the
23 same degree of familial clustering in both those sets
24 of disturbances.

25 As we look at children with

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2390

1 electrophysiological studies, we don't find that these
2 necessarily distinguish classic from regressive
3 autism, but on the other hand the data here is biased.
4 The reason it's biased is that we've tended to do EEGs
5 in children that have this seeming regression and
6 possible regression and sometimes definite regression
7 of the few words of language that they have at the end
8 of the first year of life because we want at that
9 point to see whether they have Landau Kleffner
10 syndrome. We do that because we know how to treat
11 that disorder and because we want to make it better.
12 We want to do everything we can to make our children
13 better, especially in this most important area of
14 language dysfunction.

15 In doing these EEGs we do it and these
16 children seem to have regressed in the same way that
17 Landau Kleffner may have done. If we ask these
18 children the history we find the same thing. Eighty
19 percent of them have preceding manifestations of
20 autism. As we try to treat it in the way in which we
21 treat our children with Landau Kleffner, we find it
22 doesn't work. There's an age difference between these
23 individuals because Landau Kleffner tends to arise at
24 three. But unlike what I think is said in Dr.
25 Kinsbourne's report, we see it younger than that as

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2391

1 well. We see it at one or two years of age. But
2 understanding the prevalence of that condition also
3 takes seeing children with these disorders and trying
4 to distinguish them.

5 Q So there is no distinct biologic process
6 that differs autism from what could be a regressive --

7 A We can only formulate our biological
8 hypotheses once we have an excellent understanding of
9 these conditions. It's easier once we have a
10 primitive understanding because we can jump to so many
11 conclusions. It becomes much more difficult the more
12 information that we gather. There is no clear way in
13 which to say there's a biological difference between
14 these two conditions.

15 We have to add the fact that with
16 developmental systems conditions there can be
17 different phases of regression. That's because of
18 genetic signals that are involved in these conditions,
19 can express themselves in successive phases of
20 development. In the case of autism we now know that
21 there is a second phase of regression in the second
22 decade of life. The reason we didn't know that before
23 is we didn't ask the questions, and because
24 individuals with difficulties in the second decade of
25 life were institutionalized so frequently.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2392

1 We now try to find out about these things
2 and know that that's the case.

3 What's probably a superb biological model
4 for autism, in Rett syndrome we know there are at
5 least three and possibly four successive phases of
6 deterioration, but we find in the first deterioration
7 in the first year of life; the second in four to six
8 years of life; and the third in nine to eleven years
9 of life; and perhaps thereafter.

10 Q Dr. Rust, are you familiar with the term
11 "clearly regressive autism"?

12 A Well, I'm always suspicious about the word
13 "clearly". It usually causes me to ask additional
14 questions. Oftentimes the word "clearly" substitutes
15 for proving your point. It just means this is the way
16 it is and I know this is the case. In my experience,
17 is another way which people try to say what's going
18 on. But I don't think "clearly" is helpful, except to
19 alert us to the fact that at that point once we think
20 it's quite clear we need to ask this whole long list
21 of questions to find out if it really is clearly a new
22 phase of illness.

23 Q Let's move now to Slide 13 where you talk
24 about personality characteristics.

25 A Yes. In families that have more than one

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2393

1 child with autistic disturbances we find other things
2 in the extended family. These include such things as
3 rigidity and aloofness and anxiety. They include
4 hypersensitivity to criticism. They include the
5 things that are listed here, limited friendships.
6 Sometimes found in both parents, 38 percent.

7 This doesn't prove anything. What this
8 tells us is we need to ask more questions. But what
9 it does alert us to is the possibility that lesser
10 degrees of expression of a genetically expressed
11 condition may be causing disturbances in other family
12 members. But then we need to go and find out in all
13 the other people that we don't ever ask about these
14 things, whether these things are true.

15 So it can lead us to the wrong conclusion
16 unless we're very very careful about what we do.
17 There are plenty of people with limited friendships,
18 there are plenty of people with deficits in speech,
19 there are plenty of people that are hypersensitive to
20 criticism, many of them holding high office in this
21 city.

22 (Laughter).

23 Q Let's move now to Slide 14, the heritability
24 of autism.

25 A Increasing information about heritability as

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2394

1 we define these things better, and the degree of this
2 increasing recognition has led people to observe that
3 autism is perhaps among the most heritable of all
4 neurological conditions. There are plenty that are
5 more directly heritable.

6 But as regards conditions that we've come to
7 understand are inherited, this isn't the same degree
8 of kinship recognition that might suggest that
9 possibility. Certainly similar to what we initially
10 encountered as we began to study Rett syndrome, it's
11 important for us to recognize that in 1984 when I saw
12 my first patient that had Rett syndrome, this
13 attracted so much attention in St. Louis Children's
14 Hospital because this rare condition that we perhaps
15 would never see another example of. At that point the
16 question was, was this an intoxication because it was
17 thought that intoxication might have something to do
18 with that condition. That was Andreas Rett's first
19 idea in 1965 when they recognized the stereotypies of
20 that condition. This still lingered among the
21 possibilities in 1984 for this rare condition.

22 But this is a condition that I see all the
23 time now. It's the same inherited condition as it
24 was. Its incidence is the same. Nothing has modified
25 that incidence as far as we know, and --

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2395

1 Q You're talking now about Rett syndrome?

2 A Rett syndrome. Thank you for clarifying
3 that. And I diagnose this condition now quite a few
4 times a year.

5 So again, recognition tells us about things
6 that have an incidence that we didn't recognize
7 previously.

8 Q Let's move now to Slide 15, the genetics of
9 autism.

10 A A genetic contribution is postulated to be
11 involved in perhaps 90 percent. Not proven. This has
12 to be proven. But again, the evidence is trending in
13 this direction. Trending is another word to beware of
14 in a paper or report because you need, again, to
15 continually refine your idea about these things. But
16 we know of a lot of conditions that cause single gene
17 defects that may do this. We know imprinted
18 conditions that may produce considerable autistic
19 features that so closely resemble the behavioral and
20 linguistic aspects of autism as well as electrographic
21 characteristics, and these include conditions that are
22 imprinted from both the maternal and the paternal
23 side. These conditions mentioned here -- Angelman
24 syndrome and Prader-Willi syndrome.

25 So we have a variety of genetic possible

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2396

1 explanations, and that always tells us that maybe
2 there's a variety of gene expression, or maybe a
3 variety of gene modification that may take place after
4 the gene begins to express itself. This is an area
5 of, among the hottest areas in science, progress
6 taking place so very swiftly now as we understand how
7 to do these things, and particularly in the setting of
8 Rett syndrome.

9 Q Let's move now to Slide 16, a picture of the
10 little girl. What's the significance of this photo?

11 A It's a child with Rett syndrome. It seemed
12 to me they're particularly beautiful children. The
13 same thing is true of the children I see with autism.
14 I think a lovely child with so many impairments and we
15 want everything we can do to be able to say why. We
16 want to understand its variations and we want to be
17 able to do something to improve communication and help
18 these children with whatever else happens with them.

19 We have very few tools to do this in Rett
20 syndrome as with autism. We have difficulty with
21 breathing that is sometimes so similar, that is to say
22 strange patterns of breathing. We're beginning to
23 understand a little bit about that as I mentioned, in
24 at least a very small subset of children with autism.
25 But in this disorder we have so little to offer

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2397

1 sometimes. We try a great many things. We fix
2 sometimes briefly, that those things help children
3 with Rett syndrome, but so little that we can do about
4 this condition, and we want to do it.

5 So we try to do things that won't cause any
6 harm. We try to look carefully at things we thought
7 might be helpful. We usually find out that they don't
8 help very much.

9 These are children that tend to be very
10 quiet and tend to sit quietly and perhaps get
11 neglected in some ways. We don't know that's true
12 either, because the parents of children with Rett
13 syndrome, as the parents of children with autism, seem
14 to me to be so very attentive to their children's
15 needs in every possible way.

16 But it does lead to parents trying with
17 these disorders a broad variety of treatments that are
18 oftentimes very expensive and oftentimes particularly
19 harmful. What I tell parents in those situations is
20 that if it's very expensive, we would do it ourselves
21 if we knew there was any proof it was going to help.
22 And because we find so frequently that the ways in
23 which these therapies are set up, sort of set up
24 parents for the belief that it isn't going well if
25 they're not adhering to the regimen carefully enough,

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2398

1 if they're not doing enough, if they haven't added
2 enough solvents and oil extracts and hot baths and so
3 many other things that the right combination will be
4 hit upon if the parents spend all their time doing
5 these things. We think that's disingenuous.

6 We see families bring their children back to
7 us after treatments of all these broad varieties,
8 whether it's hyperbaric oxygen, whether it's
9 hydrocorticosteroids, whether it's patterning, whether
10 it's, any number of other things. We see plenty of
11 children that get chelation therapy. We do caution
12 them that this is not necessarily a safe thing. There
13 have been at least four deaths in the United States
14 from chelation therapy. People that are practicing
15 these things don't necessarily know exactly what
16 they're doing.

17 So we try to follow up to see whether any
18 toxicities have taken place.

19 But what we find in trying to be as
20 objective as possible is that we don't see
21 differences. Even though parents often report to us
22 that there is some difference.

23 We know that we're subject to that too. We
24 give treatments to children and think we've made a
25 difference until we look very carefully. So it's

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2399

1 understandable people want things to be better, but we
2 try, again, to keep data on this, with careful,
3 subjective information about what's going on with the
4 child.

5 Q Let's move on to Slide 17 which is focusing
6 on Rett syndrome again.

7 A We now understand the genetic condition and
8 we understand a good deal about what modifies its
9 expression and why there are successive phases of
10 development of this condition.

11 The first phase is usually five to nine
12 months. These children, as well, have an increased,
13 have a phase previously unrecognized of changes in
14 head size preceding the onset of Rett syndrome,
15 something that was overlooked until we began to look
16 more carefully.

17 We also know that prior to that time, as we
18 look carefully at the children, this is especially
19 siblings, but we can see abnormalities of tone and
20 abnormalities of sucking behavior. Again, oral
21 behaviors are important in these disorders. And there
22 are peculiarities of aversion especially in autism, an
23 aversion that can be labeled as a GI problem but
24 accounts in fact for most of the GI problems that we
25 see in children with autism.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2400

1 Another and probably peculiar sensory
2 problem accounting for problems at the other end of
3 the system as we look carefully. But issues in terms
4 of oropharyngeal. Rejection of textures in autism.
5 But in Rett syndrome there's not only rejection of
6 texture sometimes, but abnormalities of sucking
7 behavior. Paroxysmal abnormalities in the wake and
8 sleep EEG is prominent at this phase of regression and
9 may, of course, be seen in the second half of the
10 first year of life in the children of autism where we
11 do EEGs. And as I mentioned, the reason we do them in
12 those children is not because they have seizures, it's
13 because we wonder whether they have something that's
14 treatable like Landau Kleffner syndrome. And Landau
15 Kleffner syndrome is a condition that's epileptic in
16 nature, that is caused by epileptic discharge and we
17 know how to treat that.

18 But as I mentioned, we don't make, as we're
19 trying, we have an ongoing project with regard to
20 children with autism, but we don't make them better
21 with regard to their language.

22 We do feel and have looked carefully at
23 this, that we can make sometimes things better with
24 regard to certain behavioral aspects and especially
25 sleep, which is important.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2401

1 Then there are, as I mentioned, ensuing
2 phases of degeneration which can occur in genetically
3 determined conditions, and it's possible that some of
4 the children that have what appears to be a
5 degeneration in the second half of the first year of
6 life are in fact experiencing what we now would
7 recognize as a second phase compared to the earlier
8 manifestation, and that second phase having something
9 to do with modification of gene expression or
10 something else that happens at that time. But most
11 likely that, because that's what we begin to
12 understand about Rett syndrome.

13 Q We're going to move on to Slide 18.

14 A This slide, what it shows us is this is
15 phases of brain development. The blue tells us about
16 the phase at which brain development becomes mature
17 throughout the brain.

18 Q In our black and white copies can you
19 identify where the --

20 A I'm terribly sorry. Let me see if I have a
21 black and white copy.

22 The darker things are the blue. So the more
23 darkening you see there, the more you find the areas
24 achieve a mature representation. This is very
25 difficult information to have obtained, and you might

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2402

1 guess, as I would have thought when I was a medical
2 student, that this represents brain development
3 between birth and three years of age or something like
4 that, when the head size reaches something approaching
5 its adult size.

6 This is between birth and 18 years of age.
7 We now know that this continued development of the
8 brain takes place until at least 24 years of age, with
9 astonishing changes. And included in that in the mid
10 teenage years is enlargement of brain size above what
11 happened prior to that time. That's a phase where
12 that enlargement in brain size has to do with
13 remodeling that takes place. This involves, probably
14 involves, this is not yet proven but this is one of
15 the hottest and most promising areas in developmental
16 neuroscience, including developmental neuroscience in
17 the second decade of life. This includes the
18 remodeling aspects of what we've formerly regarded as
19 inflammatory things. We've thought so often it's a
20 negative thing, but it turns out that the systems we
21 regard as inflammatory and the systems we regard as
22 neurodevelopmental, work hand in glove with each
23 other.

24 We've come to understand that the ways in
25 which these systems actually communicate amongst

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2403

1 themselves share very important and very careful
2 modifications, very careful protections, and are
3 involved in the way in which the dendritic trees,
4 that's the way in which the brain elaborates and makes
5 connections, modify themselves for the first three
6 decades of life. That enlargement reminds us of the
7 fact that we see enlargement of brain during phases of
8 development and reminds us of the fact that during
9 this first year of life when we see enlargement of the
10 brains of children with autism, that that enlargement
11 we now know in Rett syndrome as well, almost certainly
12 involves elaboration of brain constituents and
13 including during that period not only elaboration of
14 neurointerconnections, but a concomitant elaboration
15 of these other cells that play a role in modifying and
16 eliminating these synapses that we've thought about
17 previously as being inflammatory in nature. But
18 because these are reparative systems as well.

19 So this takes place for these, down to 18
20 years of age. We now know it takes place to 24 years
21 of age. At each phase here we have genetic signals
22 that turn on in order to make these elaborations and
23 these developments and eliminations in which things
24 such as glial system cells that eliminate the things
25 that we don't want in the nervous system, so the brain

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2404

1 doesn't get so large as to become constricted inside
2 of the skull, become very important actors. But also
3 stages at which a particular genetic error may once
4 again cause problems and cause a second phase or a
5 third phase of deterioration such as this adolescent
6 phase we see now that we recognize it and didn't
7 before, in adolescent autism where we used to call it
8 behavior or we used to call it rage or we used to call
9 it anxiety. All these blunt labels that we improperly
10 applied. Now we know it's a developmental neural
11 problem as well. The same thing with Rett syndrome.

12 Q Just to clarify, for Slide 18 you're talking
13 about Rett syndrome rather than autism?

14 A This is normal development I'm talking about
15 here. And I'm talking about its relevance, its
16 important relevance to these phases of development
17 that take place and involve what we would regard as
18 degeneration, or what we might regard mistakenly, if
19 we don't look carefully at the brain as being
20 something else such as mistaking microglial elements
21 that are involved in remodeling as an inflammatory
22 change, or as mistaking these neurodevelopmental
23 changes as being something other than what they are.

24 This work is exceedingly tedious and so many
25 errors have been made, and so much lack of recognition

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2405

1 has been made because people haven't done the sort of
2 work that Dr. Bauman and others have done, and Dr.
3 Courchesne and so many people have done. Not so many.
4 A very small number. There's not much money to do
5 this, very time consuming, very difficult. But in
6 order to actually recognize what cells are what.

7 The reason we began to understand the issue
8 of Purkinje cells first, is that they're all lined up
9 in a row. I'll show you a picture of that. I think
10 I've got it here. Maybe I don't. But they're all
11 lined up in a row. You can just count them, one after
12 another. Even at that, this was not recognized for a
13 long time.

14 You get into the cortex in the areas that
15 are so important in autism and Rett syndrome, language
16 areas, frontal areas that are involved in modification
17 of behavior, and you have to do such careful
18 stereotypic analysis to know what cell is what because
19 they overlap. And in order to understand what's a
20 process and what's a cell and what size they are, as
21 these studies have been done this is where we've come
22 to understand now that there are these very important
23 changes in the way in which the nervous system is set
24 up in autism, in Rett syndrome, and that the same kind
25 of microcolumnar changes, the same kinds of changes in

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2406

1 particular cellular systemic populations that talk to
2 one another, that don't develop properly or may even
3 degenerate to some extent because an additional signal
4 that has to be turned on doesn't get turned on.

5 It's a wonderful thing that we're beginning
6 to understand these things. Perhaps one day we'll be
7 able to do something about diseases such as autism for
8 which we haven't got good therapies other than, as I
9 mentioned, trying to make whatever small things we can
10 do about accommodation and learning in these other
11 things better.

12 Q We'll move now to Slide 19. We'll try to
13 pick up the pace here a little bit. We've got a
14 number of slides to go through.

15 A Sorry.

16 Q I appreciate your explanations, but we'll
17 try to move along here.

18 A I'll do the best I can to pick it up.

19 Q Slide 19.

20 A I mentioned Rett syndrome was overlooked for
21 a long time. I mentioned, I think I've said
22 everything that's really on this slide.

23 Q Okay.

24 A And in terms of variance, we now have 13 for
25 Rett syndrome, all determined by the same gene with

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2407

1 modification. Likely some of the things we're setting
2 apart very carefully as other kinds of disorders with
3 autistic features, will find their way back into the
4 family of autistic disorders such as these Rett's
5 variants have as well, because they share the same
6 mechanism causing the same systemic manifestations
7 that we know are these peculiar behaviors that set
8 autism and Rett syndrome apart from other diseases.

9 Q Slide 20?

10 A Now people are able to produce mice that can
11 manifest so many of the features of Rett syndrome and
12 show the same development, so we can look then at the
13 pathology of these mice who show the same
14 manifestations, same genes, same events and gene
15 development that produce Rett syndrome. The same
16 characteristic stereotypies with Rett syndrome.
17 They're very peculiar. The child rubs their hands
18 together so repetitively like this, that's one of the
19 ways in which we make the diagnosis. But we only more
20 recently came to understand that there is a gaze issue
21 that we still don't understand.

22 What this is, and it's absolutely
23 characteristic of Rett syndrome, and you can diagnose
24 the case reliably in the office, when a child with
25 Rett syndrome seems not to look at things, you might

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2408

1 call that gaze aversion, will momentarily fix you with
2 a gaze like that, their eyes get a little bigger, and
3 you suddenly feel like you're being stared through.
4 It took looking at this a number of times to know
5 exactly what was going on.

6 We still don't understand it, but we now
7 know that as with autism, the centers that involve the
8 direction of gaze are the likely explanation for this.
9 yet again, more has to be understood about this, but
10 it's one other shared feature of some importance that
11 differ from each other, but maybe not so very
12 different from each other.

13 We know that if you have inheritance from
14 the father. And again, we have looked carefully at
15 our trees to see whether these issues of strange
16 behaviors that might suggest an autistic linkage. We
17 don't know much about the paternal and maternal side.
18 We need to know more about it.

19 But if you paternally inherit the MECP2 gene
20 which is the thing that causes Rett syndrome, you have
21 a loss of Purkinje cells in the same layers that you
22 lose them in autism. We didn't know this before. And
23 we have astrocytic gliosis as has been described in
24 autism and can be misinterpreted as something other
25 than what it is, a genetic expression of change in the

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2409

1 system with the associated modifications taking place
2 as part of not a true inflammatory response, but a
3 mopping up that these cells do to eliminate its
4 synapses and other kinds of things, and in the same
5 layers, the molecular and granular layers.

6 So not an inflammatory change caused by a
7 toxin that somebody has to do something about, toxins
8 being, as I mentioned, non-specific as far as these
9 injuries are concerned. Typically non-specific. But
10 in the same areas that we see in autism.

11 Abnormal or early development of the
12 inferior olivary nucleus which we didn't know before
13 about autism, but exactly the same thing that we see
14 in autism now that people are looking for it, and may
15 in fact, and is likely in fact associated with the
16 language disturbance that's so much more severe in
17 children with Rett syndrome of the early onset variety
18 than it is in many children with autism, but identical
19 to many children with autism. This is, I'll say
20 something more about that in a moment.

21 Q Moving now to Slide 21, Rett neuropathology.

22 A What else do we know about it? We now know
23 that the synapses, and this is as the cells, these
24 neurons migrate to get to the formed layers of the
25 cortex of the brain. You can see them represented in

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2410

1 this slide as those various layers there. And you can
2 see cells that are different sizes, perhaps, as
3 they're moving through these layers. They move all the
4 way out to the surface and then additional layers
5 form.

6 I should say in passing that this very
7 arduous process of these cells migrating to the cortex
8 for all of us to form our brain in this very elegant
9 way could not possibly take place unless there were
10 astrocytes present because throughout their lives and
11 throughout their production of all the things, that
12 thinking cells we think of, the neurons do, they
13 cannot do this without astrocytes. This is a team.
14 And neurons specialize in doing these fine functions
15 of thinking and appreciating and being inspired in all
16 these things, but the seemingly lowly astrocytes are
17 packed with all the things that nourish the neurons.
18 Without those astrocytes there, it would never migrate
19 in the first place; and without those astrocytes
20 there, they would never survive.

21 So if you try to grow neurons in culture you
22 have to have astrocytes. We now know some tricks to
23 allow them to grow briefly, but even in those trick
24 cultures, you have to put astrocytes in once they
25 mature or they'll die off. This is a very important

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2411

1 thing for us to know about because if we injure
2 astrocytes, if we make them go away, neurons will not
3 survive.

4 So the idea that there might be a way in
5 which neurons would become rambunctious or get out of
6 order or cause autism because you've injured or
7 eliminated astrocytes is really a scientific
8 impossibility so far as we now very well understand
9 this connection.

10 At any rate, there is increased density of
11 neurons. Many of these are small neurons. There's
12 increased packing of these neurons. We now know this
13 is because of the expression of a particular thing
14 that we didn't know about before called synaptophysin.
15 This is a particular thing that helps form these
16 synapsis for local connections and regulate their
17 development.

18 So this is true of Rett syndrome and it's
19 also true of autism. It's the same sort of thing that
20 happens, now that we can carefully study both things.
21 We don't yet know about synaptophysin in autism
22 because we don't have the same animal model to look
23 at, and because we have so few brains that have been
24 studied in individuals with autism.

25 There's less dendritic arborization as well,

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2412

1 and this is in selected cortical areas.
2 Frontotemporal and visual, same as in autism. And in
3 selected layers. The neocortical layers two through
4 three, five through seven. The same thing in autism.
5 And in Folium II at the cerebellum, also similar to
6 and almost the same as what takes place in autism.

7 Q Let's move now to Slide 22.

8 A What are the functional correlates of these
9 things that we now understand? We understand that
10 methylation has to take place. Successive steps in
11 expression of these genes. There has to be
12 suppression of certain gene transcription. If you
13 don't suppress that gene transcription abnormalities
14 can form.

15 We understand that some of these
16 abnormalities may involve, as we now understand in
17 autism, may involve the over-elaboration of
18 connections, too many wrong connections, so that we
19 get not only dense packing of cells, much denser than
20 they ought to be. Too many neurons. But we may end
21 up with too many local connections in certain cellular
22 layers and we know this happens in autism. And it may
23 be that what doesn't develop as well is long arc
24 connections. That is to say connections between
25 regions where there's the right number, not too many,

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2413

1 not over-connected neurons, but these long connections
2 which connect one small area of the brain with other
3 areas of the brain. I'll say more about that.

4 We now know that suppression of one
5 particularly important thing in Rett syndrome, the
6 DLX5, if it's not suppressed we have disregulated
7 expression of GABA. What GABA is, this is a very
8 important compound to neurons. It's a highly
9 regulated aspect of when you get too much excitation
10 in neurons. It's GABA that turns that off. It's
11 exquisite that you turn this down so very quickly. It
12 also happens in astrocytes so that you can turn things
13 up or down as far as the channels that are involved in
14 making glutamine. At least glutamate. I'm not sure
15 about glutamine. But this particular thing is
16 important that these cells can make this very
17 exquisite change. If it doesn't happen accurately
18 then we can see conditions such as seizures which are
19 an aspect of Rett syndrome, an aspect of autism arise
20 because you don't suppress these cells. It takes time
21 to happen. It's a developmental process. The more
22 you get this synaptic activity taking place, the more
23 you're likely to remodeling which then leads to the
24 possibility of having seizures.

25 But there's one very important thing to know

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2414

1 about these elaborated local and, as we now know,
2 especially from functional studies, these under-
3 elaborated, what we call long arc connections, one
4 small area of the brain to another area of the brain.

5 If you have over-elaboration, I'm going to
6 point out to you that this is a theory. I've warned
7 you about theories, but it can be tested as time goes
8 on. Is it possible that one of the most remarkable
9 things we see about children with autism is what we
10 call splitter skills. They're isolated areas of such
11 remarkable function. You all know about individuals
12 who can hear a piece of music and then play it on the
13 piano. There have been people like Mozart who can do
14 that. Some people say Mozart had autism. This is not
15 true. It's not true. But if the music is played by
16 individuals, at least when we've heard these things it
17 also has this quality of strangeness that sets autism
18 apart from other kinds of functions.

19 One of the things that's so striking in
20 autism that isn't asked about, it's asked about in my
21 clinic, is the children with autism who seem to not be
22 paying attention or seem to have very selective gaze
23 or seem to have many things that people can assign
24 questions to and say what's causing it. So frequently
25 you find, in the majority of children, that a child

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2415

1 with autism will go some place that they've been
2 before, a different season, three years prior to that
3 time, they'll look up and look at this place and say
4 something's down there. The child with words to say
5 these things. And the family will say I don't think
6 so. Dad will say that, because dads don't remember
7 these things. They don't know what's associated with
8 other things, as I mentioned. Mom might know.

9 But this sort of memory, this sort of trick
10 of memory, is a remarkable thing. It's a trick of
11 connection between things that possibly are quite near
12 to each other in the nervous system. Memory for words
13 in their connection to other things we know are quite
14 near each other in memory banks.

15 I had a patient who lived in a town with a
16 phone book that big, and when he came --

17 Q Your fingers are about how far apart?

18 A Oh, I'm so sorry. I'd say that's three-
19 quarters of an inch. I'm something of a carpenter so
20 that's probably right. Other things I don't know
21 about.

22 Green Bay, Wisconsin is where this was, and
23 I could mention a name in that phone book, any one I
24 picked out, and -- the strangeness was the speed. The
25 social aspect of speed and communication, something

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2416

1 that's wrong in autism. If I got him to slow down,
2 the numbers were always right. I couldn't do that.
3 None of us in this room could do that. It's a
4 remarkable preservation of a skill that's likely,
5 theory, likely very close to things.

6 What about these other skills? Social
7 interaction of language. Social interaction of
8 gesture, which is motor, which is ataxia, which is the
9 cerebellum, which is different motor systems.
10 Language itself, broadly expressed in the nervous
11 system. Lateralized in normal individuals, less
12 lateralized likely in autistic individuals. These are
13 the long arc connections that we know now from
14 functional studies are not expressed in autism as they
15 are in normal individuals. Another aspect of brain
16 development.

17 So chromatin folding, other kinds of things
18 here. I won't go into detail. But the last of these
19 is that we now understand that this ramifies itself to
20 issues of brain energy which we're beginning to
21 understand better. And one might in fact mistake this
22 for mitochondrial disease. But in fact it does have
23 one aspect of mitochondrial disease, and that aspect
24 is what we know is wasteful energy expenditure.

25 So if one were to find something that might

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2417

1 suggest mitochondrial disease in autism, one would
2 anticipate from the comparison that this disease, so
3 similar to autism, that it might have exactly the same
4 genetic basis, exactly the same expression, in the
5 same complexes as we might see in autism if this is
6 true, and it probably is in Rett syndrome. It's
7 testable.

8 Q Dr. Rust, we're going to move along through
9 a few more slides. Can we move up to Slide 25?

10 A Can we go back to the prior one?

11 Q Slide 24.

12 A This is what I'm talking about. This is,
13 you can see, those are blood vessels, the large ones.
14 But you can see the connections, those long arc
15 connections are the things that seem to be trailing
16 down there in the illustration here. Those are the
17 things that we know from functional studies are
18 reduced in autism.

19 Q Slide 25 now, the neuropathology.

20 A Neuropathology. Again, we've got that early
21 increase in brain weight that we talked about. We've
22 got expression in particular brain areas that are
23 systemically connected areas. Just as I mentioned to
24 you the connection between brain stem and those
25 Purkinje cells that have, as we now understand and

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2418

1 never understood before, something very important to
2 do with language development. We've got the amygdala
3 which Dr. Bauman's elegant studies, again done very
4 carefully where you looked in this very complex organ
5 that sits at the base of the brain, connects with all
6 of these areas that have to do with certain kinds of
7 impulses, certain kinds of behavior aspects, have to
8 do with language, have to do with so many systems.
9 This amygdala is connected with so broad an area of
10 the brain.

11 What you found there is this increased
12 packing of small neurons. Just the same thing. It
13 has to be measured very carefully so that if you don't
14 do that you're going to overlook it and you're going
15 to come to the wrong conclusion about what's going on
16 there. But this again is the same issue. The same
17 sort of packing that interferes with the long arc
18 formation and suggests local connections are overly
19 abundant into which we can get into trouble.

20 Truncated neuronal dendritic arborization,
21 just like Rett syndrome, and the increased density of
22 small neurons, just like rett syndrome.

23 Q If we can go to Slide 26, some other
24 observations you have.

25 A These have been put together more recently,

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2419

1 especially in the work of the Courchesne laboratory in
2 California, to the identification of these
3 organizational structures that are called
4 microcolumns. These are the sorts of things, we have
5 the right number of local connections, the right
6 number in a columnar organization of long arc
7 connections connected with other areas of the brain,
8 and this happens wondrously and fortunately in most of
9 us; and unfortunately and tragically in a small number
10 of individuals with Rett syndrome or autism.

11 This is what I've already spoken about. For
12 example, again this issue of gaze, and people have
13 made many observations that I think are really,
14 they're probably based on not seeing enough children
15 with autistic disorders and they probably are not
16 reading enough in depth about what really is going on
17 in autism. But what we find about gaze problems was
18 all the silly things we might say about them, is these
19 really do have something to do likely with, especially
20 distinctive gaze abnormalities as we see in Rett
21 syndrome and autism. The connectivity of these
22 microcolumnar things with centers at a great distance
23 from where we have problems with packing and these
24 sorts of things.

25 Q The next slide, Slide 27, pathology of

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2420

1 autism.

2 A In autism we see the same thing, a selective
3 cortical microcolumnar dysgenesis as in Rett syndrome.
4 We see increased thickness as in Rett syndrome. We
5 see GABAergic loss, the same thing as in Rett syndrome
6 that I mentioned in Rett syndrome has to do with the
7 failure to suppress. Not to express, but to suppress
8 a particular gene. There are many many genes that
9 have to be suppressed so that they don't express
10 themselves. This is true of cancer, and it's true of
11 Rett syndrome. So we protect ourselves from things
12 because of the way the system developed.

13 Increased outer cortical radiate white
14 matter. This is another feature. But despite what
15 some people have said about inflammatory disease in
16 white matter in autism, it isn't a feature of the
17 pathology of autism.

18 So what we have is an increase in the
19 density of outer cortical radiate white matter and
20 inner bridging/sagittal white matter. These are terms
21 that don't mean anything to anybody in the room but me
22 probably. But what this tells us about is the very
23 same thing I've been trying to talk about, this whole
24 issue of local connections versus distant connections.
25 This very same issue of over-dense packing, over-dense

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2421

1 connection between local things, under expression of
2 things that suppress that locality, and the ways in
3 which these things express themselves. We can in fact
4 see especially bridging areas that carry lots of
5 fibers that go all around the brain as being too
6 small. Another area where errors have been made. I
7 won't go into that right now, but I'll just
8 acknowledge the fact that this has to be done most
9 carefully and that's it.

10 Vision, hearing, peripheral nerves in the
11 pathology of autism are uninvolved. Very importantly,
12 uninvolved. Normal vision with regard to the visual
13 apparatus. Abnormality of these long arc connection
14 systemic functions about vision. So normal hearing.

15 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Doctor, let me
16 interrupt and ask, when you use the term autism in the
17 title for this slide are you now referring to the
18 narrow category of autistic disorder, not all
19 pervasive developmental disturbance? How are you
20 using the term?

21 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Special Master. I
22 apologize. That's a very important question that
23 you're asking.

24 With the studies that are so important to us
25 which are the Bauman studies and others since that

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2422

1 time, this is very scrupulously and carefully limited
2 to children with autistic disorder. So it doesn't
3 include these other disorders. I'm comparing them to
4 something that's been set apart because we know the
5 genetic cause which is Rett syndrome. But in making
6 the comparison of the pathological findings between
7 those two conditions because they so strikingly
8 resemble one another. To imply with I think some
9 reason that one might regard the autistic disorder as
10 being a genetic condition because of, again,
11 increasing numbers of comparison that are so similar
12 in terms of manifestations, clinical course, and
13 pathology. So that's a very important question.

14 If we included all those other disorders we
15 would get exceedingly confused about these things. In
16 addition to that the age of the patient and other
17 things must carefully be defined, because as I say it
18 may be a developmental pathology.

19 So this is autistic disorder.

20 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: And let me also
21 make a comment here. I take it so far what I've heard
22 from you, and I've been listening as hard as I can,
23 you're giving us a lot of background on Rett syndrome
24 and now you're moving into autism and sort of how it
25 works.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2423

1 I would just like to emphasize that we have
2 a particular theory of causation of regressive autism
3 that has been put forth by Petitioner's experts, and I
4 gather you're giving us enough background so you can
5 then explain to us why you think that theory is
6 incorrect. That seems to be where you're going here.

7 But I guess what I'll say is, you need to
8 give us enough background that we can understand your
9 theory. So far I've been pretty overwhelmed with a
10 lot of detail that I have really, as yet, no idea how
11 it relates to the theory that I heard from the
12 Petitioner's expert. So if you can, as best you can,
13 focus on giving us what we need to understand without
14 giving us everything you've learned about autism in
15 your long career. I don't think I'm going to be able
16 to absorb all of that.

17 With that, I'll turn it back over to you.

18 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Special Master.
19 That is the direction you anticipated where I was
20 heading.

21 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

22 Q Dr. Rust, you've got a copy of the handout
23 in front of you as well, correct?

24 A I do. I think all I'll say about this
25 complex slide is --

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2424

1 Q That's going to be Slide 28.

2 A Slide 28, thank you so much. Is that
3 particular areas are involved. These are areas that
4 have a particular brain system with which they're
5 involved. These particular systems almost certainly,
6 and we know in some instances certainly, have
7 particular genetic expression that develops them.
8 It's the same in so many ways to Rett syndrome that we
9 now understand is a genetically determined
10 developmental condition that explains the abnormality
11 of development, and so this is the similarity between
12 the two things.

13 The other reason for mentioning these
14 particular focal areas is that I'll want to compare
15 the ways in which this startling contrast with what
16 may be seen either in inflammatory illnesses, although
17 there's a broad variety of things, but especially with
18 regard to mercury.

19 Q I think we can move through a number of
20 these slides at this point.

21 A Again, this is what things look like. We
22 can go on from there.

23 Q This being Slide 30.

24 A Again, this is a system thing that we now
25 understand are connected to one another.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2425

1 Q To the extent we can minimize it, let's go
2 through --

3 A I will say one thing about this slide.

4 Q Slide 33.

5 A It's one of the reasons that it's difficult
6 to avoid some complexity. But if you look to the left
7 hand side, you've heard about Purkinje cells, I
8 reckon. That's what they look like.

9 This is the point that I made with regard to
10 them being lined up one after another so you can count
11 them. This is one of the reasons, even though it was
12 overlooked, is one of the things we now recognize as
13 being a hallmark of Rett syndrome, genetically
14 determined, and of autism that most of us presume is
15 genetically determined.

16 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Dr. Rust,
17 when you say these are the things that are lined up,
18 you're referring to the bulbus-like figures in the
19 left hand picture?

20 THE WITNESS: Thank you, they are. That's
21 right. They have that sort of appearance of a
22 narcissus bulb, something like that.

23 Next to it is actually a representation of a
24 Purkinje cell.

25 I want to stress something about the

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2426

1 complexity of this and it's the reason I've said so
2 much. One Purkinje cell has probably 175,000 synapses
3 and probably 350,000 inputs. The nervous system is
4 very complicated. It's remarkable it doesn't go wrong
5 any more often than it does, but in order for this
6 development to take place you need exquisite
7 regulation of this abundant amount of regulation and
8 you need genes that turn on and off at various stages,
9 and you need cleaning up of the debris. That's what
10 the immune system does.

11 It may do other things, because there is
12 increasing evidence that the immune cells that have
13 been talked about here in terms of possible
14 inflammatory cells have a role almost certainly in the
15 normal development of a system, and if one doesn't be
16 careful about what one calls those cells, one can
17 mistake the presence of those cells, once one looks
18 carefully enough to find them, as evidence of
19 inflammation.

20 Q Dr. Rust, if we can move up to Slide 45,
21 we're going to skip a number of them.

22 A May I look through them?

23 Q Sure. The Special Masters will have copies
24 of the slides to review on their own later.

25 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Let me point

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2427

1 out that if it is a slide that you think is pertinent
2 to your discussion and explanation, we would rather
3 have our review of the slides with you, Dr. Rust.

4 THE WITNESS: Thank you so much, Special
5 Master.

6 If I were to try to put one sentence to each
7 slide, would that be useful?

8 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: In your own
9 judgment. But I'm saying if it is germane to your
10 opinion and you really want the best understanding of
11 the slide it is best for you to review them rather
12 than a take-home course.

13 THE WITNESS: Could we see the next slide,
14 and I'll try to do this quickly.

15 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

16 Q This will be Slide 34.

17 A All I'll say about this slide is that there
18 is a significant peculiarity with regard to the
19 reaction to drugs on the part of children with autism.
20 This is especially true with children with autistic
21 disorder, carefully defined, and this speaks to
22 systems problems. It tells us we must be very careful
23 in treating children with autism, but again it's
24 evidence that we've got to be careful what we do for
25 children with autism. With our treatments, limited as

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2428

1 they are, we must be very careful about what we're
2 doing. We sometimes significantly over-estimate what
3 we're doing for a child, but we've become much more
4 careful about that and we're very concerned about a
5 number of therapies being added to this without that
6 same degree of oversight.

7 Next slide.

8 The systems have something to do with other
9 things we see in children with autism as in Rett
10 syndrome. These involve a lot of neurotransmitters.
11 I won't go into them in detail, but these are all
12 systems diseases. And these systems diseases,
13 connections of various parts of the brain with
14 neurotransmitters are diseases that we
15 characteristically have come to recognize as diseases
16 that are genetically determined.

17 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: This is on
18 Slide 35?

19 THE WITNESS: Slide 35, I'm terribly sorry.
20 And not features of what we find are environmentally
21 injured brains.

22 I mentioned the environmental aspect of
23 autism that's very important. That's the aspect of
24 communication and the aspect of understanding. That's
25 very important for us to know about as an

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2429

1 environmental aspect of things.

2 I mentioned about this, and we can go on.

3 This is the way in which we look at these
4 systems.

5 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

6 Q This would be Slide 37.

7 A Slide 37. Again, this new technique that we
8 now have of functional MR spectroscopy. We didn't
9 have this before. The more we do in children with
10 autism the more we find that these are systems that
11 are going wrong. Developmental systems that are going
12 wrong, and this is not the way in which we see
13 systems, we don't see these system problems in
14 toxicity and we don't see these system problems in
15 inflammatory disease.

16 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Let me ask
17 on Slide 37, you have circled areas up in the A
18 portion that are red. Will you discuss those later?
19 Is that something you need to draw particular
20 attention to?

21 THE WITNESS: What I'm identifying here is
22 the absence of expression, the open circle, in the
23 child with autistic spectrum disorder. Of
24 particularly important expression in the cortex of the
25 brain, an area that hasn't developed properly.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2430

1 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Doctor, while we're
2 on this slide, you made the statement that systems
3 problems are not seen in inflammation or toxic
4 insults. So is what you're saying that the systems
5 problem has something to do with development, or that
6 toxic insults or inflammation doesn't target these
7 areas? I'm not sure I understood what you meant.

8 THE WITNESS: Yes, Special Master, and it's
9 important for me to add that this is with regard to
10 the complexity of these identifiable systems problems
11 and our increasing understanding of these techniques
12 of where these systems are and what they connect with.

13 With toxicity or inflammation, the effects,
14 first of all, are all at once and nothing first. They
15 take place when the exposure takes place or the
16 infection takes place, and that's that. They affect
17 the system based characteristically on the types of
18 cells, no matter where they're to be found. So they
19 may affect neurons no matter where they're to be
20 found. That's typically the case in these kinds of
21 conditions.

22 Sometimes there's a greater vulnerability of
23 a particular area of the brain but the system doesn't
24 have the same problem so we don't see the same thing
25 in toxicity or inflammation.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2431

1 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: And by systems, you
2 are referring to how different parts of the brain
3 interact with one another as opposed to a specific
4 part of the brain that controls a specific function.

5 THE WITNESS: Yes, Special Master, that's
6 exactly right.

7 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Okay.

8 MR. MATANOSKI: Special Masters, I suggest
9 at this point so that we can perhaps move along a
10 little more rapidly, if we could take our, I don't
11 know whether you were planning on having a break this
12 morning or not, if we could do that, then perhaps Dr.
13 Rust could look through some of these slides and
14 decide which ones were appropriate to comment on and
15 we can move on after we come back.

16 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: The morning
17 break would be a 15 minute break.

18 MS. ESPOSITO: That's fine.

19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Maybe we'll
20 push that just a little bit further for ease of
21 reference.

22 My clock is showing about five of noon, so
23 12:15, if we could come back? Do you have a different
24 time? There's another watch that says 11:48, which
25 puts us --

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2432

1 MR. POWERS: That's the consensus watch.

2 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: The
3 consensus watch makes it closer? Well then 15 minutes
4 which will bring us back at noon. We'll do that.

5 MS. ESPOSITO: Maybe five after?

6 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Five after.
7 I'll let somebody with a more reliable watch get us
8 back here at five after.

9 (Laughter).

10 Thank you. We're in recess.

11 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken).

12 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Please be
13 seated back in your same spot because we got the
14 microphones to work.

15 Just a quite note, looking ahead,
16 recognizing that Dr. Rust has limitations on his
17 schedule, thinking that we'd go as long as we can
18 before we try and take a lunch break, but recognizing
19 that the local cafeteria closes at 2:30, our thought
20 was we might try to break about 1:45 for lunch.

21 Those of you who have more accurate time
22 pieces might want to try and flag my attention as
23 we're getting close. Just to be put on alert about
24 that's our preliminary thought for schedule.

25 MS. ESPOSITO: Okay.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2433

1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Ms.

2 Esposito, you may continue your Direct Examination.

3 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

4 Q Dr. Rust, we're going to move to Slide 41.

5 I believe you had a brief comment about the

6 hyperactivity note at the bottom of the slide.

7 A Yes. Again, I've said perhaps too much
8 about systems, but these are some examples of them.

9 These kinds of behaviors that we see that
10 are so very peculiar in children with autism are
11 things that an inexperienced observer might mistake as
12 hyperactivity, anxiety, other kinds of things, I've
13 already mentioned that issue of label. I think it's
14 important to bring this up within the context. I'll
15 be commenting on Dr. Kinsbourne's report, but there is
16 a considerable emphasis placed on these as
17 manifestations of a hyper-excitabile state in the
18 nervous system and I'd simply say it doesn't make
19 sense to me to put things together in that way. It's
20 certainly not in keeping with the data that I'm aware
21 of or my experience in the clinic with considerable
22 numbers of patients. And these kinds of behaviors, as
23 I already mentioned, melt away so dramatically in the
24 setting of families that show understanding and
25 educational settings, and yet some things persist.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2434

1 They need to be separated from one another and to cull
2 themselves, whether it's anxiety, hyperactivity,
3 hyper-excitability of the brain is far beyond what we
4 know about these things.

5 Q We're going to skip a few slides, but we'll
6 move up to Slide 45. Can you explain to me what this
7 is? It says, "To whom it may concern".

8 A The preceding slides concerned some of these
9 peculiarities of behavior with the emphasis on how
10 these are almost certainly systems related things,
11 differences of behavior. If children were autism were
12 most of the people in the world, we might look
13 peculiar in that setting, but nonetheless, this is the
14 way things are.

15 Because of these things, because of lack of
16 understanding, when I see a family with a child with
17 autistic features I give them this card. This is so
18 they can show this card to people in the supermarket,
19 or they can show it to Uncle Ed or they can show it to
20 whoever it is, that tells them they don't understand
21 how to care for their child. It's important to know
22 that all of us have difficulties understanding autism
23 and it's important to know that people sometimes try
24 to intervene in children with autism and not
25 understand what they're doing, so this is what this

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2435

1 card is all about.

2 MR. POWERS: Excuse me. I have a question
3 for counsel and for the Special Masters. Are the
4 slides that are being skipped, are they being
5 withdrawn from the exhibit? How are we handling that?

6 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: I certainly have
7 questions on some of them that I intend to go back to,
8 if that helps you.

9 MR. POWERS: Okay. And I would too. I just
10 wanted to get clear that what we see here as this
11 exhibit, even though it's being perhaps skipped on
12 Direct testimony is remaining in the record and
13 there's an opportunity for Cross on this.

14 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Yes.

15 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

16 Q We'll move now to Slide 49. Talk about
17 methyl mercury intoxication. Can you explain to me
18 what we see in methyl mercury intoxication?

19 A We have a good deal of information about
20 methyl mercury because of the tragic experience in --
21 could we go to Slide 48?

22 Q Slide 48, okay.

23 A We know about this condition because it was
24 so carefully studied pathologically, clinically, and
25 all other ways. This is a young child that had methyl

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2436

1 mercury intoxication. As was typical in these cases,
2 it was a disease that occurred prenatally, thought to
3 be the case because of the concentration of methyl
4 mercury being much higher in the fetus than it was in
5 the mother, with observations that the pregnant
6 mothers of children in Minamata Bay were not affected
7 by the methyl mercury intoxication in the same way
8 other individuals that were not pregnant were. The
9 tragic consequence, despite the fact that the mother
10 didn't have disease, was a child with severe
11 neurologic disease. Children as in this instance
12 cared for by their mother throughout their ensuing
13 life.

14 Q And Minamata, was that a congenital mercury
15 exposure?

16 A This was, again, the children manifested
17 this condition, or fetuses during the period that they
18 were exposed. Again, the thought is that the fact
19 that the mothers were less likely to have poisoning
20 and manifestations was because of concentration of the
21 toxin in the baby.

22 This suggests to us, for which there is
23 additional evidence, that a very high dose was
24 necessary. That the mother could be protected, yet
25 exposed to the same waste material that had the methyl

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2437

1 mercury as long as the toxin was concentrated in
2 another individual. And the fact that this affected
3 children in the prenatal environment as compared to
4 children that were post birth, again is interpreted as
5 because of concentration.

6 So people do have some ability to withstand
7 this toxin unless exceedingly high concentrations are
8 achieved.

9 Q I think on Slide 49 you describe what methyl
10 mercury intoxication actually looks like.

11 A The clinical aspects of it are these.
12 Severe visual and hearing deficits, as I mentioned.
13 These are not features of autism. Severe central
14 nervous system and motor dysfunction. Not a feature
15 of autism. In fact motor function in autistic
16 individuals is oftentimes quite dramatically
17 excellent. Severe peripheral nervous system sensory
18 dysfunction. Not a feature of autism. And limb
19 deformities. Not a feature of autism.

20 Q Slide 50, the pathology for Minamata Bay.

21 A Almost exactly the opposite of what we see
22 in autism. The large neurons that seem to be less
23 well represented in autism are spared as are the
24 deeper cortical laminae. This is not, as I was trying
25 to emphasize in the preceding slide, an example of

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2438

1 systems dysfunction or remodeling. It's a matter of
2 toxicity and we don't see the same system problem. We
3 see the central nervous system relatively spared
4 because of blood-brain barrier, and the deficits tend
5 to involve peripheral nerves more.

6 Sparing of Purkinje cells. Very
7 importantly, which we know are exquisitely sensitive,
8 seemingly, in autism. And this is despite a
9 relatively uniform distribution of mercury in the
10 brain.

11 Q Let's move to Slide 51.

12 A If an injury is produced to the brain as is
13 suggested in these cases by inorganic mercury, the
14 pathology and the dose required one must presume to be
15 exactly the same as that in these methyl mercury
16 intoxications if the emphasis is placed, as it appears
17 to be, in Dr. Kinsbourne's discussion on inorganic
18 mercury and its accumulation in the brain. Because
19 both methyl mercury and ethyl mercury break down to
20 inorganic mercury. There is a small difference in
21 terms of concentration that is nothing like the
22 difference in concentration that's observed in
23 Minamata Bay disease where prenatally the children
24 seem preferentially to accumulate mercury.

25 Q Dr. Rust, we're going to move now to a

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2439

1 discussion of the two children in these cases. We'll
2 start with William Mead. We're going to break from
3 your slide show for the time being.

4 Do you agree that William Mead has autism?

5 A Yes, ma'am.

6 Q In your opinion was William's autism caused
7 or contributed to by his receipt of Thimerosal-
8 containing vaccines?

9 A No, ma'am.

10 Q Can you explain that?

11 A I've tried to explain it in the preceding
12 information. He doesn't have a disease that has the
13 clinical aspects of mercury intoxication. It's a
14 disease that has all of the features and
15 manifestations that we know in autism and find in
16 great measure in Rett syndrome that we know is a
17 genetic disease.

18 Q In your report on William Mead you discussed
19 the significance, and in your testimony earlier today,
20 you discussed the significance of William Mead's
21 enlarged head circumference. There was an issue last
22 week as to the citation for that head circumference.
23 I'd just like to clear that up with you at this time.

24 The reference in your report was William
25 Mead Exhibit 3 at page 34. This is what's on the

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2440

1 screen right now.

2 Can you tell me what this exhibit is?

3 A That's a representation of length and head
4 circumference, and I thought it was at birth.

5 Q Let's look at William Mead Exhibit 1 at page
6 four. Can you tell me what this is?

7 A I apologize for the error of citation. This
8 is the important illustration of head circumference
9 crossing centiles. This is quite unusual during the
10 first three or four months of life.

11 Q What was blown up here is the head
12 circumference over the first few months of life chart.

13 A This is what I believe I represented in my
14 report. The 60th rising to the 97th percentile,
15 something like that, and then declining thereafter.

16 Q Dr. Mumper had suggested that William's
17 large head size was just in correlation with the size
18 of his body, that he was just a large baby. As a
19 pediatric neurologist, is that your understanding of
20 what happened here?

21 A No, ma'am. We see this rise being out of
22 proportion to the increase in linear growth of the
23 child.

24 Q Is there a point on William's growth chart
25 for his head size that's particularly telling to you?

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2441

1 A The high point of these charts, if people
2 are not used to looking at them, represents centiles
3 for growth parameters. We use these as things that
4 may help us to detect the cause of a problem. But in
5 addition to the increase, the even more telling aspect
6 of this is the ensuing decline because there isn't
7 anything that can compress the head and cause this
8 change as time goes on. We see rather an initial
9 increase with the ensuing decline in size suggesting
10 that something developmentally has gone on. If one
11 were to have a hemorrhage or hydrocephalus one would
12 see further increase, and it's this decline that takes
13 place afterwards is the thing that we see in children
14 with autism in the first year of life.

15 Q And by decline, you mean that William's head
16 circumference came back towards the mean?

17 A As you can see, it continues to grow but the
18 rate of growth violates the centile.

19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: And that is
20 represented by the circles that are on the arcs.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes, Special Master.

22 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

23 Q Dr. Rust, did you find any significance to
24 William's numerous sicknesses during his first few
25 years of life?

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2442

1 A They didn't seem to me to differ in any
2 quantitative way from other children. Is there a
3 specific you'd like to ask me about?

4 Q Just the round of the antibiotics. I
5 believe in your report you stated that there were six
6 rounds of antibiotics that William was on, I believe
7 you said from 1998 to 1999. There may have been
8 prescriptions for more. I believe Dr. Mumper had said
9 there were nine antibiotics given in the first two
10 years of life.

11 If it were nine, or even a few more than
12 that, would that be unusual in your opinion?

13 A Based on the clinical descriptions and based
14 on what we know about variation in practice in the
15 community, very little can be made of the number of
16 antibiotics given for what are largely or perhaps
17 entirely viral illnesses. Ear infections come from a
18 variety of causes but almost all are viral. Some
19 practitioners will provide more antibiotics and some
20 will provide less for those things. Some don't
21 provide any at all. So the comparison of children
22 getting more or less antibiotics is a parameter we
23 can't interpret because it's based so much on the
24 practice of an individual and because we know that
25 most of these illnesses are viral and not responsive

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2443

1 to antibiotics. That's what I'd say about that.

2 Q Dr. Rust, you had already discussed pica a
3 little bit. There's some evidence in the record, both
4 from the medical records filed and from Mr. Mead's
5 testimony last week that William may have put marbles,
6 gravel in his mouth, and had some other, there's some
7 other mention of pica in the record. Do you find that
8 significant in his case?

9 A As I mentioned, these peculiarities of
10 mouthing objects or putting them in the mouth, or
11 rubbing them on the lips are very common in autism.
12 But we do find the same things in some otherwise
13 normal children.

14 Q Dr. Rust, from your review of the records is
15 there any evidence that the biomedical interventions
16 performed on William treated his autism?

17 A No, there's no evidence that there was an
18 effective treatment provided.

19 Q We'll go through some of those in a few
20 minutes.

21 In Dr. Mumper's report she infers that
22 William's teeth grinding is a sign of mercury
23 intoxication. What significance to you place on the
24 teeth grinding?

25 A We have a fancy name for it. We call it

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2444

1 bruxism. Bruxism is so characteristic of Rett
2 syndrome as to be almost universal. In autism we see
3 that very commonly. We don't know the significance of
4 it, but we find it far more often in autism than in
5 some other settings. It's not a sign, to my
6 knowledge, of mercury intoxication.

7 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Dr. Rust,
8 I'm going to ask you to spell your fancy name.

9 (Laughter).

10 THE WITNESS: I'm terribly sorry. I hope I
11 can. B-R-U-X-I-S-M.

12 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

13 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

14 Q We're going to move now to some of the facts
15 specific to the Jordan King case.

16 Do you agree that Jordan King has autism?

17 A Yes, ma'am.

18 Q In your opinion was Jordan's autism caused
19 or contributed to by his receipt of Thimerosal-
20 containing vaccines?

21 A No, ma'am.

22 Q Is your reason the same as what you gave
23 earlier?

24 A Yes, ma'am.

25 Q There was an issue last week again with the

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2445

1 citations in the record that I'd like to clear up
2 regarding a record which documented the timing of
3 Jordan's loss of speech. In your report it notes that
4 the father, Jordan's father, was the historian. The
5 record that you cited to was Exhibit 7, Jordan King
6 Exhibit 7 at page eight.

7 This is what you see on your screen right
8 now, and Mrs. King actually came back and testified
9 about this being her notation.

10 I'd like to draw your attention now, Dr.
11 Rust, to Jordan King Exhibit 1 at page 41.

12 Is this the record you meant to refer to
13 when you described that Jordan's father had said that
14 Jordan's speech had stopped around one year?

15 A Yes, ma'am.

16 Q Did you find anything aside from this record
17 that included some description from Jordan's father,
18 did you find anything else concerning in the record
19 about Jordan's speech?

20 A At this moment I don't recall whether there
21 was something else. Did I cite something else?

22 Q I'm not sure if you did or not. I think you
23 stated earlier today that Jordan only had five words.
24 I think from his mother --

25 A Five to six, I think it said.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2446

1 Q It could have been up to ten from his
2 mother's testimony last week. Is that what you would
3 expect in a child who stopped speaking at 18 months?
4 Five or ten words?

5 A No, I think there's abnormality. That's why
6 I mentioned the fact.

7 Q Would you expect more words from a child
8 who's speaking up to 18 months?

9 A I think the important thing here is, as I
10 mentioned, that he stopped communicating. It isn't
11 the number of words. We have certain interpretations
12 of things a child may mean to say, but the important
13 thing is, the mention is of the change in his
14 communication by the person who knows him best.

15 Q There are some notes in the record that
16 Jordan was never a people person and he was never an
17 "I want to be held" baby, as early as three months.
18 Is that significant to you in terms of his autism?

19 A We take that quite seriously when we hear
20 about it.

21 Q You mentioned earlier that some children
22 with autism have splitter skills that are unusual.
23 According to the record, did you find any of those
24 splitter skills in Jordan King?

25 A There is a mention of the very thing that it

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2447

1 seems to me the sense of direction part of it was
2 mentioned in the record. I believe I recall that.
3 And musical abilities were also mentioned. These are
4 fairly common areas of attainment.

5 Q Is that the type of skill that would be
6 present in someone with mercury intoxication?

7 A As I mentioned, the hallmark includes
8 hearing problems and motor skill problems. And these
9 were not manifested by Jordan King.

10 Q There was an amino acid analysis used by Dr.
11 Green. This would be Jordan King Exhibit 1 at page 12
12 and 13. Can you tell if Jordan had an amino acid
13 disorder? I'm going to pull that up for you here.

14 (Pause).

15 A There's no data here on amino acids.

16 Q I think this is just Dr. Green.

17 A When it's suggested there is an amino acid
18 disorder we of course always check the results of the
19 amino acids that have been obtained in blood and
20 urine.

21 Q You stated in your report that looking at
22 those records, Jordan has no evidence of a known amino
23 acid disorder.

24 A That's quite correct.

25 Q Does it appear to you that Jordan, through

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2448

1 your review of the records, that Jordan had any
2 evidence of pancreatic dysfunction?

3 A I didn't see any evidence of pancreatic
4 dysfunction.

5 Q Did you review the results of the various
6 mercury tests performed on Jordan King?

7 A Yes, I did.

8 Q What, if anything, can you conclude from
9 them?

10 A Mercury testing done in normally accredited
11 laboratories was always either quite normal or in fact
12 nothing at all was found. So quite normal results.

13 Q The other laboratories that did some of the
14 tests on Jordan King, in your report I believe you
15 said there were astonishing levels of various metals
16 in the lab results. This would be Jordan King Exhibit
17 1 at page 55 was the exhibit.

18 What do you find significant on this page?
19 If you were to accept these results.

20 A This and other records show remarkable
21 elevations of a broad variety of compounds including
22 metals at concentrations that we would be very worried
23 about the expression of the diseases that are known to
24 be associated with those kinds of things, and it would
25 raise the question as to how in the world this child

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2449

1 might have acquired that much in the way of these
2 compounds. There are many things in the environment,
3 but we do heavy metal screening on lots of children
4 for various reasons and we never find anything like
5 this except in rare instances.

6 I can't quite read this but it seems -- tin,
7 for example, is shocking. There is tin intoxication.
8 It's seen almost exclusively in people who spend their
9 careers for long periods of time working with tin and
10 tin becomes inhaled, especially when people are
11 working on tin with hot torches and this sort of
12 thing. It takes a long time to happen. It's a mid-
13 career thing in people that get it. And children
14 absorb tin, if they can get it, very poorly.

15 Tin has the advantage from the standpoint of
16 intoxication of having a taste that people don't like.
17 So I think people wouldn't be likely to put this in
18 their mouth.

19 Q I take it you saw nothing in the records
20 aside from this result that would make you think
21 Jordan King had a tin intoxication?

22 A No, ma'am.

23 Q I'd like to move now to the treatment of
24 autism. From your experience are there any treatments
25 that seem to improve symptoms in autism?

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2450

1 A Yes, as I mentioned, proper understanding,
2 improvement of sleep, sometimes we can help out with
3 medications for others, specific indications, as long
4 as we're very very careful about the dose, because I
5 mentioned the sensitivity to medication. As long as
6 we check very carefully afterwards to make sure we've
7 achieved an affect. There's opportunity in children
8 with these kinds of problems to multiply medication
9 in ways which we then can't sort out disease from
10 toxicity. What I tell families when we try something
11 is we do one at a time, then the family takes a close
12 look. If it looks like it's not causing any problem
13 we increase the dose gradually so that we don't again
14 complicate things. There's such variation in behavior
15 in children with autistic diseases that we have to be
16 very careful as to what the background is.

17 Children tend to come to us when they're
18 having more problems. The family wants us to help.
19 We give something and they get better and we may try
20 to take credit for it, but behavior and many other
21 manifestations of this disease, as with human behavior
22 in general, typically follows what we call a sine
23 wave. A sine wave, as you'll recall, is this thing
24 that goes up and down and up and down like this. For
25 all of us things get better and things get worse,

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2451

1 things get better and things get worse. When things
2 are better, it's fine. If things get worse, we do
3 something. If it gets better, maybe it's mother
4 nature doing that. Often it is. So we need to be
5 very careful about that in confusing us.

6 Then to decide whether something's really
7 helping, after the family has looked so very
8 carefully, and sometimes other people, what I tell the
9 families is nothing should be continued unless you
10 suddenly say I wish we'd done this before because it
11 made such a difference. From our vantage point when
12 we see children that have been treated variously we
13 can sometimes get a sense of that as well.

14 Does that answer your question?

15 Q I believe it does.

16 A Can you extrapolate from a seemingly
17 successful treatment to a causative factor for the
18 underlying autism?

19 A No. I don't think so. Not in my
20 understanding of this disease process.

21 Q I'd like to discuss some of the treatments
22 that have been administered to the two children in
23 these cases, and check to see your understanding of
24 the efficacy of these treatments for autism.

25 Both of the children received IVIG therapy.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2452

1 Is that known to treat or help autism?

2 A It's been tried, as has its cousin,
3 corticosteroids. Typically they're tried in the
4 setting of EEG abnormalities. We've had the
5 opportunity to closely observe children treated with
6 both forms of therapy without any evidence of
7 improvement behaviorally or functionally or from the
8 vantage point of EEG.

9 Q Both of the children in this case were also
10 on supplements. Have you seen anything that indicates
11 a supplement improves --

12 A I'd have to provide a very general
13 statement. There are so many supplements, we don't
14 hear about most of them, probably. We don't hear
15 about when they started or stopped most of the time.
16 So I can't say for certain. We don't have as close an
17 opportunity to observe.

18 To the extent that there is data, and to the
19 extent to which families will share with us what
20 they've been doing, we haven't seen any efficacy for
21 many different kinds of supplements, but I don't know
22 whether we've seen the whole list or not.

23 Q What about secretin?

24 A Secretin has been subjected to a very
25 careful study to see whether it's efficacious. It was

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2453

1 found not to be efficacious. It's a compound that
2 continues to be studied and perhaps additional
3 information will be found.

4 Q What about chelation?

5 A I've seen no evidence that chelation is
6 helpful in this setting. It is helpful in some other
7 settings. It's helpful in the case of lead
8 intoxication at higher degrees. And as an older
9 pediatrician when we used to see more lead
10 intoxication than we do now, and as my clinics are
11 oftentimes on Friday, I had some experience with the
12 considerable pain that children would experience with
13 chelation typically, so we'd always know that the
14 chelation clinic was open because children would be
15 screaming on their way into the chelation. It did
16 help somewhat with lead and that's why it was carried
17 on, and helped with copper as well. But in the
18 setting of autism I've seen no evidence that it's
19 efficacious and wouldn't expect for it to be
20 efficacious because it's not pertinent to the disease.

21 There have been four deaths at least from
22 chelation therapy, and that's probably what makes me a
23 little irritable about the subject, in addition to the
24 pain it causes in children.

25 Q Have you heard of a therapy of putting a

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2454

1 child in a sauna to sweat it out? Does that help the
2 symptoms of autism?

3 A It's been around since ancient times, that
4 approach to things in all cultures, and with the idea
5 that it might be helpful whether in the sweat lodge or
6 whatever. It does seem to be helpful to some
7 individuals with headaches; it helps some individuals
8 with stress and tension. I see no reason why it would
9 help in autism because there's nothing to sweat out
10 except perhaps some of the notions and treatments that
11 are provided to the child.

12 Q I'd like to direct your attention now to
13 William Mead Exhibit 15 at page 28. This is a
14 treatment note from Dr. Green.

15 There's a note here that Dr. Green was
16 looking at the possibility of doing a reimplantation
17 enema, ideally with a colonic delivery system using a
18 diluted maternal fetal supernate.

19 Are you aware of that as a treatment for
20 autism?

21 A I'm aware that it's provided to some
22 children with autism.

23 Q Are you aware of its efficacy?

24 A So far as I know there is no known efficacy.
25 There's no reason to anticipate that it would because

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2455

1 there's no known element of the pathophysiology of
2 autism to which it would address itself.

3 The approach has been around for a long
4 time. It goes back to Roman times, as a matter of
5 fact, for a broad variety of illnesses. It continues
6 to be practiced regularly by some adults as well as
7 other people in those settings. We don't have any
8 reason to believe it's going to be helpful in any
9 particular disease.

10 It used to be a regular feature of
11 childbirth, the idea that the introitus might be
12 wider. Some mothers were subjected to enemas for that
13 purpose. Once it was studied carefully and found to
14 be a silly idea, it was abandoned. That's been true
15 of the other indications as well.

16 Q What about the possibility of feeding a
17 child fermented vegetables? This is further down on
18 that same exhibit, William Mead Exhibit 15 at 28.

19 A Fermented vegetables are an item of the diet
20 in large parts of the world and is said to be enjoyed
21 by people as well. Their benefits are unknown. When
22 you do ferment vegetables you do have the possibility
23 of introducing organisms, if the fermented solution is
24 like that. Sometimes this can be beneficial and
25 sometimes it can be a negative thing.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2456

1 So many people in the room will have enjoyed
2 and perhaps obtained some benefit from fermented hops
3 as beer, other people wine and so forth. It also can
4 be something that in excess can be a problem. So
5 we've seen it go both directions.

6 I know of no reason why this would have
7 anything whatsoever to do with autism.

8 Q Further down on that, it's still highlighted
9 there as well. Earthworm eggs. Is that known to
10 treat autism with any success?

11 A No known benefit that I'm aware of.

12 The Chinese botanical is interesting. We
13 had a patient that came to us with difficult epilepsy
14 and a Chinese botanical was introduced and we were
15 astonished to see how beneficial it was in this
16 child's epilepsy, so we thought we were onto
17 something. We sent it to the laboratory and had it
18 analyzed. It was phenobarbital.

19 Q What about charcoal capsules? Is that
20 something that's been known to help in the treatment
21 of autism?

22 A The same general idea about charcoal, of
23 course, is leaching something out of the system. We
24 don't, I don't have any reason to know that would be
25 beneficial in autism.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2457

1 Q What about oral Baygam which is an immune
2 globulin. Do you know if that is used --

3 A I have no information whatever about that
4 subject.

5 Q What about Valtrex, a medication?

6 A I don't know any reason that it would be
7 helpful here in autism.

8 Q Are you familiar with Eskimo Oil?

9 A I don't know what you mean by that. I have
10 no idea.

11 Valtrex is used for genital herpes, isn't
12 it? I don't know why it would be beneficial in this
13 setting.

14 Q Have you heard of Actos for the treatment of
15 autism?

16 A No.

17 Q If there was a report of improvement after
18 these treatments, would you extrapolate from that to a
19 cause of the child's autism?

20 A If we definitely saw an improvement, I'd try
21 to sort out what had happened. First you have to know
22 what's being treated and secondly, you have to know
23 whether anything else has been involved there. Then
24 you have to decide what the mechanism is and then
25 study it. So theory is one thing and observation is

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2458

1 one thing. Once we get ahold of something and it
2 looks like it's promising it has to be subjected to
3 experiments so that we can really understand what's
4 going on. It needs to be extended to a broader
5 population oftentimes to really see what's going on.

6 As I mentioned, all of life follows a sine
7 wave, up and down, up and down.

8 Q Is it standard practice for a physician to
9 recommend a product to patients and then personally
10 sell it to them?

11 A In my experience this is considered to be
12 one of the most important violations of the oath and
13 the responsibilities that we take as physicians. We
14 are there to help the sick; to listen without
15 repeating their complaints; and the idea that somehow
16 we would keep an office full of Amway products or
17 something and sell them to our patients would be, for
18 most of us, considered a grave violation of our
19 responsibility and taking a grave advantage of
20 patients. Because it trades in that setting on the
21 prestige that we have, the reliance that the families
22 have on us, and this is one of the most, has been
23 since the beginning of time, one of the most grave
24 violations of our code of conduct, codes and ethics.

25 Q Back to the list of treatments we have just

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2459

1 discussed, I take it you don't prescribe any of those
2 or suggest any of those to your patients?

3 A No, ma'am.

4 Q Do you know if any of them are recommended
5 by other neurologists within the American Academy of
6 Pediatrics or other colleagues of yours in the field?

7 A I don't know them all. all the ones that I
8 know don't use these things. If we want to make
9 ourselves feel better sometimes we can bring these
10 things up and have a little laugh about them. Then we
11 think about the children that are unfortunately
12 subjected to these things.

13 So I don't know of anybody that does these
14 things.

15 Q And the reason why you don't do them is
16 because they don't work?

17 A If I had anything I could do to help a
18 child, I would do it.

19 Q I think you mentioned before that when you
20 try a treatment on a child you use just that one
21 treatment at one time, is that right?

22 A It can be too confusing otherwise. There
23 are times when we do more than one thing in a child
24 with very significant epilepsy. We may double up on
25 anti-seizure medications. There are times when we use

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2460

1 more than one thing. But most of the time, especially
2 in behavioral medicine, we need to be very careful
3 about finding out what we're really doing.

4 Q I'd like to show you a statement from Dr.
5 Green, in a letter from Dr. Green to the Mead family.
6 This is William Mead Exhibit 5 at page 89.

7 Dr. Green says, "In a sense together we have
8 to become masters of the multi-varied analysis with
9 multiple interventions infringing on him
10 simultaneously or nearly simultaneously."

11 What's your response to that statement?

12 A The way I use the language I'd say
13 infringing is exactly the right word. We're
14 infringing on this patient's opportunity to have
15 carefully studied remedies and infringing on the
16 opportunity of people to actually understand what in
17 the world is going on.

18 Medicine has been filled for centuries with
19 potions and toxins and other kinds of things given to
20 children or adults or other people, for various
21 reasons. Oftentimes in association with strange ideas
22 people have about the gut. These have almost
23 universally been things that resulted in no
24 improvement and resulted probably in problems more
25 than health.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2461

1 Q Dr. Rust, you just mentioned the gut. Are
2 gastrointestinal issues something seen uniquely in the
3 autism population?

4 A Everybody has gut problems. I guess it's
5 what it is and how much of it they have. So the data
6 would suggest that if you look carefully, maybe as
7 many as 80 percent of children with autism have some
8 kind of complaint related to the digestive system.
9 But overwhelmingly in my practice and in the data
10 that's been most carefully gathered, that's at the top
11 end of things, and that is the remarkable and so very
12 uniform issue with regard to certain kinds of things
13 that won't be eaten under certain conditions.

14 Q Can you describe that a little bit more?

15 A Food that's warm is allowed to go to room
16 temperature and food that's cold is allowed to melt
17 and go to room temperature as such a very frequent
18 thing in autism. I don't understand why it is, but as
19 I ask about it with other children in the clinic I
20 don't find the same thing, so it does seem to be a
21 feature that is peculiar to the autism.

22 There are food textures that are rejected.
23 There are difficulties with oral medications sometimes
24 that also are features at the top end of things.

25 At the other end of things we see

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2462

1 particularly frequent diarrhea in some of our
2 patients. It doesn't seem to be in association with
3 abdominal pain or discomfort, but when looked into we
4 find that like some other children, but particularly
5 in some children with autism, we see retention of
6 large amounts of stool. The result of that, the
7 detection of it can be found in otherwise normal
8 children because they complain of discomfort. Then
9 the investigation of the ensuing diarrhea, once you
10 get a large amount of stool the less-formed liquid
11 stools tend to traverse around that large amount of
12 stool and manifest as what seems to be diarrhea. So
13 you can again get a clue in normal children, because
14 they tell you about the discomfort they're
15 experiencing.

16 We don't get, for various reasons, some of
17 which we know about, some of which we don't, the same
18 complaint in individuals with autistic problems.

19 What we find when we find it is that the
20 same sort of thing is often there in the child that
21 has frequent watery stools, and it's the same feature
22 of overflow diarrhea around that large stool producing
23 many liquid stools over a long interval of time. It's
24 a difficult problem to treat but it can be treated and
25 it represents a frequently observed thing in our

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2463

1 gastrointestinal clinic at our hospital.

2 The other interesting feature about it is
3 the feature of autistic individuals not complaining of
4 pain. Now in those that don't have language to
5 complain that is quite understandable. But we have
6 these peculiar issues of pain intolerance or tolerance
7 in autism that we also don't understand.

8 I've had a number of autistic children, or
9 children with autistic features I should really say,
10 that have broken bones and one doesn't find out until
11 one looks very carefully.

12 I've had children that have had severe falls
13 and get right back up from them. Yet on the other
14 hand a child can have a small cut with bleeding and
15 become so upset that they sometimes can't be calmed
16 very quickly, or the place on another bandage on a cut
17 or a wound can't be tolerated sometimes.

18 So there are unusual sensory features. And
19 probably the prevalence of this gastrointestinal thing
20 down below stool retention, which is really only found
21 in about seven or eight percent of children with
22 autism. But it recurs so much because of the flow
23 around the stool that it does become a persistent
24 problem with frequent stools.

25 Q At this time we're going to move to a

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2464

1 discussion of Dr. Kinsbourne's report. You can get
2 back to your slide show.

3 Before we do that, though, I take it you've
4 reviewed Dr. Kinsbourne's report?

5 A Yes, ma'am. I have.

6 Q What's your general reaction to Dr.
7 Kinsbourne's hypothesis?

8 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: And you're
9 now on Slide 54?

10 THE WITNESS: Fifty-four.

11 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

12 Q Fifty-five I think has some of your
13 response, but just off the cuff --

14 A I prefaced my account with the problems that
15 we run into with deciding what the cause is in the
16 first place and trying to fit the evidence to it. I
17 mentioned Tycho Brahe and trying to place everything
18 around the earth in the solar system. There's lots of
19 this in medicine where people stick with a particular
20 thing. And as I mentioned, one of the greatest
21 figures in medicine and science, Oliver Lowry, said
22 that when you hit on the right idea it's bound, as
23 it's been my experience ever since, to be something
24 that is simple and elegant and unexpected, or usually
25 unexpected.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2465

1 The hypotheses here are incredibly complex
2 and awkward. They are, most of the data is either not
3 representative of the papers that are cited as
4 evidence or there seems to be some distortion of the
5 data. Other things are offered far ahead of the
6 availability of any reliable data. So there's very
7 meager data for these things. He's not to be faulted
8 for the fact that there's meager data because there
9 isn't that much data, but there is more data than is
10 cited and the data that is not in keeping with the
11 hypothesis is not cited.

12 These kinds of hypotheses are relatively
13 easy to put together. I don't know how this was put
14 together except to say that it's awkward. But
15 sometimes we see in our medical students, or in people
16 putting together high school projects for science
17 fairs, that they will go on-line and put a few words
18 in there and come up with some connection and try to
19 fit these things together in some way. One gets a
20 feeling for this, but I don't know that he did it that
21 way.

22 Prominent countervailing data and theories
23 are not considered, and the idea, we know a great deal
24 about the regulation and the interaction of the
25 systems that are involved and referred to, and there's

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2466

1 absolutely no apparent understanding of the ways in
2 which the system actually functions.

3 One example I already suggested, which is
4 this absolutely necessary interaction between
5 astrocytes and neurons and the very complicated
6 business of counter-regulation for excitatory
7 compounds in the synapse, and no real understanding of
8 the architecture that's in it as far as I can tell.

9 There is shifting reliance on one or another
10 portion of the data, and shifting reliance --

11 One convenient thing about an awkward theory
12 like this is that once you have the idea that they
13 give you some special susceptibility or there is some
14 way in which some particular thing can cause a problem
15 that it's never known to cause and hasn't been
16 identified as causing pathologically. You can
17 substitute one thing for another. So we now have
18 something that seems to be a substitution for prior
19 suggestions by various people, I believe Dr.
20 Kinsbourne among them, that measles virus does this.
21 That is an awkward hypothesis because we know exactly
22 what measles encephalopathy looks like clinically and
23 pathologically, and it's not autism.

24 The supportive data seemed to me to be taken
25 out of context and seemed many times to be impertinent

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2467

1 to what's going on. It's data selected to support
2 that hypothesis.

3 Q Moving to Slide 56. It appears you take
4 issue with Dr. Kinsbourne's hypothesis about
5 regression and his attempt to set regressive autism
6 off from classic autism. Can you explain your
7 thoughts on that?

8 A It's an artificial distinction except to say
9 that in some children we see an emphasis on parents,
10 tell us this and we believe them. We see an emphasis
11 on something declining in the second year, but
12 sometimes we get reports at variance with one another.
13 But it's a small difference and once we ask the
14 questions that I mentioned to you, our former view
15 that there was in fact this thing as a very discreet
16 thing has really vanished because we find pre-
17 regression abnormalities that I've already referred
18 to.

19 One thing that made these things rather
20 different from one another is when we used to include
21 a variety of symptomatic autisms under this heading,
22 some of which would fall into the classic group and
23 some of which would fall into the regressive group.
24 Once those were separated the difference became also
25 less distinct.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2468

1 As to whether there are more overt seizures
2 in regressive autism, we don't actually know whether
3 this is true. There are citations to this effect.
4 What we do know is we do more EEGs and we find more
5 EEG abnormalities than we have recognized in younger
6 children, but we don't do EEGs on our children that
7 come to us with autism in the first year of life.

8 Q Dr. Rust, if you had let's say two six year
9 old boys, one with what might be termed classic autism
10 and one with what might be termed regressive autism.
11 At the age of six, are they going to clinically
12 present any different from one another?

13 A They don't look any different to me. There
14 is some variation in individuals, but they don't look
15 any different to me.

16 The other point about this, seizures and
17 regressive things, is that overwhelmingly in my
18 practice and that of others, seizures are not a
19 feature of toxic conditions. Dysfunction is a feature
20 of toxic conditions.

21 When we see seizures and don't have an
22 explanation, the first thing we look for is a
23 developmental genetically determined condition.

24 Richler is cited in there, there are not too
25 many citations in there but he cites Richler's paper

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2469

1 about regressive autism and this is to support the
2 statement that there are more GI complaints in autism.
3 In the same paper Richler says the majority of
4 regressive ASD children had clearly atypical pre-loss
5 development. This is an example of a piece of
6 information. If you're citing a paper, you regard
7 somebody as authoritative in one sense, you must
8 regard them as authoritative in others. We don't have
9 any reason to distinguish and pick and choose. But
10 this is what we call cherry-picking which is sometimes
11 an aspect, usually an aspect of these kinds of
12 hypotheses, so we need to respect the individual who's
13 come up with something we think is important and
14 listen to the rest they have to say because it's
15 usually evidence they've looked very carefully. The
16 kinds of things that were found were social and verbal
17 IQ and language problems. That would seem to me to
18 undermine the idea that the MMR vaccine is causing
19 this combination of things.

20 Q The MMR vaccine or Thimerosal --

21 A Thimerosal, I'm sorry. Any vaccine really.

22 Q Let's move now to Slide 57, your comments on
23 the GI system.

24 A These are the kinds of data that are
25 gathered in careful groups. Really one of the leading

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2470

1 groups in the world is Isabelle Rapin's group. She's
2 been interested in this since 1961 and has published
3 extensively. Her data was what set me to thinking
4 about these things and looking carefully at our
5 children, and we find the same thing. The same amount
6 of patients with GI problems. Mostly problems from
7 above, stool problem abnormalities down below.

8 I think we've stolen the marks on
9 Isabelle's, the only time I've known about doing this
10 with her, with this idea about stool retention which
11 we've now found in so many. We're looking carefully
12 into this in a prospective way.

13 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Let me just
14 ask Dr. Rust, I'm lost with the abbreviation 42
15 percent DD. Help me.

16 THE WITNESS: Gastrointestinal problems, 70
17 percent of children with autistic spectrum disorders,
18 which includes as the Special Master suggested
19 earlier, a broader variety of individuals needs to be
20 looked at more carefully in sub-groups. But
21 developmental delay, 42 percent have gastrointestinal
22 problems. That's a high number. And in normal
23 children, the control is 28 percent.

24 So there are lots of children with
25 gastrointestinal problems. Lots of children that have

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2471

1 ear infections which are a non-specific feature not
2 suggestive of vulnerability for autism, so many of
3 those. But so many children get diarrhea as a result
4 of being treated for ear infections as so many
5 children get thrush from being treated for ear
6 infections. Then as they're treated, because of the
7 thrush resulting from the antibiotics, they get some
8 thrush down below, associated with diarrhea and it
9 gets into a cycle that we frequently see as the
10 explanation for children that have diarrhea in the
11 setting of normality, developmental delay or autism.

12 Stool pattern abnormalities, Isabelle's
13 group found 18 percent in autistic spectrum disorders
14 and four percent of controls.

15 We've looked at our children and have found
16 a slightly smaller number than that. About seven
17 percent of children with classic autism or regressive
18 autism, which we can't readily distinguish from one
19 another.

20 Q Let's move now to Slide 58 where you appear
21 to take issue with Dr. Kinsbourne's statement that
22 there was a previously normal developmental
23 trajectory. I think you've already somewhat explained
24 that.

25 A I really have. This issue of increment

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2472

1 needs to better refined than this. He doesn't support
2 it with things. And it seemed to me this was set up
3 for a particular purpose, what we call a straw man.
4 But if it's truly the fact that incremental changes
5 occur, then one can't exclude the possibility that we
6 find is a probability that children have what appears
7 to be a regression in the second year of life have had
8 preceding manifestations of illness in the first year
9 of life.

10 So this seems to be used, I don't know, I
11 can't get into his mind, but looking at the way in
12 which the argument is set up, this seems to be support
13 for the idea that there's some gradual and incremental
14 aspect to retention of inorganic mercury in the brain.

15 Q Moving now to Slide 59, the systems view of
16 autism.

17 A I think I've already referred to this a good
18 deal, but I think again the reason it's included here
19 is that this is not represented in the formulation of
20 the hypothesis. This is, the way in which most of us
21 that see lots of children with autism or spend a good
22 deal of our careers interested in this disorder try to
23 understand these things and so as I've mentioned
24 already, because the hypothesis has to do with
25 inflammation and intoxication, inflammation of a novel

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2473

1 sort that we don't know about otherwise, and
2 information about intoxication of a novel sort that we
3 don't otherwise know about, that it doesn't take into
4 consideration the fact that those conditions don't
5 produce the kinds of injury or the kinds of
6 abnormality, I should say, that involve these
7 functional connections.

8 The fact that there are a greater severity
9 of early injury in autism is suggestive to us that
10 during those early periods of brain development where
11 so much is happening so rapidly, that that's when much
12 more severe illness can present itself. And since
13 there isn't any exposure to toxins at that point it
14 would suggest to us that again the likelihood is that
15 the developmental aspect of the disease is what's
16 going on here. Rapid periods of development are
17 periods during which more severe disease presents
18 itself, and subsequently lesser degrees of injury.

19 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: What time are you
20 talking about with regard to that second bullet?

21 THE WITNESS: The intrauterine environment
22 being the most severe interval for those things. We
23 have numerous examples of that.

24 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

25 Q Moving now to Slide 60, autistic regression.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2474

1 A Again, this seems to be at variance with the
2 idea that there is an incremental development of
3 disease that was asserted earlier. It's at variance
4 with what we really now know, once we've been looking
5 carefully about additional stages of deterioration in
6 autism. I mentioned in particular deterioration
7 during the second decade of life which is a very
8 troublesome period for that. And certainly at
9 variance with the hypothesis that then is developed
10 later on that there is ongoing injury that represents
11 itself not only in ongoing changes in the system, but
12 an ongoing manifestation being the novel idea about
13 hyperexcitability in the brain.

14 He does say in the same paragraph that
15 autism may become more severe, and that would seem to
16 me also not to be self-limiting. Then there's the
17 issue of if the regression is self-limiting why it is
18 that children might get benefit from chelation and
19 other kinds of things if the injury's already been
20 produced.

21 There is a false assertion that the medical
22 literature is almost devoid of attention to the
23 mechanism of regression in autism. There is an
24 enormous literature on this subject and considerable
25 attention to understanding this very important disease

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2475

1 and its results.

2 Q Moving now to Slide 61.

3 A There is an inaccurate statement that
4 autistic regression is shocking. This seems to put a
5 little emotional aspect in the particular paragraph
6 and then it can't be mistaken for mental retardation
7 or developmental delay. This is considerably at
8 variance with my own experience that families do
9 notice these things but wonder about them for some
10 time oftentimes. These are not the sort of thing,
11 because families do notice things, that would have
12 been overlooked in the past. Families would either
13 early or later have brought them to our attention.

14 The differences that we see in these
15 children, as I mention now, is a long list of things
16 we can ask about. And there are things that were
17 overlooked in the past, but nonetheless the function
18 of children with autism is something that we've known
19 about for a long time. We've given it wrong labels in
20 the past. This had to do in part with
21 institutionalization. It had to do in part, in
22 considerable part, with our inattention to these
23 manifestations and our willingness to use labels
24 inappropriately. We're far more sophisticated now.

25 But it does, in my own personal experience,

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2476

1 and in the experience of many of us, and in my
2 continued observations about clinicians who refer
3 patients to me, to see that we are labeling patients
4 better than we used to and may of us believe the
5 seeming increase in numbers of cases of autism is
6 related to our much increased ability to diagnose.

7 Every year I diagnose many children with
8 autism, as I mentioned, who have been overlooked by
9 other clinicians as having a very obvious case of that
10 disease.

11 Q Your last point there, you say there's no
12 reason to argue that the genetic explanation is
13 inadequate and therefore an environmental factor must
14 be implicated.

15 I want to ask you a little bit about
16 differential diagnosis. If you're trying to figure
17 out the cause of some type of disorder and you create
18 a list, let's say, with two items on it. And you're
19 able to cross one of them off. Does that mean that the
20 one that's left on the list is the cause of the
21 underlying disorder?

22 A No, it certainly doesn't. It sometimes
23 does, we get lucky sometimes, and some diseases are
24 pretty obvious to us so neurologists take great pride
25 in making the smallest list possible. Once they've

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2477

1 made the list they don't just sit back and put it on
2 the wall. The test for it. And it's our pride that
3 sometimes we can say something right off the bat.

4 It's not as if other people haven't noticed.
5 Especially in autism, when I see a child, based on a
6 few quick observations, placing my hand on the head of
7 the child, then ask a few more questions, and diagnose
8 autism, they've been through three physicians or four
9 physicians and I ask the mother, you knew this was
10 autism, didn't you? She says yes, she did. So the
11 mothers sometimes know. We sometimes know because of
12 certain clues. But if we have an idea about
13 something, it's our obligation then to test for it.
14 For all those things that we have tests we go ahead
15 and do it. Some make long lists for these tests, and
16 some make short lists.

17 But we don't have an explanation for many
18 conditions. There are lots of things that we deal
19 with every day. We don't know what causes most
20 cerebral palsy. We don't know what causes 85 percent
21 of mental retardation. That doesn't stop us looking
22 for those things and it doesn't cause us to conclude
23 that we could make something up on the spot and say
24 that causes all of them.

25 Q Let's move now to Slide 82. I'm sorry, 62.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2478

1 A A citation of Rutter is used to support the
2 suggestion that awareness in changing criteria cannot
3 account for anything like the actual rise of autism
4 rates.

5 What he actually says is available data
6 mostly prevalence, few of incidence, but no good
7 evidence that the overall rates have soared. So this
8 is a distinction between knowing what the real
9 incidence of a disease is and knowing what the
10 prevalence in our own populations, based on what we
11 recognize as the disease is. And now we recognize
12 more and more of it, so actually we're getting closer
13 to the idea of what the incidence is and that
14 incidence is higher not because the disease is
15 increasing, most of us believe, still requires some
16 more proof that has to be further refined, as all
17 hypotheses do. But the evidence, as we look at it,
18 favors this, that the incidence is higher than we
19 thought it was because we didn't look carefully
20 enough.

21 If you look at a population in the country,
22 I don't know why Swedes do such good medicine. Maybe
23 it's the long winters and nothing else to do, but they
24 look at their diseases so carefully, and only a one
25 percent rise in the incidence of autism in the Swedish

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2479

1 population since the 1970 data. They have some of the
2 best data on these kinds of things.

3 We do have an increase in autism diagnosis
4 as a symptomatic variety and that's related to the
5 only very recently recognized fact that our children
6 with severe prematurity have autism as well. It's one
7 of the other features. They also have motor disease
8 and other things, but definitely have features that
9 are those that we look for in autism. It's a
10 symptomatic variety. And because we have more
11 children that survive severe prematurity, we see more
12 of that neurologically handicapping condition.

13 Q Let's move now to Slide 63. Your critique
14 of Dr. Kinsbourne's citation of the Herbert article.

15 A The cited source seems to take a pretty
16 balanced view and says that autism is a
17 neurobiologically based and highly genetic condition
18 entailing the action of environmentally responsive
19 genes. Emphasis is placed in the review on the fact
20 that the 135 genes are involved with regions pertinent
21 to autism and remain to be evaluated as possible
22 causes. And the statement that it is important to
23 consider the gene environment interaction as a
24 possibility did not lead to the conclusion that a
25 particular environmental influence could be found to

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2480

1 cause autism.

2 It's quite incorrect for Dr. Kinsbourne to
3 state that in many individuals with autism there is no
4 viable alternative diagnostic option other than the
5 involvement of post-natal environmental insult. This
6 is not true at all, I can say based on my experience,
7 and to suggest the possibility, I don't know the truth
8 of it. Perhaps he doesn't see many children with
9 autism.

10 The same can be said of other processes now
11 known to be entirely genetic such as Rett syndrome.
12 Again, the original idea that lasted for some time
13 that this was caused by ammonia intoxication based on
14 a faulty lab result, based on not testing the
15 hypothesis, and based on the satisfaction with ease of
16 coming to the conclusion about what causes what.

17 Q Let's move now to Slide 64 where you discuss
18 Dr. Kinsbourne's explanation of inorganic mercury.

19 A He says that it's a cause, this point, it's
20 caused by, I think there have been prior views, is
21 caused by inorganic mercury breakdown, a breakdown
22 into inorganic mercury. If this is the case, since
23 ethyl mercury also breaks down and we know what ethyl
24 mercury looks like when it's in sufficient quantities
25 to cause injury, we know that it takes a very

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2481

1 considerable quantity to do that, as I've suggested in
2 the concentration that occurs in the fetus --

3 Q You're talking about methyl mercury?

4 A I'm sorry, methyl mercury. Did I say ethyl?

5 Q I think you did.

6 A I'm terribly sorry. With methyl mercury, we
7 know what that looks like. It takes a considerable
8 amount, as I mentioned, concentrated in the fetus
9 preferentially, unfortunately, but once you get that
10 amount we know what that looks like. It breaks down
11 into inorganic mercury. And the changes and
12 differences between these compounds at various
13 concentrations I would not think, we don't know this
14 for sure because it's not been carefully studied,
15 would not produce different forms of injury because
16 sensitivities should be the same for inorganic
17 mercury. It needs to be tested as well.

18 The hypothesis that an immune response
19 somehow changes this pathology is a novel one for
20 which there is no information that I'm aware of, and I
21 looked very hard to see whether that's the case.

22 Then assessed the ideas about the immune
23 response itself, found that there was no support for
24 this novel hypothesis which as I recall Dr. Kinsbourne
25 takes credit for. And the suggestion of sub-acute

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2482

1 ongoing injury once he gets into this portion of the
2 discussion seems to me completely at variance with the
3 idea that there's a shocking suddenness and a self-
4 limiting aspect to autism.

5 Q We'll move now to Slide 65 which is titled
6 glial cells and the brain.

7 Dr. Rust, have you published anything on
8 astrocytes in the past?

9 A Yes, I have. It's an old interest of mine,
10 in particular the developmental aspects of astrocytes,
11 what their functions were, how they worked
12 biochemically, what they did in relationship to other
13 cells in the brain. This was particularly in
14 relationship to neurons and to oligodendroglial cells
15 which have remarkably interesting relationships in the
16 developing brain that I've already referred to in
17 part.

18 Q Does Dr. Kinsbourne's characterization of
19 astrocytic and microglial changes in the brain, is
20 that consistent with what you know about it?

21 A Not at all. Nor is it consistent with what
22 I know about inflammation. Inflammatory illnesses in
23 the central nervous system have been a preoccupation
24 of mine since the mid '80s. I've collected some of
25 the largest collections of the known inflammatory

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2483

1 diseases of children, and both speak on this subject
2 and publish on this subject. It's a difficult one,
3 but we know a good deal about how these conditions
4 behave, both clinically and pathologically, and we
5 know again, increasing amounts about what astroglial
6 cells, astrocytes or microglial cells do both in
7 inflammation in brain injury and now this recent and
8 very interesting business that's related to the
9 function of these cells in brain development.

10 If you have injury such as you have with
11 methyl mercury, then microglial cells appear in order
12 to clean up the injured cells. They do that regularly.
13 They do that in inflammatory conditions as well.

14 We don't fully understand microglial cells.
15 There's still a lot of mystery tied up in them and
16 there's still lots of things to study about them. So
17 this novel idea is one that somebody might choose to
18 do experiments to prove. Perhaps Dr. Kinsbourne would
19 be interested.

20 One of the many explanations for the
21 presence of microglia found in, well, it's a novel
22 idea is what I'm trying to say.

23 There is increasing evidence of the presence
24 of inflammatory cells as a very important and normal
25 element of brain development in terms of how the brain

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2484

1 develops. Perhaps Dr. Kemper who knows much more
2 about that than I do, will say something about he.

3 Q Perhaps he will.

4 Let's move on to Slide 66. I think this
5 appears to be sort of a general response that you have
6 to Dr. Kinsbourne's hypothesis. What about this is
7 striking to you?

8 A He cites in support of sustained
9 neuroinflammation, the paper of Vezzani and Granata.
10 This is work that was carried on in an entirely
11 different setting, one that we understand in an
12 entirely different way, and for which we've had
13 information since the late 1970s. This has nothing to
14 do with mercury, it has nothing to do with autism, and
15 what this has to do with is what we now understand
16 very well about the natural activities demonstrated
17 experimentally in terms of the development of an
18 epileptic focus. Again, something that has nothing to
19 do with what we're talking about here.

20 So their work isn't in any way applicable to
21 what's going on here.

22 What we know about is that if you stimulate
23 particularly susceptible cells in the hippocampus,
24 this is originally the work of Tom Sutula who trained
25 at my institution. If you for a long period of time

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2485

1 provide an external stimulus to neurons, so you do
2 this by placing a wire and providing a regular pulse
3 of current. This is not because neurons have decided
4 somehow to take it on themselves to have impulses,
5 because as I mentioned, there are so many exquisite
6 regulatory mechanisms that prevent that. And they're
7 so able and so redundant that you have to do this over
8 and over again, the stimulus, before you can cause
9 them to begin to break down and produce a state where
10 the control mechanisms don't work as well and you can
11 produce an epileptic focus. That's what Vezzani and
12 Granata are talking about.

13 So there's external stimulus, not exogenous
14 stimulus. So it just takes your breath away how this
15 is being applied here.

16 It's ignored that their conclusion is that
17 the changes are related to genetic transcriptional
18 activation which is exactly what has come to be
19 understood in this experimental model.

20 So it's the turning on and turning off of
21 genes here once again, that tissue injury occurs, and
22 the subsequent work by Dr. Dichter in Philadelphia and
23 others has shown that this regional injury in very
24 susceptible tissue with a very special circumstance
25 not of neurons taking it upon themselves to be

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2486

1 excited, but stimulating them over and over again with
2 a noxious stimulus is the failure to control highly
3 specific difficulties in elevations of potassium.

4 You can injure the region with excitatory
5 amino acids as well, which is something that Dr.
6 Kinsbourne seems to refer to vaguely, but this is a
7 particular thing that has a particular genetic and
8 particular biochemical abnormalities. It's required
9 30 years of work for this to actually work its way out
10 to be understood, and it's because people, when Tom
11 Sutula had the initial idea, lots of people thought
12 that this was a silly idea, too.

13 I suppose if I say this about Dr.
14 Kinsbourne's ideas here, perhaps I would. But it
15 takes work to prove these things. You can't just go
16 out and say I think this is a pretty good idea. And
17 it took ten years for Tom Sutula to demonstrate what
18 was going on. The result of that was generating the
19 data that I've already cited about long loop
20 connections. Because epilepsy, when it arises, is one
21 of those examples as well.

22 It doesn't reach any conclusion at all about
23 whether the presence of cells associated with
24 inflammatory responses, to make a point of this, is
25 beneficial or dilatory.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2487

1 Q Let's move on now to Slide 67 where Dr.
2 Kinsbourne stated that there's dramatic support for
3 his hypothesis.

4 A He cites Bailey, and they identify gliosis
5 in brains of individuals with autism. This is a non-
6 specific finding. Again, it's something about which
7 Dr. Kemper knows a great deal more than I do so
8 perhaps I shouldn't go into it, but I know that in
9 brain diseases in particular, of a broad variety, we
10 see these especially in some conditions that arise
11 from a genetic vantage point. The paper said that the
12 cause and time of onset of autism is not known, and
13 that the finding of gliosis was an inconsistent
14 finding, and that the cause of gliosis and brain
15 damage was unspecified, and it specifically stated
16 that the findings cannot be assigned to any specific
17 possible causative event or process.

18 This seems to me a balanced view and cannot,
19 in my view, be regarded as anything like dramatic
20 support for this novel combination of toxins and
21 inflammation as the cause of autism. They don't
22 discuss anything about that at all.

23 Q Let's move down to Slide 68 with the Hurtado
24 --

25 A There's this paper by Lopez Hurtado and

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2488

1 Prieto. Only some parts of the brain are studied and
2 again, Dr. Kemper knows so much more about this than I
3 do. So particular areas, these are speech areas were
4 looked at. There was some focally increased density
5 of glial cells noted in association with a decrease in
6 neuron density in a particular area. Lipofuchsin was
7 present there which is a pretty non-specific thing,
8 and these were individuals with autism.

9 The age -- He states that the age of injury
10 was seven to 44 years of age which is interesting. I
11 don't know whether the paper tells us that there is
12 any difference in the amount of lipofuchsin or gliosis
13 over those ages. I don't know the answer to that.
14 But we know that lipofuchsin which can be found in the
15 brains of otherwise normal individuals, gradually may
16 increase as an aspect of growth and development for
17 reasons that aren't clear. And a 44 year old
18 individual is quite interesting, I think, because --

19 Q Let's move to the next slide. Slide 69.

20 A Where did he get Thimerosal from?

21 Q Slide 69.

22 A We don't know exactly when he died, but one
23 can gather from the paper somewhere in the early '60s.
24 So where did his Thimerosal come from if he has these
25 changes? Where did his vaccines come from? We had

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2489

1 very few back then. Most were not invented at that
2 point. We had tetanus and things like that.

3 As I mentioned, lipofuchsin is non-specific.
4 The changes were most striking, this is in the paper,
5 not Dr. Kinsbourne's use of the word, in layers II,
6 III, V and VI, which is interesting in relationship to
7 Rett syndrome, and a genetically determined cause of
8 autistic syndrome. Similar changes are seen in Down's
9 syndrome. They're seen in Alzheimer and Parkinson's
10 disease. They likely have at least in part a genetic
11 basis. And schizophrenia which has some clinical
12 overlap.

13 Q Let's move now to Slide 70. The Friedman
14 citation.

15 A The following paragraph, this is Dr.
16 Friedman and his group, says they've demonstrated
17 ongoing active disease in the cerebral gray matter of
18 individuals with autism.

19 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Can you slow down
20 a little bit, Doctor?

21 THE WITNESS: I'm terribly sorry. My
22 students tell me I do that, too.

23 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Especially when
24 you read word for word from the slides, you're going
25 pretty fast.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2490

1 THE WITNESS: I'll try my best. Remind me
2 again, please, sir.

3 Ongoing active disease in the gray matter of
4 individuals with autism. There should be another
5 quotation marks there.

6 To the contrary, to my reading, these are
7 indirect imaging studies in fact, something with which
8 I'm quite familiar. They say that there is possible
9 decreased cellularity. They don't tell us about
10 ongoing active disease in gray matter, and this is
11 consistent with, as they put it, delay in neuronal
12 development or maturation. That's something quite
13 different from what is said in the report.

14 They concluded that autism manifested, and
15 this is their quote, "abnormal developmental
16 processes" and they say nothing more than that, by my
17 reading.

18 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

19 Q Let's move now to Slide 71, the Vargas and
20 Pardo citation.

21 A Yes. The work of Drs. Vargas and Pardo. I
22 think they're with the Hopkins Group, concerning
23 evidence of microglial and astroglial activation.
24 Something again that's very new in this area except in
25 certain kinds of diseases. A broad variety. We know

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2491

1 a lot about it. But the implication seems to be that
2 Dr. Pardo notes that longstanding inflammatory changes
3 occurred in the setting of other neurodevelopmental
4 abnormalities, probably as part of an active plastic
5 response without any decrease in astrocytes.

6 To the contrary, the stating here is with
7 GFAP which is a marker for astrocytes and showed that
8 they were increased and he concluded that these
9 findings are inconsistent with the potential toxic
10 effect on astrocytes by neurotoxins or a toxic
11 material. The reason for that is if you have
12 intoxication and it kills or maims astrocytes you're
13 going to see a decline in GFAP, the marker for
14 astrocytes.

15 He properly emphasizes the innate wing of
16 the neuroinflammatory response is not associated with
17 infiltration of activated T or B cells which seem to
18 be the kind of process that Dr. Kinsbourne is meaning
19 to refer to.

20 Q Dr. Rust, have you read the letter that Dr.
21 Pardo wrote to Dr. Kemper?

22 A I did.

23 Q Is that included in the slide on, Slide 71?
24 Is that what you --

25 A Yes. Whether at this point it came from the

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2492

1 paper or from the letter, I actually can't recall.

2 Q Let's move to 72. It's a continued
3 discussion of that letter, or of the Pardo group's --

4 A He says there are a suite, I don't know what
5 that is, but I suppose a group of elevated pro-
6 inflammatory cytokine levels in CSF. He says this is
7 evidence of brain inflammation.

8 This is a very very complicated subject. It
9 needs to be addressed very carefully. There is
10 balance between cytokines in the nervous system, some
11 are pro and some are anti-inflammatory. These
12 cytokines serve a number of different functions and
13 these include not only inflammatory diseases, but
14 likely aspects of normal brain development as implied.

15 So they are important actually
16 neurobiologically in normal brain homeostasis, and not
17 necessarily representative of a condition that's
18 causing inflammation.

19 We find these not only in the brain but
20 elsewhere in the body. It's easy for us sometimes if
21 we do a large look for either antibodies or cytokines
22 of various sorts to find these in a broad variety of
23 diseases and we sometimes don't understand whether
24 they're positive or negative.

25 Dr. Pardo appears to be well aware of the

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2493

1 homeostatic functions of cytokines and chemokines and
2 mentions these and makes it clear that his studies did
3 not confirm a toxic inflammatory basis for any of his
4 observations, or that they represent any deleterious
5 process, but they could as well represent a non-
6 specific process of repair.

7 I believe that's from the letter.

8 Q I believe on Slide 73 you seem to summarize
9 the same idea there.

10 A So there's abundant evidence of the presence
11 not only of cytokines and chemokines but of specific
12 antibodies in brain tissue and CSF in a broad variety
13 of neurological conditions that we know to be
14 genetically determined, Rett syndrome being one among
15 them that's very important here. Tuberous sclerosis
16 as well, and other conditions such as Parkinson's
17 disease.

18 So in those conditions where we don't
19 recognize anything to do with inflammation or
20 intoxication, we have to think about these things
21 serving some other function. And whether positive or
22 negative, we don't know.

23 Q Moving now to Slide 74.

24 A The basic argument doesn't seem to be very
25 helpful. He turns to Aschner's hypothesis of

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2494

1 astrocytic injury as a source of neuronal injury or
2 neuronal dysfunction. This is an intermediate step in
3 the pathophysiology of autism, so somehow the fact
4 that the astrocytes, appreciating perhaps as I do
5 their importance to the neurons, once they begin to
6 fail in their function that the neurons begin to do
7 things on their own.

8 So Dr. Aschner's work I don't know fully. I
9 do know his work on manganese toxicity and
10 mitochondria which as far as I know is not relevant to
11 what we're talking about here.

12 I didn't have the opportunity to see the
13 report for very long from Dr. Kinsbourne, but was
14 unable to find the paper cited from the Brazilian
15 Journal of Medical and Biological Research with regard
16 to the argument, so I don't know what that said. With
17 some trepidation, as I suggest, I base my comments on
18 Dr. Kinsbourne's interpretation. He seems to implying
19 an affect of glutamine, he says glutamine. Now
20 whether that's just a misstatement or not, there's
21 another misstatement apparently with regard to
22 pyramidal cells and Purkinje cells, so this may have
23 been a slip of the pen. I'm known to do them myself,
24 and all of us are.

25 But glutamine is a non-toxic substance.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2495

1 It's put into the region of the neurons so it can be
2 taken up and changed into glutamate. Maybe I'll show
3 that.

4 I want to show a little bit of my own work,
5 but I'll go through it quickly I hope so I won't take
6 up too much of your time. But this hypothesis is one
7 that I think has lethal problems in terms of
8 scientific support.

9 There is some basis of this on the article
10 by Bezzi and others that astrocytic cell death is the
11 cause of the ensuing neuronal dysfunction. And
12 afterwards, sustained for a long term, hyperexcited
13 neuronal state which again is at variance with the
14 idea of all at once, nothing first, and no ensuing
15 development of autism.

16 Q Slide 75 is a little more specific to the
17 Bezzi article.

18 A As he reads the experimental conditions in
19 the Bezzi experiments, as in almost all studies, as I
20 mentioned, of viable neurons you have to have healthy
21 astrocytes to have neurons in the first place. There
22 are only very special circumstances where you can have
23 neurons in isolation.

24 The injury here is not produced by chronic
25 inflammation at all. It's produced by the

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2496

1 introduction of freshly activated microglial cells.
2 This is a very important thing for us to know about.
3 We know about the very important thing of what we call
4 bystander injury.

5 Once you produce a specific response of some
6 sort, you can produce bystander injury once you
7 activate the immune system in a particular way.
8 Therefore you can injure cells that were not initially
9 implicated and whether this is what's going on here,
10 we don't know for sure because additional work is
11 necessary.

12 The third and final point I want to make is
13 that the end point in this experiment wasn't glial
14 injury. The end point was neuronal hyperexcitation --
15 No, it wasn't glial injury and it wasn't neuronal
16 hyperexcitation with the proposed idea of glutamate
17 flow, and I don't know what that is, but it was
18 neuronal cell death. Then I ask why this might have
19 occurred.

20 Q So if there were a chronic astrocyte
21 malfunction or astrocyte death, that would cause the
22 death of the neuron. Is that what you're saying?

23 A I can show you the reasons why that might
24 happen.

25 Q On the following slide? Yes, sir.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2497

1 To the extent we can minimize the
2 observation -- Number 76 is the slide we're on now.

3 A Part of the interaction, and this is the
4 interaction that involves glutamate and glutamine.
5 It's highly regulated both at the level of the neuron
6 and at the astrocyte and it's intended to provide the
7 precursor for glutamate.

8 If the neuron becomes exposed to too much
9 glutamate or contains too much glutamate, the process
10 shuts down. It's in the way of glutamine being
11 uptaken by the neuron. There is some emerging
12 evidence, but very preliminary evidence, about what
13 happens in terms of the glutamate pore. There
14 probably is also a highly regulated situation in the
15 astrocyte as far as release of glutamate, but it's
16 much too early to know how pertinent that is to the
17 proposed model of disease here.

18 Q Does it seem to you that Dr. Kinsbourne is
19 focusing on the glutamate kind of in isolation without
20 regard to the rest of the system?

21 A Well, he doesn't mention any of the rest of
22 the system, if that's what you mean.

23 Q The next slide, is that important to your
24 discussion here?

25 A Well, this is --

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2498

1 Q Number 77.

2 A -- what I mentioned about the astrocytes and
3 this is, astrocytes early on are loaded with glycogen
4 which is a source of glucose. We know during that
5 interval, we have very good reason to believe I should
6 say, know is perhaps too strong a word. But the
7 evidence is very strong that the presence of this
8 energy resource serves several different purposes.
9 One is producing intermediates for growth and
10 development in the brain; the other is glucose to
11 support cells that don't have the capacity that
12 astrocytes do to accumulate and utilize this primary
13 source of energy in the brain, glucose.

14 Q Slide 78. What are we looking at here?

15 A There was mention of the sheathing that
16 occurs with the astrocyte and the neuron. This is
17 also a very important and new area that's progressing
18 very rapidly. These are artist's conceptions but the
19 information is very strong in support of these things
20 and with regard to the functional elements that I'll
21 mention. It's proven.

22 One interesting thing is that what many
23 people call the neural synapse, and this is, we know
24 about the synapse, but what many people call the
25 immune synapse which is communication between immune

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2499

1 cells. We've always known that at least two or three
2 different immune cells talk to each other in producing
3 an immune response.

4 But there is increasingly abundant evidence
5 that this very closely resembles what we call the
6 neuro synapse, at least implying in a way that is a
7 little loose so I'll acknowledge that, there may have
8 been a very primitive association between the immune
9 things and neurologic things. Perhaps that's why the
10 systems we're beginning to appreciate have so much to
11 do with one another. But nonetheless, what's shown
12 here if you look at the neural synapse is that the
13 attachment between two cells at the synapse, this is
14 where the glutamate finds its way to communicate
15 between cells, is tightly connected with adhesion
16 molecules, but between the two neurological elements.

17 Small amounts of glutamate can be released
18 in this region and those small amounts of glutamate
19 produce exquisite signals. The receiving cell of this
20 signal can dial up or dial down the sensitivity of
21 this glutamate. If there's too much glutamate, it
22 dials way down. It loses receptors and it doesn't
23 remake them and push them back to the surface.

24 So this is a dynamic system that the point
25 is, it's highly regulated. It is possible to injure

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2500

1 it but not so far as we know because of glutamate
2 necessarily in flow from some other cells that happen
3 to be in the vicinity. The usual idea here is this
4 has to do with this tightly regulated and enclosed
5 neural synapse.

6 Again, the same thing appears to be true
7 with regard to the very same kinds of exquisite
8 regulation to the immune synapse which is meant to
9 bring to mind to us that the immune system very highly
10 regulates itself, whether it's with inflammation or
11 whether it's with the normal developmental functions
12 or whether it's in terms of cleaning up after some
13 injury that it performs in the nervous system.

14 Q Dr. Rust, you may have already gone over
15 this, but if there were to be too much glutamate
16 released from the end of the cell, what would be
17 expected as regards to the neuron?

18 A The exquisite part of this is the GABA
19 regulation, down-regulation that occurs so that you
20 limit the amount of glutamate released at that point.
21 So there's inhibition that comes in several different
22 kinds. There's long term and short term and other
23 things that happen. But this is involved in learning
24 and it's involved in normal function of the nervous
25 system, and development, and it's highly regulated.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2501

1 And it's regulated at the level of the astrocyte as
2 well, although that's an area about which we know
3 somewhat less than we know about neurons at this
4 point.

5 Q If that regulating system were not in place
6 and there was too much glutamate, would that cause a
7 neuron to die?

8 A Yes. And again, it takes a long time to do
9 that. As I mentioned from the Sutula model and
10 others, you have to stay at it and stay at it to cause
11 the remodeling to produce an epileptic situation. But
12 that's right.

13 Q Do you agree with Dr. Kinsbourne's statement
14 that autistic behavior is precisely what one would
15 expect if the brain's excitation inhibition ratio were
16 skewed in favor of excitation as occurs in
17 hyperglutamatergic states? Do you agree with that
18 statement?

19 A Well, I'd have to know more about what he
20 means by that. It's a rather general statement. It
21 seems to be applied to the notion that children with
22 autism have manifestations of a hyperexcitable state.
23 At least that's what I recall. And I don't know that
24 this is true at all.

25 I mentioned we've got to be very careful

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2502

1 about what we conclude about what children with autism
2 are doing, and so I think that's a problem.

3 But I would go on to say that if he's
4 referring to the fact that the GABAergic side of
5 things is having problems, which we know happens in
6 Rett syndrome, and may well happen in autism because
7 the systems that are involved involve GABA, maybe
8 that's something. It needs to be tested.

9 But nothing to do with the leak of glutamate
10 or flow of glutamate that I'm aware of. What that
11 does is produce injury. The most active cells will
12 then be injured and die.

13 Q If Dr. Kinsbourne's hypothesis were true,
14 would you expect the deficit seen in an autistic
15 patient to get progressively worse over time, based on
16 his model?

17 A Yes, because the only way we understand that
18 model as working would be if we're causing
19 hyperexcitation over long intervals of time, then
20 that's the sort of thing the Sutula model involves,
21 and what that does is remodel things to produce
22 epilepsy or it kills cells, one or the other.

23 So what we would expect to see happening, as
24 we see in epilepsy for example where hyperexcitability
25 is an issue, we see progressive tissue injury. What

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2503

1 that produces is changes in motor function, changes in
2 intellectual function, changes in other functions that
3 the brain is intended to do, and the production of
4 worsening epilepsy.

5 That's not what we see in autism. We do see
6 some progressive issues with regard to EEG changes and
7 epilepsy. We don't understand those things yet and we
8 don't know whether they have anything to do with this
9 hypothesis. But if we see that sort of worsening in
10 other situations, which we do see in a variety of
11 epilepsies, we call them epilepsy partialis continuum,
12 because of the continuous hyperexcitability. What we
13 see absolutely in those cases is progressive injury to
14 the brain. A non-specific sort of regional injury is
15 the typical thing that we see. And we don't see that
16 in autism. Injury that is neighborhood injury, injury
17 that produces clinical signs which are motor and
18 intellectual signs, and we don't see that in autism.

19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Ms.
20 Esposito, let me ask. I'm getting the eyeball that
21 suggests that we might be getting close to the hour we
22 had designated to break. Are you at a point that's a
23 natural breaking point?

24 MS. ESPOSITO: I'm very close to it.

25 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Okay.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT

2504

1 MR. MATANOSKI: We could perhaps just break
2 down now and then come back briefly. That way some of
3 these slides that may not be referred to, we can turn
4 them out. So I think this is probably a natural
5 breaking point.

6 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Having
7 decided that this is now a natural breaking point, we
8 are going to break.

9 Let me ask if we want to do a compromised 45
10 minute lunch break or if we want the entire hour, not
11 knowing how much longer Direct is to go.

12 MR. MATANOSKI: I think Direct is going to
13 be probably very brief when we get back.

14 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Okay. Is
15 that a move in favor of a full hour for lunch?

16 MR. POWERS: A full hour, yes, Special
17 Master. We'd appreciate that.

18 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Okay. Then
19 we are in recess until 2:45.

20 MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you.

21 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

22 (Whereupon, at 1:43 p.m., the hearing in the
23 above-entitled matter was recessed, to reconvene at
24 2:45 p.m. this same day, Wednesday, May 21, 2008.)

25 //

1 A F T E R N O O N S E S S I O N

2 (2:45 p.m.)

3 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: For those
4 who are with us, please be seated. We're awaiting the
5 return of Respondents.

6 (Pause).

7 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you,
8 Dr. Rust. I did notice you were adjusting, I assume
9 turning off your electronics.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I apologize for
11 being late.

12 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Ms.
13 Esposito, are you prepared to resume your Direct
14 Examination?

15 MS. ESPOSITO: Yes, thank you.

16 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Cont'd)

17 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

18 Q Dr. Rust, before the lunch break we were
19 going over some of the slides towards the end of your
20 slide presentation. I believe most of those slides if
21 not all of them related to your study that you did in
22 1991 about astrocytes, is that correct?

23 A That's correct. And other cells as well.

24 Q Do you believe it's necessary to go through
25 those slides or --

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT (CONT'D)

2506

1 A No, I don't. I can summarize it very
2 quickly.

3 Q Please do.

4 A The idea here was to look to see how during
5 development and with maturation cells in different
6 sources, that included neurons and astrocytes in
7 particular, how they expressed and utilized enzymes
8 for various purposes to see how they interact with
9 each other.

10 Now I already implied that the astrocytes
11 are remarkably prepared to store glucose as glycogen
12 and then to break it down and give it neurons and to
13 other cells in order to support them when they were
14 doing other tasks.

15 So basically all those slides do is to
16 demonstrate how much in the way of this enrichment is
17 found in the astrocytes and how little in neurons and
18 in other cells.

19 So from the standpoint of supporting,
20 providing energy to neurons so they can do their work,
21 and from the vantage point of eliminating things that
22 might cause problems for the neurons. And from the
23 vantage point of the repair and synthesis and all
24 those things that neurons do, it's the astrocytes that
25 do that.

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT (CONT'D)

2507

1 The implication for which there is abundant
2 evidence is that if you damage or destroy the
3 astrocytes, the neurons will not be able to function.

4 So the idea that you can somehow eliminate
5 astrocytes and then have neurons get out of control is
6 actually quite wrong, because in order for a neuron to
7 become hyperexcitable, it's going to have to have
8 additional support of energy which can only come from
9 the astrocyte. It comes in five or six different
10 ways. And so a damaged astrocyte is not going to be
11 able to support that function.

12 So what will happen and does happen is that
13 neuronal function will diminish and then stop. That's
14 why we have to grow the neurons in the presence of
15 astrocytes except in very special conditions, and even
16 there it doesn't last for very long that you can do
17 that.

18 So the point there was with regard to the
19 idea that somehow something happens to astrocytes and
20 caused inflammation and the neurons then go on for
21 long periods of time being hyperexcitable, and this is
22 not possible. That's what that information is about.

23 Q Thank you.

24 In Dr. Kinsbourne's report on page 20 he
25 says, "Autistic symptomatology can be classified into

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT (CONT'D)

2508

1 that which exemplifies the effects of hyper arousal
2 and that which represents an attempt to escape from
3 such effects or fend them off."

4 Do you have any comment on that particular
5 sentence?

6 A It's speculation. As I mentioned, we've got
7 to be very careful. We've made so many errors over
8 time in trying to decide why individuals with autism
9 do what they do. And much of the time we simply don't
10 know. That's the aspect of strangeness that I
11 suggested, not meaning to be disrespectful to people
12 with autistic features, it's just that it appears
13 strange to us as perhaps we do in return.

14 But to first of all presume that this
15 represents a particular state of arousal or state of
16 anxiety or state of something else is something we can
17 very easily make an error concerning. I think that
18 these kinds of judgments and speculation and
19 theorization about these things is best made by people
20 who see a great many children with autism because
21 somebody that sees one or two is going to be in the
22 same situation as the person who might accost a family
23 about their autistic, the child with autistic
24 features, and they present my card saying you don't
25 understand what's going on here. So that's what

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT (CONT'D)

2509

1 that's all about.

2 And we don't know that these are
3 hyperexcitable states, and we don't know that it's
4 some particular difficulty about dealing with
5 stimulation. We catalog and collect these things and
6 try to understand them and we try to understand which
7 are any different than what we might see in other
8 individuals and which are age related.

9 But this merges into the dangerous territory
10 of speculation based on perhaps inadequate
11 information. The more individuals you see with autism
12 not only the more you can refined you get about what
13 you're saying, but the more appreciation and wonder
14 you have about what they can do well and that sort of
15 thing.

16 Q On page 22 of his report Dr. Kinsbourne says
17 that "Over time stereotypies lower neuro excitation
18 levels."

19 Do you agree with that statement?

20 A There's not one shred of evidence to suggest
21 that that's true. We see stereotypies in perfectly
22 normal children, and they can be quite complex, and we
23 don't have any idea why they happen. Those are
24 children whom we can talk to about it.

25 All of us have little ticks and things we do

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT (CONT'D)

2510

1 when we get anxious, and it's possible that anxiety is
2 an element. We just don't know that.

3 But anxiety, there is a sympathetic
4 discharge that comes with that that involves one
5 particular portion of the brain in individuals that
6 are so anxious that their heart rate goes up and so
7 forth, and other systems respond.

8 To say that somehow this, which again is
9 brought on episodically, might represent the result of
10 an ongoing inflammatory state with hyperexcitation
11 with the loss of regulation, meaning it should happen
12 all the time and should not be related to a particular
13 episode with whom someone is dealing, seems to me to
14 not make any sense.

15 So as long as we see that you can have a
16 cause and effect as in all human behavior, then the
17 probability there is the regulatory mechanisms and
18 systems and reactions are all in place. Some people
19 have higher gain on one system or another system than
20 somebody else. And again, individuals with autism are
21 individuals. We don't see a uniform presence of
22 stereotypies, we don't see a uniform presence of
23 heightened states. We see variations just as we do in
24 the folks we call normal. And yet our attention is
25 drawn to the children that are doing something that's

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT (CONT'D)

2511

1 troubling to us or to the family.

2 A long view on individuals with autism will
3 tell you that they are individuals. What we put
4 together is a system of problems that are so uniform
5 with autistic individuals, but there are other things
6 going on.

7 Q I believe we may have been over this, but I
8 want to be very clear. If inorganic mercury is the
9 cause of this process that Dr. Kinsbourne is
10 proposing, and if inorganic mercury accumulates in the
11 brain over time, would patients with autism be
12 expected to get progressively worse over time if this
13 hypothesis is correct?

14 A That seems to me to be what he's talking
15 about in one portion of the report. In another
16 portion he seems to imply that this happens once and
17 that's it. So I think those are at variance with each
18 other.

19 But if the implication is that we have
20 steady accumulation of a toxic element that's setting
21 off this reaction, one would anticipate that the
22 stimulus would increase over time and that would be a
23 steady process of deterioration in function and one
24 would think that in such instances where we have other
25 examples of things that cumulate and cause problems

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT (CONT'D)

2512

1 you'd have progressive loss of function of some one or
2 another sort or many sorts.

3 So it would be a progressive course of
4 deterioration that one would anticipate seeing with
5 this model which is quite at variance to what we see
6 in autism. Because as a rule, depending on what the
7 state of a child initially early on, as a rule
8 individuals with autistic features improve over time.
9 This is quite striking and there are still
10 considerable problems, but there is steady
11 improvement.

12 Because that improvement is especially with
13 regard to educational goals and language doesn't
14 necessarily, often doesn't keep up with the increased
15 demands made on a child, then we may see things that
16 seem to fall away. But if you look closely and if you
17 talk to the families, you find out that the child is
18 making progress. This is important, a positive side
19 with all children to find out about. It's
20 disappointing that it may not be as quick or that
21 interventions to achieve it may not be as good as it
22 might be.

23 But the general course is variable, but we
24 also see children, whether they have something that
25 appears regressive or whether they have something that

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT (CONT'D)

2513

1 appears to be classic autism, we see children, for
2 reasons we don't understand, that get almost or
3 sometimes entirely better at four or five years of
4 age. That again includes children that have a
5 regressive appearance.

6 I don't know how that can be accounted for
7 in the hypothesis because it's much more readily
8 accounted for by the tripping of a switch in the
9 developmental cascade which is fortunately moving in a
10 direction of recovery rather than not.

11 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Dr. Rust, when you
12 say they get better, you are saying get better in the
13 absence of the therapies that you indicated there was
14 no support for.

15 THE WITNESS: Entirely in the absence of
16 those therapies, yes. Thank you.

17 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

18 Q On page 23 of his report Dr. Kinsbourne
19 states that his hypothesis is presented in light of
20 advances in the science of autism. Do you find that
21 his hypothesis is at all consistent with the science
22 of autism?

23 A No, I don't.

24 Q Dr. Rust, to conclude here, I'd like you to
25 summarize your main criticisms of Dr. Kinsbourne's

ROBERT S. RUST - DIRECT (CONT'D)

2514

1 theory. If you could distill them down into a few
2 main points, what are your criticisms of his
3 hypothesis?

4 A Well, I suggested at the outset that awkward
5 theories that put things together in a strange way
6 that nobody has anticipated, and where most of the
7 elements are either made up or drawn in odd ways from
8 other people's observations, tend to be wrong. And it
9 especially tends to be wrong when the hypothesis is so
10 broad that at the center of it the thing that's
11 alleged to be the cause could be anything that you
12 want. It could be a measles infection, it could be a
13 toxin, it could be anything that you want to put in
14 there, you just have to make slight adjustments. This
15 is why I provided the example of Tycho Brahe and the
16 universe. That's one part of it.

17 A second part of the criticism is that so
18 much of what's said doesn't make scientific sense.
19 This is a grave problem because as I've suggested,
20 there is no apparent understanding of what advances
21 have been made over some 30 years now, and whether
22 those in the last year or two might have been
23 overlooked. But especially with regard to the
24 impertinence of the epilepsy aspects of this, these
25 don't make sense. So there's that problem as well.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2516

1 Petitioners generally, but also particularly William
2 Mead and Jordan King in this matter.

3 A I'm very pleased to meet you, sir.

4 Q I'm pleased to meet you too.

5 MR. POWERS: Just as sort of a housekeeping
6 matter, the slides that we ended with before the lunch
7 break that were then referred to in summary, are we
8 referring to slides that sum up a paper that you did
9 in 1991? What slides were those? I just want to make
10 sure that we're all speaking the same language about
11 what was summarized in terms of the exhibit number.

12 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Oh, you want to
13 know the numbers of them?

14 MR. POWERS: If you can give me the
15 beginning page number of what Ms. Esposito and you
16 were describing as a summary that you were not going
17 to get into in detail.

18 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: We concluded
19 on Slide 78, if that's any guidance.

20 MR. POWERS: That was my understanding too.
21 The first slide that I didn't hear testimony about
22 specifically was Slide 79, the carbon nanotubules
23 slide.

24 THE WITNESS: You're very observant. That
25 slide concerns another way in which we seem to be

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2517

1 understanding that these cells communicate with one
2 another, and this is a very new thing here. It's that
3 there are not only these synaptic communications and
4 not only pores that are various regulated, but there
5 may be these very tiny tubules that allow cells to
6 speak to one another.

7 BY MR. POWERS:

8 Q Let me interrupt you.

9 In my questions to you I'm going to ask you
10 specific questions and I'm going to ask you to answer
11 the question. My only question was, is Slide 79 the
12 first slide in the series that you meant to summarize
13 in response to Ms. Esposito's question when we came
14 back from lunch?

15 A I left that out of my summary.

16 Q Okay, thank you.

17 Dr. Rust, if I recall you appeared as an
18 expert witness in an earlier case in the autism
19 omnibus proceedings, is that correct?

20 A Yes, sir. I think that was case number two.

21 Q That was a case where the young boy's name
22 was Yates Hazlehurst. It was a hearing I think in
23 Charlotte, North Carolina in October last year. Does
24 that sound right?

25 A I had forgotten it was October, but it was

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2518

1 in Charlotte.

2 Q That hearing was about the idea that
3 Thimerosal exposure combined with MMR exposure could
4 result in the features of autism. Does that comport
5 with your recollection of the theory?

6 A Yes, sir. It sure does.

7 Q The theory in that case also specific to the
8 measles virus is that the measles virus could serve as
9 a source of inflammation in the brain and that
10 subsequent neural inflammation would express itself as
11 symptoms of autism. Is that a fair summary of your
12 understanding of what the case was about?

13 A That's my recollection.

14 Q In preparing for that case I know Dr.
15 Kinsbourne was not a witness in that case. In
16 preparing for that case did you have an opportunity to
17 review Dr. Kinsbourne's expert report from the Cedillo
18 matter, another omnibus autism proceeding that was
19 conducted in June of 2007?

20 A No, sir. I didn't.

21 Q Did you receive and have a chance to review
22 a transcript of Dr. Kinsbourne's testimony in the
23 Cedillo matter in advance of your testimony in the
24 Hazlehurst matter?

25 A No, sir. I didn't.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2519

1 Q Between the Hazlehurst proceeding and the
2 preparation of your expert report in this case, are
3 you aware that there was yet another MMR/Thimerosal
4 combined theory case heard? Are you familiar with
5 that?

6 A I don't know anything about it.

7 Q So do you recall seeing anything that would
8 have been a reference to the Snyder case, an expert
9 report from any of the Petitioners' experts or a
10 transcript of the testimony in a case captioned
11 Snyder?

12 A I don't remember anything. I forget lots of
13 things, but I don't think so. I'm sure the lawyers
14 would know.

15 Q And I'm not going to ask them because
16 they're not there on the stand, so this is all to the
17 best of your recollection.

18 So you didn't see any of the materials as
19 best you can recall that might have been generated by
20 the Petitioner's side in these cases up until the time
21 you completed a report in the case that we're here for
22 today. Is that right?

23 A Except for the Hazlehurst material. I have
24 a hard enough time getting through what's given to me
25 anyway. I don't look for extra trouble.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2520

1 Q I want to walk through some of the things
2 that you talked about in your slides today. One of
3 the first things I wanted to inquire about if I can
4 find my page here, is on Slide 12. To make things a
5 little easier for the court reporter, I'm sticking
6 right into the slide presentation that's Respondent's
7 Trial Exhibit 8. This would be page 12 of Exhibit 8.

8 I don't think we have that slide loaded in
9 our computer right now, so I'm going to have to just
10 refer to the paper. As long as the Special Masters
11 have it and you have it. Do you have it in front of
12 you there, Doctor?

13 A Yes, I do.

14 Q I notice at the top where it says regressive
15 autism there is a claim here that 80 percent, it says,
16 "80 percent retrospectively abnormal." Eighty percent
17 of what?

18 A Eighty percent of children that I encounter
19 and some other people have encountered.

20 Q What other people?

21 A I can provide a citation, but not off the
22 top of my head now. I perhaps should have done so.
23 But we have our own ongoing study of this so it's
24 about 80 percent --

25 Q This ongoing study, who does this involve?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2521

1 Who's the "we" involved in the study?

2 A Myself, a resident, and a medical student.

3 Q Is this a study that has been submitted for
4 publication?

5 A No, sir.

6 Q Is this a study that's been subject to peer
7 review?

8 A No, sir.

9 Q Do you have anything here today that you can
10 show the Special Masters to describe the methodology
11 of this study, the sample size, cases, controls?

12 A Nothing today, sir.

13 Q Are there any other things you would rely on
14 aside from this unpublished, un peer reviewed
15 anecdotal description that you've given that would
16 support this figure of 80 percent of autistic children
17 are retrospectively abnormal?

18 A I believe I could provide you with a
19 reference from the literature. But I can't do it
20 right now. I'd be happy to do it in the future.

21 Q Do you anticipate having an opportunity to
22 further testify in these cases and provide the
23 information you're not providing here today?

24 A I don't know what's going to happen in the
25 future.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2522

1 Q If 80 percent are retrospectively abnormal,
2 that means 20 percent of them are not retrospectively
3 abnormal. Am I doing my math right?

4 A Yes, sir. That's what I would arrive at
5 too.

6 Q So that 20 percent of the people, even in
7 retrospect, and looking -- I'm assuming you're
8 consciously looking for early appearances of
9 abnormality. Am I right about that assumption?

10 A Yes, sir.

11 Q So even with looking hard in a population of
12 children, in 20 percent of the people who present as
13 regressive, you don't find any early abnormalities,
14 correct?

15 A That's correct, sir.

16 Q During the proceedings MyLinda King and
17 William Mead testified. Were you here to hear their
18 testimony?

19 A No, sir. I wasn't.

20 Q Did you listen in on the dial-in line to
21 hear their testimony?

22 A No, sir. I don't know how to do that.

23 Q Did you download the audio file that was
24 available to listen to their testimony?

25 A No, sir. I didn't.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2523

1 Q Have you ever met the parents and taken a
2 history from them?

3 A No, sir. I haven't.

4 Q You described early in your testimony
5 spending time with the family and asking a lot of
6 questions is critical to assessing the symptomology of
7 autism, wasn't that your testimony?

8 A That's correct, sir.

9 Q It's particularly important in trying to
10 identify retrospectively the possible appearance of
11 early symptoms. You emphasized that point, did you
12 not?

13 A Yes, sir. I did.

14 Q So with respect to these two families, that
15 opportunity is something that you never took advantage
16 of. Again, didn't appear to hear them live, didn't
17 listen in live, and didn't listen to the audio
18 download. You never had a chance to ask those
19 questions, right?

20 A Well, I wouldn't have the opportunity to ask
21 those questions because I'm not their physician, of
22 course.

23 Q But you would have had an opportunity to
24 hear the history as it was presented under oath here,
25 correct?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2524

1 A I suspect I would if I knew how to do it,
2 but I don't.

3 Q Also on the same slide, number 12, there is
4 an electrophysiological profile. And they say in
5 Cross-Examination you're never supposed to ask a
6 question you don't know the answer to, but in this one
7 I've got to. What is that? What is this profile that
8 you're talking about?

9 A It's an over-blown way to suggest that EEG
10 is what we do. Some people have done other things
11 than that. But as I also suggested, the problem with
12 observations of that sort is that we tended to do EEGs
13 more on children that have a seemingly regressive form
14 of the disease than others. So it remains a soft
15 piece of information.

16 Q Whether it's a soft piece of information or
17 not, is this a piece of information that appears in
18 the peer reviewed published scientific literature?

19 A Yes, sir. There is at least one such
20 citation. I think more than one.

21 Q Any clue off the top of your head what that
22 might be? I'm not trying to quiz you, but having the
23 science in front of us to evaluate is important. I'm
24 just trying to figure out where it is here.

25 A You're quite right in emphasizing that

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2525

1 importance. I should have done so, but I didn't.

2 Q You also say that the ensuing course does
3 not distinguish classic from regressive. That's the
4 last point on the same slide.

5 Is what you're saying here that assuming
6 there is a regression and looking out into the future,
7 if you look at sort of the end points a few years down
8 the road of classic versus regressive. Are those the
9 two things you're comparing?

10 A Yes, sir.

11 Q You're saying that the end point at any
12 point in time post-regression, you really don't see a
13 difference in the outcomes. Is that a fair summary of
14 what this is meaning?

15 A Yes, sir.

16 Q What does distinguish the regressive versus
17 the classic is what happens before the regression,
18 isn't that right? I mean that's the definition of
19 regression. You have different beginning points in a
20 classic case and a regressive case, correct?

21 A As I mentioned, 80 percent of the children
22 that seem to be regressive have a beginning point
23 that's quite similar to the ones that are classic.

24 Q Let's focus on the 20 percent that don't.
25 The 20 percent that make the difference.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2526

1 In the 20 percent that don't show those
2 abnormalities, what distinguishes them is what happens
3 before the regression. Is that a fair statement?

4 A Not necessarily. So to presume that
5 something happens and then there's an ensuing event is
6 a dangerous thing to do, unless you have a reason to
7 think that something can cause something or know that
8 it can.

9 Q I'm not talking about causation, you're
10 actually putting the cart before the horse that I'm
11 trying to ask you about. Isn't it true that the
12 difference between, the distinction between regressive
13 and classic is that in regressives there's a course of
14 normal development before the regression? Isn't that
15 the distinction?

16 A As I say, in 80 percent of the cases that I
17 see, it's not normal development preceding it. So the
18 20 percent seem to have had a perfectly normal
19 development before some change that might occur in the
20 second year of life.

21 Q Turning to page 13, Slide 13. We talk about
22 multi-incidence autistic families.

23 In the two families here have you seen any
24 evidence, and we're talking about the King family and
25 the Mead family. do you see any evidence whatsoever

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2527

1 of multi-incidence autism spectrum disorders in either
2 one of these two families?

3 A I'm not aware of any history of that sort.

4 Q And when you say you're not aware of, you
5 reviewed the medical records, correct?

6 A Yes, sir.

7 Q You reviewed the therapeutic and treatment
8 records, correct?

9 A Yes, sir.

10 Q So are you aware that in either one of these
11 families there is any, this is the parental testimony,
12 that there is no evidence of autism or autism spectrum
13 disorder in either side of either of these two
14 families?

15 A No, sir. I'm not.

16 Q Do you know, do William or Jordan, either
17 one of those young boys have siblings?

18 A I don't recall. I know I knew when I looked
19 at the records, but I don't recall at this point. I
20 know they're about ten years old now, but I don't know
21 whether they have siblings.

22 Q I can tell you, and honestly you can trust
23 me on this one, William Mead has an older sister;
24 Jordan King has a younger brother. And as far as you
25 know there's nothing to indicate that either one of

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2528

1 those siblings has any neural developmental disorder
2 at all.

3 A I'm sure I must have noted that in the
4 records, but it didn't stick in my head I'm afraid.

5 Q So you didn't see anything in the records,
6 and certainly nothing that you noted in your report.
7 I'm asking because I didn't see it anywhere in the
8 report.

9 A Didn't see what in the report?

10 Q You didn't see anything that would suggest
11 there was familial --

12 A No, sir. I would have noted it had I noted
13 it.

14 Q There was also a discussion about how often
15 regressive autism early symptoms are missed in
16 families where the child, the subject child, is a
17 first born. Do you remember that testimony?

18 A Yes, sir. I do.

19 Q Are you aware that William Mead was the
20 second born child in the Mead family?

21 A You've just told me that. I'm sure I must
22 have noted it when I looked at the records, but I see
23 so many records.

24 Q How many records of children did you review
25 in preparing the report? You say you review a lot of

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2529

1 records. How many medical records did you review in
2 order to prepare your testimony today at all? I mean
3 obviously you're looking at the King and the Mead
4 records, but did you look at other medical records to
5 prepare for your testimony today?

6 A I look at dozens of medical records every
7 day, that have nothing to do with the case, of course.

8 Q That's what I just wanted to make sure of.
9 We're not talking about other records that might
10 involve other children here.

11 You mention on page 15, there's a genetic
12 contribution, and I'll pause for a second so folks can
13 get to page 15, on the genetics of autism.

14 There is a genetic contribution of greater
15 than 90 percent. What do you rely on for that figure?

16 A Well, I put a question mark as to whether
17 that's true or not. This has been asserted by people
18 but it remains to be proven. This is one of those
19 points that needs to be proven.

20 Q One of the ways one can determine genetic
21 contribution is through studies of twins and siblings,
22 is that correct?

23 A Yes, sir.

24 Q There have been studies that have been
25 published of both monozygotic and dizygotic twins.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2530

1 Are you aware of those studies?

2 A Yes, sir. I am.

3 Q Based on your familiarity with those
4 studies, what is the concordance rate generally among,
5 and we'll first talk about monozygotic, are those
6 identical twins?

7 A Yes, sir.

8 Q If you're looking at monozygotic identical
9 twins, what's the concordance rate of autism in those
10 studies as you understand them?

11 A I don't have that figure in my head this
12 afternoon.

13 Q How about dizygotic fraternal twins?

14 A It's smaller than monozygotic.

15 Q Do you have estimates? At any point do they
16 approach greater than 90 percent?

17 A No sir.

18 Q Do you know how close to 90 percent they get
19 or don't get?

20 A I don't recall.

21 Q No idea?

22 A I have an idea, but I don't recall.

23 Q And there's no citation to put any number on
24 this. It's just a question.

25 So on the first point, the question mark

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2531

1 should be after the statement that says genetic
2 contribution greater than 90 percent. Is that where
3 the question mark should be?

4 A Well, I thought it covered the subject to
5 put it where it was.

6 Q I couldn't tell. I just want to be clear so
7 I'm working with the right information as you
8 presented it.

9 In these studies that show concordance
10 rates, can you describe for the Special Masters the
11 specific chromosome sites or the specific gene
12 locations of these abnormalities that would contribute
13 to the appearance of autistic symptoms?

14 A There's a fairly long list of genes that
15 will produce autistic symptoms. I mention several of
16 them here. Particularly Angelman syndrome that has
17 such striking autistic features, and as well Rett
18 syndrome that I emphasized this morning.

19 Q And if you look at the known specific
20 genetic defects, about what percentage of total autism
21 cases can be ascribed to the known specific genetic
22 anomalies?

23 A What I mentioned was that identifiable
24 causes are seen in perhaps somewhere between eight and
25 12 percent.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2532

1 Q So that would mean that 88 to 92 percent do
2 not have identifiable causes?

3 A Yes, sir. Not yet anyway.

4 Q Exactly. We're talking about the state of
5 what we know right now. So 88 to 92 percent that are
6 supposedly genetic, we don't know what those are right
7 now.

8 A Yes, sir. Just like cerebral palsy and
9 mental retardation.

10 Q It's also mentioned here about dysmorphia.
11 About three-quarters of the way down. What is
12 dysmorphia as you mean it to apply here?

13 A Dysmorphia is unusual features of
14 appearance. Things that, as you examine a patient,
15 may set them apart from other individuals. This can
16 be in the face or it can be abnormalities elsewhere in
17 the body.

18 Q By these, I want to make sure, again with my
19 lay person's understanding, these are like the finger
20 digit ratio discrepancies and facial features, things
21 like that?

22 A It's other things as well. In autism, for
23 example, it's length of fingers and other kinds of
24 things.

25 Q In either William Mead's case or Jordan

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2533

1 King's case, did you identify any dysmorphic features
2 that would be consistent with how you're using the
3 term here?

4 A I didn't see them. But according to the
5 records there were no such features.

6 Q In fact Jordan in particular got a very
7 thorough genetic workup. Do you recall reading that
8 in the record?

9 A Yes, sir. And in addition I was able to see
10 both gentlemen on tapes. I didn't see anything.

11 Q So no evidence of dysmorphic features
12 whatsoever as far as you could see.

13 A Not where I could see or what I could read
14 from the record.

15 Q Let's turn to page 17, Slide 17. This is a
16 slide entitled Rett syndrome.

17 In what gender does Rett's syndrome appear?

18 A In either boys or girls.

19 Q Is there a difference in the distribution of
20 Rett syndrome across gender?

21 A Yes, sir. It's overwhelmingly girls.

22 Q When you say overwhelmingly, about what
23 percentage of Rett syndrome children are girls versus
24 boys?

25 A So far as we currently know, it's well over

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2534

1 95 percent. The issue as to whether boys with mental
2 retardation are under-diagnosed is something that
3 people don't know the answer to.

4 Q When you come down under here, there's a
5 first point, phase of apparent regression, usually at
6 five to nine months. Then there's a little note under
7 there that says "closer look". Preceding
8 abnormalities of head size. What's being discussed
9 there?

10 Actually, before I even ask that, this is
11 Dunn, Brain Development?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q Is that a peer-reviewed, published journal
14 article?

15 A Yes, sir.

16 Q It's not just an abstract that was presented
17 as a poster somewhere?

18 A It is an abstract because of the S preceding
19 the 38.

20 Q Okay. I'm sorry, so it is or it isn't?

21 A It is an abstract.

22 Q It is an abstract. So is the full text
23 manuscript of this yet peer reviewed and published as
24 far as you know?

25 A No, sir. It's not.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2535

1 Q It's not. Okay.

2 So in this unpublished, non-peer reviewed
3 citation, what do you mean when you say "preceding
4 abnormalities of head size"? Or what did they mean as
5 you understand it I guess is the better question.

6 A Right. Rate of head growth was what Davis
7 Dunn had mentioned in this particular abstract.

8 Q And what was the rate of head growth that's
9 being described?

10 A Heads were smaller, and then accelerated in
11 their growth.

12 Q I'll break it down. How small did they
13 start off? Over what period of time did they get big?
14 And where did they end up? Does that make sense?

15 A It does make sense. I don't know that I can
16 provide an exact answer to that. But typically it was
17 over a matter of months. That's what they were
18 talking about, because most of the girls had their
19 regression at, as I say, five to nine months,
20 somewhere in there. But what centile and so forth, I
21 don't recall.

22 Q These were all girls in this study?

23 A All girls.

24 Q The end point of tracking the head
25 circumference, how far into their lives did it go?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2536

1 Did it end at the nine months that's being referred to
2 here? Did it extend out beyond that? What's your
3 best recollection?

4 A My best recollection is that it continued
5 until sometime after the child was diagnosed, but not
6 a long time.

7 Q Do you know what the mean age of diagnosis
8 was?

9 A I don't remember. It seems to me it was the
10 second half of the first year of life, but I don't
11 remember.

12 Q I'm sorry. The second half of?

13 A Second half of the first year of life, but I
14 don't remember for sure.

15 Q I want to turn to page 18. You've got a
16 slide that talks about the cortical development
17 through three decades. If I recall, you were talking
18 about some genetic errors when you were discussing
19 this particular slide. You were talking about how
20 genetic errors can switch on and off at all these
21 different stages of brain development.

22 A Both are possible. People think
23 particularly about the failure to switch on at a
24 particular phase, or a failure to cause a particular
25 gene that might cause problems to turn off or to

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2537

1 modify the product as expressed by a gene. Those are
2 the kinds of things it would cover.

3 Q Gene expression, and particularly if it's a
4 functional expression, can gene expression be
5 influenced by environmental factors in general? As a
6 general proposition?

7 A It's possible.

8 Q So it's possible that once's genetic
9 predisposition one way or another can be affected by
10 an environmental intervention at some point where that
11 gene's going to be expressed, correct?

12 A There are examples of exactly that.

13 Q So at least at that level you would concede
14 that there is, or agree. I don't know if it's
15 conceding. Agree there's a gene environment
16 interaction that can determine physiological outcomes
17 in human beings. Is that correct?

18 A If you change it to maybe, I would both
19 agree and concede.

20 Q So it's possible.

21 A Anything is possible, sir.

22 Q I don't want to make it, we're not pulling
23 it out of the blue. You would agree that there is a
24 scientifically reasonable basis for concluding that
25 there are gene environment interactions that can

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2538

1 determine somebody's physiological outcome. You would
2 agree with that.

3 A You have appropriately qualified what I
4 said.

5 Q So for example, obesity. Are you familiar
6 with research showing that obesity often has, appears
7 to be, an association with some genetic contributing
8 factors? Correct?

9 A It's an interesting question. There is some
10 of that, and some is environment as well.

11 Q Right. Because even if you have a genetic
12 predisposition to obesity, if you're deprived of food
13 you will not have your genetic obesity coding
14 expressed as obesity, correct?

15 A That's correct, sir. yes.

16 Q I want to turn to page 20. This is the Rett
17 knockout mouse. Quite the photo. It's like a diving
18 board mouse, as best I can tell there. That's the
19 page that we're on.

20 A I had hoped to be able to click it on and
21 show you, but I couldn't get it to work.

22 Q So this idea of a knock out. Can you
23 explain exactly what that refers to? It doesn't just
24 mean that the mouse is going to land on its head.
25 You're talking about something else, and I was

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2539

1 wondering if you could explain it a little bit.

2 A Nowadays people can take a particular
3 genetic segment and inter-collate it into the genome
4 and cause the expression of that. This has become a
5 fairly easy thing to do, apparently.

6 Q And so this fairly easy thing to do, that's
7 what happened with the mice and that's where people
8 identified this particular genetic anomaly in Rett's,
9 is that correct?

10 A No, it was identified previously, and then
11 once they knew what it was they could put it into the
12 genome of mice. That's what was done.

13 Q Has there been a similar knockout gene
14 identified for any other variation of an autism
15 spectrum disorder as far as you know?

16 A I believe that there has been for other
17 diseases that have autistic features. I could not
18 give a list of them to you just now, but I'm pretty
19 certain that there are others.

20 Q And these are for autism spectrum disorders.
21 Is that your understanding? That people have
22 developed knockout genes that when they're inserted
23 into somebody's genomic material would produce
24 symptoms of autism spectrum disorder?

25 A I think it's likely, but I can't tell you

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2540

1 for absolute certain. These things happen in the
2 hundreds every day, apparently. But it's likely that
3 there are such things out there.

4 Q But if this happened you don't have any
5 evidence here that you could bring to the Special
6 Masters or to share with us?

7 A Well, I could do so if I had the time to do
8 it, or the opportunity. What tends to happen is that
9 once a particularly important example of a disease
10 process renders it a knockout mouse, folks tend to
11 gang up on that model both because of the expense of
12 the initial development and because the idea is that
13 they can jointly and together provide much more
14 understanding. That's what's happened with Rett.

15 Q The citation here to Watson et al. Do you
16 have an exhibit number to that so that we could refer
17 to it and we could refer the Special Masters to the
18 text of that somewhere in Respondent's list of
19 materials submitted for this hearing?

20 A The entire citation is there.

21 Q My question is can you give us the exhibit
22 number where it appears in the record of these
23 proceedings?

24 A I don't know anything about an exhibit
25 number.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2541

1 Q I ask because I looked on the list that the
2 lawyers for y'all's side of the case provided and I
3 didn't see this cited and I didn't see an exhibit
4 number, so I thought maybe you had that. But you
5 don't?

6 A No, sir. I wasn't asked for one.

7 Q Let's look at page 22. This is a slide
8 that's entitled functional correlates. I just wanted
9 to ask, what do you mean by functional correlate?
10 What are you correlating a function to in this slide?

11 A Some of these sentences or these
12 observations correlate things to a mechanistic sort of
13 thing, so that's what it's intended to say. In fact
14 you're quite right in saying I haven't correlated in
15 the way we usually do that, some clinical thing to it.

16 These are really correlates between a gene
17 issue and the particular problem that may be
18 experienced as a result of it.

19 Q And again, I've asked this question on a
20 number of slides but I'm just trying to interpret this
21 material. On this first bullet point, methylated
22 cytosine-guanine dinucleotides, are you intending here
23 to report an observation of your own? Or is this a
24 report of something that's appeared in the scientific
25 literature?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2542

1 A This has appeared in the scientific
2 literature.

3 Q Where in the scientific literature?

4 A This observation should be from the
5 Greenberg Lab or from Baylor. I don't know which one.

6 Q I saw something in here about the Greenberg
7 -- Here it is on the next page, page 23. The
8 Greenberg Lab. That's the page that we're on right
9 now. It looks like there's some sort of bench
10 research experiment going on.

11 A That's correct, sir.

12 Q And it's being conducted by a lab at Boston
13 Children's which is Boston Children's Hospital?

14 A That's correct, sir.

15 Q Is this information that has been published
16 in the scientific literature?

17 A Yes, sir. It has.

18 Q Where has that been published?

19 A I'm afraid I don't have that information in
20 my head. It's easy to come by.

21 Q Can you describe the experiment that they
22 were doing here?

23 A What they did was to look at genetic
24 expression to see what happened in the knockout mouse,
25 is my recollection. They found a target site for

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2543

1 activation that was associated with abnormal dendritic
2 arborization in the experimental model.

3 Q And was this in mice or rats?

4 A I think it was a knockout mouse. I couldn't
5 say absolutely certainly, but I believe that's what it
6 was.

7 Q And it was to determine whether inserting a
8 particular piece of genetic material into a mouse
9 would do something about the dendrite?

10 A That's the importance of a knockout mouse.
11 It's to really understand the mechanism of the
12 disease. And the importance of these observations was
13 to show how genetic expression could produce such a
14 wide variety of changes and how these changes take
15 place over time.

16 So the message of these sequential slides
17 was to suggest that in development we can see various
18 things that happen that both determined how the
19 pattern of onset's going to be and what might alter
20 that over time.

21 Q You mentioned things, certain things
22 happened over a certain period of time. In this
23 experiment, what things happened over what period of
24 time?

25 A My recollection is of the development of

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2544

1 abnormal dendritic arborization. The period of time
2 that it took is something I don't recollect. I
3 thought I was giving too much information but I wasn't
4 giving enough, apparently.

5 Q Was there an effort in this experiment to
6 correlate what was going on in these mice to human
7 behavior?

8 A The importance here was, first of all,
9 because the knockout mouse does manifest features of
10 Rett syndrome, these include isolation, they include
11 gaze issues, they include stereotypies, features that
12 we see in Rett syndrome, quite strikingly. So the
13 issue here was to understand what sequential events
14 might account for abnormal development.

15 Q So it was the same type of mouse using the
16 same genomic knockout material that was used in that,
17 several slides earlier with the head diving mouse?

18 A That's my recollection. Yes.

19 Q Let's turn to page 25. There is a heading
20 at the top that says "Autism: Neuropathology" and
21 there's a note that says "n=5". Now typically when
22 one see n and a scientific reference that's the number
23 of subjects in a study?

24 A It says nine, I believe.

25 Q Nine, I'm sorry.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2545

1 A That's the number of subjects in this
2 particular study.

3 Q What were the subjects?

4 A These are human brains.

5 Q And neuropathology, are these autopsy
6 studies?

7 A Well, people don't volunteer their brains
8 for these things.

9 (Laughter).

10 Q I understand. But there are sometimes
11 people who have head surgery for strokes, and you can
12 take biopsies, and I don't want to be presumptuous.
13 So these are autopsies and there are nine autopsied
14 brains.

15 A Yes, sir.

16 Q How old were the subjects at the time that
17 they died?

18 A Most, and I can't tell you specifically in
19 this study. You're keeping me on my toes. But people
20 don't tend to die of autism, so these tend to be older
21 individuals.

22 Q Now what study was this?

23 A I think this was Dr. Kemper or Dr. Bauman,
24 but I don't remember for sure.

25 Q So if we wanted to analyze and have a

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2546

1 conversation with you about the methods and the number
2 of subjects and information about the underlying
3 pathological results, we don't know what paper we can
4 refer to to have that conversation with you?

5 A It's likely that you could have an even more
6 stimulating conversation with Dr. Kemper.

7 Q So odds are it's Dr. Kemper?

8 A I think so.

9 Q I think we'll have a chance to have that
10 conversation tomorrow with Dr. Kemper.

11 A I anticipated that you would.

12 Q But on here there's no citation and there's
13 no description of the methods or the data analysis
14 involved in that study, correct?

15 A No. Next time I'll have to double the
16 amount of information that I provide and keep people
17 enthralled.

18 Q Page 27. This says "Pathology of Autism".
19 I want to make sure I'm tracking this correctly.
20 Earlier you were talking about Rett's. On this slide
21 are you making a distinction between the pathology of
22 Rett's and the pathology of autism?

23 A This is the pathology of individuals with
24 autism.

25 Q Does it include people with Rett's?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2547

1 A I don't believe it does.

2 Q Does it or does it not? You prepared the
3 slide and you knew what you were saying. So does it
4 include people with Rett's or does it --

5 A I don't believe it does.

6 Q Okay. Is this referring to the same study
7 that was referenced that you think might have been a
8 Dr. Kemper study?

9 A I think this is Courchesne from California.

10 Q I'm just trying to follow what we're -- It's
11 not that you have to provide all that information in
12 here, but if we at least know the citation we can then
13 be looking at the methods and all. You don't have to
14 explain it in your testimony, but it's very helpful to
15 be able to analyze what you're saying with reference
16 to the underlying literature.

17 So you believe this is one of Dr.
18 Courchesne's?

19 A I believe that's right.

20 Q He's got a number of papers that are out
21 there dealing with, as you know, the brain pathology
22 of autism. Do you know particularly which publication
23 you're talking about here?

24 A I'm afraid I don't, and this may, it does
25 represent I think a sampling from several different

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2548

1 sources.

2 Q And your slide is a sampling from several
3 different sources?

4 A Yes, sir.

5 Q At one point, what I wrote down as you were
6 describing this, one little note I made is you were
7 having a discussion about long connections versus
8 short connections in the brain?

9 A Yes, sir.

10 Q As the early brain develops, say the first
11 couple of months after birth, is it fair to say that
12 the axons of a lot of the neurons are actually
13 migrating and making connections to the brain?

14 A As the neuron migrates to its ultimate place
15 it leaves a trail behind it and then these things are
16 modified over time. So the cells begin to talk to one
17 another and there's arborization that takes place, and
18 elimination of arborization with development. In
19 addition to those changes there's a development of
20 these long connections.

21 The state of that information is
22 particularly advanced with functional studies. What
23 you I'm sure understand is that this is very difficult
24 work and that's why there are so many papers out there
25 that one must wonder a little bit about and it's the

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2549

1 reason you're asking where it came from, and it's the
2 right thing to do.

3 So in terms of the notion about long
4 connections and short connections, this is a summary
5 of a considerable amount of information. It's far
6 beyond the stage of being made up, and it's far beyond
7 the stage of being entirely theoretical because it is
8 in keeping with what information is available.

9 Now different areas of the brain are
10 different from one another in terms of how you study
11 them. There is particular ease with studying the
12 cerebellum and its connections and there's a great
13 deal of difficulty in studying things like the
14 amygdala or cortex. And the observations that are
15 made, as I say, are very tedious, and rewarding when
16 they're done. And yet more information needs to be
17 obtained.

18 Now more --

19 Q Let me interrupt you. I think you're
20 getting a little afield from the question I had here
21 which is about axons and whether the long connections
22 and short connections involve the development of axons
23 throughout the brain. So I'll ask that question
24 again.

25 Is there anything about long connections and

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2550

1 short connections that involve the movement of axons
2 of neurons throughout the brain?

3 A Well the axons don't move around the brain.
4 They develop and lengthen. That's a simpler answer
5 than I thought you were asking.

6 Q Yes, it was that simple.

7 A I'm very relieved.

8 Q My understanding is that astrocytes,
9 astroglial cells, play a really important role in the
10 movement of neurons throughout the brain, is that
11 correct?

12 A They don't move throughout the brain. They
13 move in a particular trajectory. This can be
14 interrupted or changed by events such as damage to the
15 brain early on. But I showed a slide but perhaps
16 didn't convey the fact that that migration is along
17 the radial glial fibers, so these, the route that's
18 assumed is one that's supported by a glial element
19 that then involutes and so the stretching out is along
20 that sort of thing. There's division at the inner
21 areas, and then the cells form different from each
22 other and migrate.

23 Q Are you referring to the radioglia that
24 start early on? Do the radioglia then evolve into
25 astrocytes or astroglia?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2551

1 A No, those radioglia involute with time, so
2 we have other cells as well.

3 I wish the medical students asked questions
4 like yours. This is a very interesting subject and
5 I'm glad you're interested. But cells that divide
6 divide in various ways at the initiating zones that
7 are deep in the brain and we end up with a variety of
8 cells that are involved in the migration.

9 Q You mentioned that this process can get
10 interrupted and it can get interrupted by events.
11 What sort of events can interrupt this process of
12 neuronal migration?

13 A The important observations were made in the
14 mid 1920s by Pierre-Marie, and then in 1949 by, I'm
15 blocking on his name now. Wonderful. A Russian
16 neuropathologist. But where a stroke could cause,
17 early stroke could cause migration to be abnormal, and
18 associated tangles of cells that don't get where they
19 need to be. This can happen for other reasons too,
20 and it can happen for genetic reasons too.

21 Q And actually a stroke is a good example
22 because there are a number of things that can cause a
23 stroke, correct?

24 A There are quite a number of things that can
25 cause a stroke.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2552

1 Q Sometimes a stroke can be caused by an AVM,
2 an arterial venus malformation. Is that correct?

3 A Sure.

4 Q And an AVM often is a congenital condition,
5 something that one is born with.

6 A Yes, sir, it may be.

7 Q A percentage of the people in this room are
8 walking around healthy with AVMs in their brains,
9 correct?

10 A I'd hate to worry anybody about it.

11 Q But it's true, isn't it?

12 A The numbers would suggest that perhaps
13 nobody in this room.

14 Q But if there were just a few more people
15 we'd be bumping up against that statistic.

16 A Yes, sir.

17 Q Now strokes can also be caused by non-
18 congenital factors. Head trauma, correct?

19 A That's possible.

20 Q Hypertensive events?

21 A That's a more common cause.

22 Q Drugs and toxins that can cause ischemia or
23 acute hypertension, those can cause a stroke, correct?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q However that stroke is caused, whether it's

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2553

1 congenital or environmental, it can interrupt a
2 migration of neurons at a key point in development if
3 it happened, correct?

4 A Well, a good many of those things would be
5 off the list as far as being causes for migrational
6 problems.

7 Q But they could cause problems in the brain,
8 correct?

9 A Yes, but not migrational problems.

10 Q And if you look at the pathology of a
11 stroke. If one was to look on biopsy, for example,
12 post-surgery of a stroke, and one saw blood profusion
13 and dead tissue in that pathology, you can't
14 necessarily tell from that pathology whether it was an
15 AVM that caused it or if it was a toxic exposure. You
16 can't tell necessarily what caused it just based on
17 that pathology, can you?

18 A Oh, you usually can.

19 Q You can't always though, can you? Often the
20 stroke wipes out the evidence of its own cause.

21 A Well, chiefly that's because we can't look.
22 We don't go in and biopsy or anything like that, so we
23 have imaging that will tell us something. It's the
24 imaging that leaves us with some uncertainty. The
25 clinical situation may then be helpful to us. But

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2554

1 there are many times when we don't really know what
2 brought on these things.

3 Q So my question again is, you cannot
4 necessarily tell from the pathology post-stroke what
5 actually caused the stroke itself?

6 A In the instances where you do have
7 pathology, usually you can. I'd say the overwhelming
8 number of times you can get some idea about this, and
9 that's because the only way you're going to get at it
10 is because somebody's died from a stroke. So you'll
11 have a considerable amount of information.

12 Q But unless they die from the stroke you're
13 not going to be able to get that information?

14 A Fortunately for the person who didn't die,
15 and unfortunately for the progress of science. The
16 former outweighs the latter.

17 Q Understood. Particularly if you're in that
18 situation yourself.

19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Dr. Rust, I
20 just want to ask, the particular trajectory to which
21 you referred along which neurons moved, is that
22 reflected on your exhibit Slide 77, to the left of the
23 page? Is that the diagram to which you were
24 referring?

25 THE WITNESS: Yes, Special Master. That's

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2555

1 exactly right.

2 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

3 You can proceed.

4 BY MR. POWERS:

5 Q That slide, just to jump ahead then.

6 Eventually I'd be getting there but it's good that you
7 raise it. At the top it says brain surface. And for
8 the record and the reporter, we're on page 77. It's
9 the panel on the left. The top of the slide says
10 "Astrocytic Glycogen".

11 Is the top where it says "brain surface", is
12 that the cortex?

13 A Well, the layers, the evolving layers of
14 cortex here.

15 Q So the process you're describing here is
16 brain development as the cortex is building?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q At about what time in life would be captured
19 by this schematic diagram? Of a human life. I assume
20 we're talking about humans in this diagram.

21 A It would be true of other species as well.
22 This is sort of an artistic representation. It
23 doesn't give us all the information we need. It's
24 intended, well, the pictures on the other side are
25 intended to show us the accumulation of glycogen which

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2556

1 is so striking in these astrocytes, and it was used
2 for a long time to identify the radiogial fibers so
3 people would know where things were migrating. Nobody
4 seemed to care much how it got there or what it did,
5 and that's why I started doing my work.

6 Q Again, please, I'm not trying to nag, but
7 focus on the question.

8 Is there a period of time in a child's life
9 that you believe is captured by this schematic? Is
10 this prenatal? Is it gestation week 40 through month
11 two? Can you put some timeframe on it? That's all
12 I'm trying to figure out.

13 A This is relatively early brain development.
14 But as I mentioned, brain development continues to
15 take place in terms of at least changes in
16 arborization and that sort of thing for as many as
17 three decades. This slide likely represents very
18 early childhood.

19 Q Postnatal?

20 A Or prenatal.

21 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Would that
22 be neonatal?

23 THE WITNESS: Neonatal, prenatal or
24 postnatal.

25 BY MR. POWERS:

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2557

1 Q On page 37. Page 37 is the page you had
2 referenced before and it has graphic representations
3 here. I just wanted to first get oriented as to
4 exactly what we're looking at.

5 It looks like a cross-section of brain. On
6 the left panel as one looks at it it's a control; and
7 on the right it's ASD. What kind of image is this
8 again?

9 A The image that you're seeing there is an MRI
10 scan. The top.

11 Q Is this a functional MRI?

12 A This is data generated for a functional MRI.

13 Q Excuse me?

14 A It's data generated from a functional MR
15 spectroscopy.

16 Q And can you describe again, because I just
17 missed it, what these circles are? There's a circle
18 on the control and there's a circle on the ASD. What
19 do those circles represent?

20 A These are areas of activation given a
21 particular task. I can't recall what the task was,
22 but they're simply representative of a body of
23 information that's been generated to show that with a
24 particular task, a very isolated task, you may see
25 activation in a particular brain area. And a co-

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2558

1 activation in other areas. So these represent
2 activation in regions in the temporal lobe and the
3 inferior frontal lobe, and so forth.

4 Q Do you know what activities are being
5 measured in these slides?

6 A This represents increased brain activity.
7 It can be gotten by functional imaging or it can be
8 gotten by PET imaging. So there are several different
9 ways to look to see what tissues are activated.

10 Q And I guess what I'm trying to get at is
11 that there's a difference -- I shouldn't assume this.

12 Is there a difference in the activity as
13 captured by the MRI in the control brain versus the
14 ASD brain?

15 A Well the circled area that doesn't have
16 activation as a target for a particular task is what
17 is being shown there.

18 Q Is it the autistic brain that has the lack
19 of activation?

20 A That's right. That's what's intended to be
21 represented there. There's, of course, a lot of work
22 in this area, and the slides merely are meant to show
23 that we can actually look at the systems related
24 function with this technique. It's not something I
25 do, but it's something that people can do.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2559

1 Q Is this electrical activity? Or is it
2 something like a hemodynamic MRI where you can see
3 sort or blood flow to an area?

4 A For PET it's blood flow increases that are
5 done.

6 Q Okay.

7 Do you know if these are boy or girl brains?

8 A I'm afraid I can't tell you, either for the
9 control or for the autistic spectrum disorder. The
10 likelihood is that they're age matched boys.

11 Q Do you know if either one of these
12 particular, either the case or the control, had
13 epilepsy?

14 A I can't tell you the answer to that.

15 Q Do you know if the ASD brain, if that was a
16 child who had regressive autism?

17 A I can't tell you the answer to that, too.
18 Although this kind of data has been generated for --
19 Well, I'd better be careful about this one. I know
20 it's been generated for autistic disorders, but I
21 can't tell you for sure whether people have been
22 careful about that distinction.

23 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I just want
24 to be clear, Dr. Rust. You used activity a couple of
25 times, and activation. These are two presumably age-

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2560

1 matched children, possibly boys, a control and an
2 autistic, who are doing the same activity.

3 THE WITNESS: That's right. These tend to
4 be very very specific tasks that either individual
5 might be able to perform, and I don't know what the
6 task was here.

7 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: In the
8 autistic child, what we see in that sort of gap area
9 that you addressed, the more open area, more white
10 area in the black and white slide, is a lack of blood
11 flow, as you further described?

12 THE WITNESS: In these studies, I can't tell
13 you for sure. Typically with these kinds of studies
14 one could compare blood flow to areas that are
15 designated, not with a PET scan but with imaging
16 studies, so that you co-register, is what people call
17 it, to get one area. Then you can put it on a picture
18 where you can show where it is on an image that people
19 can understand more readily.

20 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

21 MR. MATANOSKI: If I may interrupt, just for
22 a housekeeping matter.

23 Mr. Powers, you got a copy of the color
24 slides, right? Are you working off that now?

25 MR. POWERS: I am not. I'm working off my

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2561

1 marked-up copy.

2 MR. MATANOSKI: In the lunch break we were
3 able to get color copies. I know we gave you one,
4 but you're working off the marked up copy. I was just
5 wondering if it was easier for everyone since these
6 particular slides that we were just referring to were
7 color slides, and we don't have it up on the monitor
8 right now. but we can bring it up. If it will be
9 easier, we do have the color copies.

10 MR. POWERS: I didn't have any other
11 questions about that slide. I don't know if the
12 Special Masters need --

13 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: I don't need a color
14 copy.

15 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I don't need
16 a color copy.

17 MR. MATANOSKI: We were going to take care
18 of that matter after, substitute them.

19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.
20 We're just dealing with gray right now. Different
21 shades.

22 (Laughter).

23 MR. POWERS: A little bit of black and
24 white, but a lot of gray.

25 BY MR. POWERS:

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2562

1 Q I'm going to put the slides aside for a
2 little bit and ask you a few other questions here.

3 There has been discussion about William
4 Mead's head circumference. You had one citation in
5 your report that you're now saying it's a different
6 piece of material that you're relying on, a different
7 piece of evidence. What I want to ask is, do you
8 recall from your review of the medical literature what
9 his, not percentage, but just what William Mead's head
10 circumference was at birth?

11 A I think it was reflected in the 38 week mark
12 on the other piece of information. I don't remember,
13 but I think that's right.

14 Q Scott, if you could pull that up. We might
15 even want to just use Exhibit 1, page 3.

16 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I think
17 that's Exhibit 1, page 4.

18 MR. POWERS: Exhibit 1, page 4 is the birth
19 record. I was trying to go from memory and it doesn't
20 always work, so I sympathize with the doctor up there
21 too.

22 BY MR. POWERS:

23 Q With Mr. Graham's assistance, we've
24 determined it's Exhibit 1, page 31.

25 Dr. Rust, do you see that on the monitor in

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2563

1 front of you there?

2 A Yes, sir.

3 Q We're going to blow it up for you. If you
4 could focus on the upper left hand highlighted area.
5 You see this is William Mead's birth record. It talks
6 about his condition upon admission.

7 Do you see the line where it says HD? I'm
8 assuming that means head?

9 A Uh huh. Yes, I do.

10 Q There's a 14/36. Would that be 14 inches or
11 36 centimeters?

12 A It could be, sir.

13 Q So 36 centimeters. Do you know where at a
14 gestational age of 39 weeks, which is what his growth
15 chart showed, do you know what percentile that would
16 place his head circumference?

17 Now you've said he started off in the 60th
18 percentile.

19 A Yes, sir.

20 Q Are you familiar with Dr. Menkes' Child
21 Neurology textbook?

22 A I'm quite familiar with it, sir.

23 Q I don't know if we can put this up on the
24 chart, but on page four of the 7th edition of Dr.
25 Menkes' Child Neurology book it actually shows a head

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2564

1 circumference chart.

2 Can we put this on an overhead?

3 Maybe there's an easier way. I wonder if I
4 could show it to the witness and you can identify
5 where this would be in terms of percent.

6 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Hold on for
7 the document camera.

8 (Pause).

9 BY MR. POWERS:

10 Q So Doctor, if we were to look, 36, 34 is the
11 median is that correct?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q And 36 is about one full standard deviation
14 above the median, is that correct?

15 A Yes, sir. It is.

16 Q So one full standard deviation above the
17 median, that would place his percentile more in the 80
18 percentile than it would in the 60 percentile,
19 correct?

20 A If it were a reliable measurement.

21 Q If what was a reliable measure?

22 A The measurements provided here.

23 Q But we're assuming, you were not relying in
24 any other measure, were you, in your review of the
25 medical records and your formation of your opinion

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2565

1 about his head growth?

2 A There's a particular problem with head
3 circumference at birth.

4 Q What is that particular problem?

5 A A child's just passed through the birth
6 canal, so we find that those measurements are
7 unreliable for us. There can be edema, there can be
8 overlapping sutures, and that sort of thing. So for a
9 variety of reasons the child during the first few
10 weeks after birth will begin to express a head
11 circumference that's more reliable for us.

12 Q His trajectory of head size, if you're
13 saying he started at 60, so where in the peer review
14 published medical literature do you extrapolate
15 backwards from something that you just said is roughly
16 in the 80 percentile to something that's in the 60th
17 percentile? Can you direct us to where that backwards
18 extrapolation would be made?

19 A It was in the head growth chart.

20 Q I'm just trying to figure out where the 60
21 percent comes from, because that just doesn't appear
22 in the -- When you look at it and compare it to what's
23 right there in Dr. Menkes' textbook.

24 A We had an illustration of the measurement.
25 I thought we used it during the testimony. It was the

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2566

1 60th percentile.

2 Q So it is your testimony then that you
3 believe he was in the 60th percentile.

4 A As I mentioned, we don't rely on the head
5 circumference at birth because there are so many
6 features that influence that. The child has passed
7 through the birth canal. This can produce edema and
8 other changes. Elongation and other kinds of changes
9 that make the head circumference at birth unreliable
10 for us.

11 Q But in your expert report you describe that
12 he went from 60 to 95 percent in the first four months
13 of his life, and you implicated what sounded like a
14 very serious list of medical problems that might be
15 indicated by that.

16 Do you recall describing that in your expert
17 report?

18 A I don't think I implicated a serious
19 collection of things, but at least I don't remember
20 it, but the trajectory is important for two reasons.
21 First of all, again, we can't rely on the birth head
22 circumference because of the fact that it's after a
23 period of trauma that the child's experience. So what
24 we look for is both the rise and the fall.

25 There are a number of serious things that

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2567

1 can cause the head circumference to continue to
2 enlarge. We've tended not to worry about that too
3 much until it's greater than the 95th, 100th
4 percentile, and even there we sometimes follow it for
5 an interval.

6 But more important to us is the unexplained
7 decline after that time. So it's this hump of up and
8 down which doesn't correspond to the child's growth in
9 other ways. And children have no reason to have their
10 head get smaller. There's no explanation for such a
11 thing physiologically. So we see an increase and then
12 a decrease.

13 Q So again you're sticking to the testimony
14 that despite whatever it says in the Menkes chart and
15 on the first medical record, that it was at 60
16 percent.

17 A Again, we had a measurement that was at the
18 60th percentile. That's what I'm relying on.

19 This seems to me it was at a one month, or
20 something like that. It looked like it was oriented
21 around one month after delivery on the head
22 circumference chart.

23 Q You're not saying that this number, this 36,
24 was one month after birth.

25 A No, sir. This is at birth, I presume --

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2568

1 Q I'm just trying to keep track what record
2 you're talking about.

3 A That would be a very slow nurse.

4 Q Way beyond the standard of care.

5 A Yes, sir. But diligent, nonetheless.

6 Q Let's go ahead and pull that slide down.

7 In your experience can an encephalopathy
8 result in autistic regression?

9 A I haven't identified such a thing in any of
10 my children.

11 Q Are you familiar with any pediatricians who
12 have diagnosed a child with encephalopathy followed by
13 regressive autism?

14 A I don't know of particular cases.

15 Q Have you reviewed the literature in order to
16 identify any cases like that?

17 A There's something I might have overlooked,
18 but it's not been my experience. The definition of
19 autism in those cases is very important.

20 Q If the definition was regressive autism,
21 would that be significant?

22 A You have to know what criteria they used for
23 that diagnosis.

24 Q You mention in William Mead's records pica,
25 that you recall something in his records about him

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2569

1 eating things that were not typically food. Marbles,
2 I think it was. Do you recall at what age --

3 A Marbles or stones. I don't know which one
4 it was.

5 Q Or maybe both.

6 A It could have been both.

7 Q Do you recall at what age that behavior was
8 noted to have occurred?

9 A I don't recall, sir.

10 Q Do you recall that it was after one year of
11 age?

12 A It seemed to me that it was around one year
13 of age but I don't know that for certain.

14 Q But you can't describe anything in the
15 medical record that you base that statement on in your
16 direct testimony?

17 A I believe that's the only basis that I might
18 have had.

19 Q You also mention in Jordan's records that
20 you notice what you call splitter skills. What
21 splitter skills were you referring to again?

22 A That was the musical interest and so forth.
23 So these are the things that were described.

24 Q When did they emerge? Do you recall?

25 A I'm a bit confused, because a case that was

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2570

1 withdrawn had so much music in it. I can't remember
2 for sure.

3 Q You're the one who's testifying on these
4 individual cases, so I honestly don't know what you
5 were relying on, so that's why I'm asking you these
6 questions.

7 Do you recall that Jordan King's household,
8 both parents were musicians? Does that ring a bell?

9 A I think I do know that.

10 Q And that Jordan King helped actually build
11 marimbas which are a musical instrument the family
12 played?

13 A Now I remember. That's right. Yes.

14 Q So that's the child we're talking about.
15 That's Jordan King.

16 Q What about his musical skills do you recall
17 in terms of what skills he acquired and when he
18 acquired them?

19 A What I recollect is, again, there's another
20 child that was in this, a child that had lots of music
21 I think. But it seemed to me both the interest in
22 music and the interest in performing music was
23 something quite striking. It has to be interpreted
24 within the setting of opportunity and other genetic
25 things, which is the genetic capacity to do music, but

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2571

1 at least it represented the possibility of a splitter
2 skill.

3 Q The question was do you recall when he
4 acquired those skills?

5 A It seems to me it was quite young. It seems
6 to me it might have been at the end of the first year
7 or second year.

8 Q Did you discuss splitter skills in your
9 individual case report in Jordan King, as best you
10 recall?

11 A I don't remember whether I did or not.

12 Q Do you recall sitting there, or do you have
13 any notes that could direct us to the records where
14 you identified splitter skills and the time that they
15 appear?

16 A It seems to me it was based on videotapes.

17 Q Do you have any notes about what you were
18 referring to in the videotapes?

19 A I do have some notations, I believe, in my
20 office but I don't have them with me.

21 Q So as you sit here today you can't direct
22 the Special Masters to anything in the record that's
23 been developed in this case identifying what skills
24 might have appeared, when they appeared, and the
25 progress of those skills over time? You can't

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2572

1 identify any of that for us?

2 A Splitter skills have to be placed within a
3 context, too. And musical parents and so forth could
4 have another, both genetic and opportunistic aspect to
5 it.

6 Q I understand that. But I'm just trying to
7 get the functional, the evidentiary context I guess is
8 what I'm looking for.

9 A Yes.

10 Q And there's nothing that you can illuminate
11 beyond what you already testified to on Direct, is
12 that correct?

13 A Just my memory.

14 Q Are there environmental cases of some cases
15 of autism that you're familiar with?

16 A There are prenatal ones.

17 Q Right. So that would include Thalidomide?
18 Is that a recognized prenatal cause of autism?

19 A It doesn't really produce an autistic
20 syndrome. It produces limb shortening and motor
21 problems and other kinds of things.

22 Q So your testimony based on your recollection
23 of the literature is that Thalidomide prenatally is
24 not associated with autism?

25 A People have described an association, and

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2573

1 the question is whether that's accurate or not. I
2 haven't looked at that carefully enough to know for
3 certain.

4 Q So you don't have an opinion one way or the
5 other other than you know other people have proposed
6 it.

7 A It's on the list of things that people talk
8 about. They don't talk about it, it's listed in
9 books, et cetera.

10 Q Terbutaline exposure, prenatally?

11 A I believe that's right.

12 Q Valproic acid exposure prenatally?

13 A That's questionable. What we tend to see
14 with valproic exposure prenatally are problems of the
15 neural tube development.

16 Q Would you describe those problems as
17 manifesting symptoms of autism once the child is born?

18 A I don't know that in a carefully examined
19 child with criteria applied, that that would be the
20 case. We can see some significant brain problems in
21 children, but it tends to be a neural tube problem.

22 Q Maternal rubella. Is that associated --

23 A That's the most important one. It was a
24 considerable problem until the vaccine became
25 available.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2574

1 Q How about postnatally? Viruses that are
2 involved in the appearance of autism after a child is
3 born. Are you familiar with any instances of those?

4 A Not in my personal experience. Again it's
5 the issue of autistic features, or features people
6 might mistake for autism in association with
7 infections. So I'm not aware of such things.

8 Q Borna Virus, for example. Is that anything
9 you recall from the scientific literature that's been
10 associated at least with the appearance of autism in
11 children postnatally?

12 A Borna Virus is one of those funny things.
13 It appears in several settings. I've never seen a
14 case. I don't know, not having read the particular
15 cases, whether these are autism or not.

16 Q How about malaria? Childhood exposure to
17 malaria and associations with autism. Are you
18 familiar with any literature on that subject?

19 A I'm quite familiar with the literature on
20 childhood malaria or early infantile malaria. And it
21 doesn't produce autism.

22 Q It does or does not?

23 A It does not. It produces severe
24 encephalopathy.

25 Q Is it an encephalopathy that can later

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2575

1 present with the symptoms of autism?

2 A Autism should be excluded in such cases
3 because of the severity of the motor sensory and
4 intellectual problems.

5 Q Are there any other either prenatal or
6 postnatal environmental exposures that you would
7 associate with the appearance of autism?

8 A I suspect there may be one or two other
9 prenatal ones. I'm not aware of postnatal ones.

10 Q Would you agree that in genetic
11 predispositions or genetic susceptibilities can
12 interact with environmental exposures to produce
13 autism in some number of cases?

14 A I don't know that, other than in the
15 prenatal situation, that that happens.

16 Q In 2007, I think it was in April. April
17 17th, April 18th, 2007, the Institutes of Medicine
18 hosted a two-day meeting in Washington, D.C. on
19 environmental implications in the development of
20 autism. Are you familiar with that meeting?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Did you attend that meeting?

23 A No, sir.

24 Q Did you receive any of the materials after
25 that meeting?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2576

1 A I reviewed some of the materials after that
2 meeting.

3 Q I think the IOM actually put the proceedings
4 together in a book. They didn't do a report, but they
5 assembled things in a volume for distribution. Did
6 you review that volume?

7 A No, sir. Certain excerpts from it, but not
8 the entire thing.

9 Q Do you recall what excerpts you reviewed?

10 A This was some time ago. What I read, what I
11 can tell you was what I read suggested that it's very
12 important for us to look more carefully at the
13 possibilities and there was a considerable amount of
14 reflection on whether or not there might be the sort
15 of things that you're implying, the interaction of
16 genes and environment after birth. And people said as
17 they have frequently, with some importance, we need to
18 look.

19 Q And certainly it's a viable enough
20 possibility, scientifically and medically, that it
21 merits attention, or that it merits a look, as you
22 say. Is that correct?

23 A That's exactly what I said earlier today.
24 Theory is one thing, and doing the work to find out
25 whether it's true is another.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2577

1 Q In your discussions of regressive autism,
2 ultimately do you believe that there is a regressive
3 sub-type of autism? By that I'll define a child who
4 does not have, even retrospectively, any
5 abnormalities, who then develops at some point in the
6 second year of life, the symptoms of autism. If
7 that's the definition of regressive autism, do you
8 believe that that sub-type of autism actually exists?

9 A I don't have any such children in my large
10 population, but I/'d have to qualify that by saying
11 that in the years, in the more distant past when I
12 didn't ask enough question, it's possible I saw such a
13 thing but didn't recognize it.

14 But as I've carefully paid attention to the
15 children, I haven't seen a meaningful distinction
16 between the two groups.

17 Q One of the articles that the Petitioners
18 filed here, is Petitioner's Master Reference 154. And
19 we're going to put that on the screen. We're going to
20 look at page two -- Well, I'll give the Special
21 Masters both references.

22 The exhibit reference is page 19 of 23 on
23 Exhibit 154. The text is page 284. We're going to go
24 to page 19.

25 If you look, there's no way to read it right

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2578

1 now. We're going to blow it up. If you look at the
2 bottom quarter of the page there's a paragraph that
3 begins with italics, Rutter, down lower than that.
4 And if you look at the last two sentences of that full
5 paragraph, --

6 A Which page is that, sir?

7 Q It's page 19 of the exhibit. If you look at
8 the bottom right hand corner of the pages, Doctor,
9 you'll see page 1 of 23, 2 of 23. This is page 19 of
10 23.

11 A I have 154. Does that mean something to
12 you, sir?

13 Q That's the exhibit number. And if it helps,
14 if you look at the top left of each page there's the
15 actual manuscript number. The one I'm looking at is
16 284.

17 A That's what I'm looking at.

18 Q Okay.

19 I can tell yo, this was a symposium that was
20 recorded in 2003, and it involved a lot of experts on
21 autism who were meeting and speaking.

22 By any chance, did you attend this in 2003?

23 A No, sir.

24 Q And the paragraph that begins, "rutter", is
25 I assume Sir Michael Rutter?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2579

1 A I would presume so.

2 Q At the bottom there's a discussion going on
3 here among the participants about regressive autism.
4 He says, "There is convincing evidence that there are
5 other children who are perfectly okay for the first 18
6 months or so. What is the implication of this
7 difference and how might this be tackled?"

8 Would you agree or disagree with Sir Rutter
9 about that there is convincing evidence that there are
10 children who are autistic but are perfectly okay for
11 the first 18 months. Do you agree or disagree?

12 A The example he provides is a home movie.
13 These can be helpful to us, but it's certainly not the
14 only thing that we need. We need to ask a series of
15 important questions, as I mentioned to you. So I'm
16 not convinced by this observation. Had I been there
17 and had I been motivated to do so I would have asked
18 what questions were asked of this family.

19 Q He says the home movies are just an example,
20 because earlier on in that paragraph he says that it's
21 well documented that in perhaps a quarter of cases
22 there is regression. Do you agree with Sir Michael
23 that in about a quarter of the well documented cases
24 there's evidence of regression?

25 A I've tended to rely on my own experience in

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2580

1 these matters, especially when I've devoted the
2 attention that I do to these things, and to say I
3 don't see it, but perhaps it happens in Britain. I
4 don't know.

5 Q Fair enough. I was just asking if you
6 agreed with his observation and your answer is that in
7 your experience you do not agree with his observation,
8 is that fair?

9 A It's not been my experience.

10 Q Have you reviewed the scientific literature
11 to explore this issue of whether or not regressive
12 autism can appear after a sustained period of
13 completely normal development? You've described your
14 experience, but have you reviewed the literature to
15 see what other people have assessed in terms of this
16 phenomenon.

17 A I have, sir. It hasn't been comprehensive,
18 but it's been a pretty wide review.

19 Q In talking about astrocytes, shifting gears
20 again, going from regression to astrocytes.
21 Astrocytes among the functions they perform in the
22 brain, do they absorb excess glutamate? Extra
23 cellular glutamate?

24 A They do. It's a very important function.
25 And then they recycle it as glutamine.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2581

1 Q So there's sort of a cycle there and the
2 astrocytes are important to mediating that cycle, is
3 that right?

4 A They're in the midst of at least eight or
5 nine cycles of that sort.

6 Q Another thing they do is they, as I
7 understand it, generate glutathione as an antioxidant
8 for use by the neurons.

9 A Or themselves if they need it.

10 Q My understanding also, and correct me if I'm
11 wrong, is that the neurons typically don't produce
12 very much if any of their own glutathione, is that
13 right?

14 A Nor do oligodendrocytes.

15 Q Which is another form of the glial cells.

16 A Yes, sir.

17 Q Oligodendrocytes, those are the glials that
18 do the myelin sheathing, correct?

19 A Yes, sir.

20 Q So you has astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and
21 microglia.

22 When you say oligo in some of your slides,
23 are you talking about oligodendrocytes?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q Okay. I'll use that term. It will be a

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2582

1 little bit easier.

2 So the oligos deal with myelin sheathing
3 primarily. Is that their main function?

4 A That's their main function, that's correct.

5 Q And the microglia serve as the part of the
6 brain's innate immune system, sort of the phagocytes
7 or macrophage function in the brain.

8 A Many of us feel we haven't begun to
9 understand the functions of the microglial cells
10 because they're so various, and especially in their
11 pathological expression in conditions where there are
12 various kinds of inflammation. We don't yet
13 understand exactly what they do some of the time, but
14 yes indeed, they're involved not only in innate but
15 reactive immunity.

16 Q And in some of the research that's looking
17 into those there's particular focus on the effect that
18 metals have on microglial cells in the brain. I think
19 it's University of Southern Mississippi, they're
20 looking at molybdenum. Are you familiar with any of
21 that work?

22 A I thought that work was out of Tennessee,
23 but --

24 Q Tennessee, yeah. And manganese is being
25 looked at.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2583

1 A Manganese has been looked at, especially
2 those heavy metals with regard to extrapyramidal
3 diseases.

4 Q Mercury has been examined, at least in
5 primates, correct?

6 A Yes, sir. It certainly has.

7 Q Are you familiar with the studies that have
8 been subject to an awful lot of conversation in these
9 hearings so far, the adult monkey studies by Dr.
10 Charleston and Dr. Burbacher and their group in the
11 University of Washington?

12 A Yes, sir. I certainly am.

13 Q Is it your understanding of those studies,
14 involving again the adult monkeys, that upon
15 administration of methyl mercury, those studies showed
16 that inorganic mercury was deposited in the brains of
17 those adult monkeys after exposure to methyl mercury.
18 Do you recall that?

19 A Methyl and ethyl and inorganic itself were
20 administered.

21 Q We're talking about the adult money studies.
22 I'll represent to you that the adult, because this is
23 again, really, it's not a quiz. I just want to get
24 your understanding. The adult money studies were
25 methyl mercury and inorganic mercury exposure. We're

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2584

1 not talking about the ethyl yet that will come with
2 the infant monkeys.

3 A Certainly I know that inorganic mercury in
4 particular was administered intravenously. And that's
5 right.

6 Q Your understanding would be that the methyl
7 mercury that was administered to the adult monkeys
8 eventually ended up, some fraction of that, in the
9 monkeys' brains as inorganic mercury, Hg⁺⁺. Is that
10 your recollection?

11 A They're not the only people to have
12 demonstrated that. And as I mentioned in my
13 discussion, methyl mercury and ethyl mercury both go
14 to inorganic mercury.

15 Q The inorganic mercury in the brains of those
16 adult monkeys tended to, it was predominantly found in
17 microglia and astrocytes, correct?

18 A Yes, sir. That's right.

19 Q It was found in neurons but at much much
20 lower levels than in the glial cells, correct?

21 A Yes, sir.

22 Q And they found pathological evidence of
23 activated microglia.

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q Proliferation of microglia.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2585

1 A Yes, sir.

2 Q So that means both the microglia that were
3 there had changed shape, they sort of had that amoeba
4 shape, and their morphology actually changed, and they
5 could see that, correct?

6 A Yes, sir.

7 Q And there were more of them. So when I say
8 proliferated, there were actually more of them and
9 they were in a different shape than they would have
10 been when they were quiescent, correct?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q The astrocytes showed evidence of inorganic
13 mercury content and the numbers of astrocytes in the
14 later exposed groups were lower. Do you recall that?

15 A I don't recall that piece of information.

16 Q But some of the astrocytes in some of the
17 monkeys showed decreased numbers of astrocytes at the
18 end when the monkeys were sacrificed.

19 A It may be true. This is a difficult
20 problem, though, in terms of counting numbers. We
21 talked about this in relationship to markers such as
22 GFAP. But I don't remember that at this point, but
23 I'm sure it must be true if you say so.

24 Q If only everything I say can be so reliable.
25 I try to do the best I can, but that's what we're

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2586

1 talking about with these studies.

2 Now in the 2005 monkey study, is this the
3 one in your recollection that involved the infant
4 monkeys where they got Thimerosal containing vaccines?
5 Do you remember that study by Dr. Burbacher?

6 A Yes, sir. I do. I don't remember all the
7 details, but I certainly remember the study.

8 Q Would you understand that study to show that
9 ethyl mercury exposure via Thimerosal containing
10 vaccines resulted in the deposition of inorganic
11 mercury in the brains of the infant monkeys?

12 A Yes, sir. I do recall that.

13 Q Do you also recall that a greater fraction
14 of ethyl mercury ended up as inorganic mercury in the
15 brain than did the percentage of methyl mercury end up
16 in the --

17 A By a factor of 2.1 to 1 or something like
18 that. Yes.

19 Q So in the adult money studies, inorganic
20 mercury in the brain was associated with an
21 inflammatory process of some kind.

22 A That's the right way to put it.

23 Q Then in the infant monkey study, and I just
24 don't know if you're following the progress of the
25 work that the group is doing, but only half the brains

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2587

1 were actually examined in the paper that came out in
2 2005, and the other half of the brains, there's been
3 testimony about this. I don't know if you've heard
4 any of the testimony. That they're looking to
5 identify whether the inorganic mercury from the
6 Thimerosal containing vaccines in infants ended up in
7 particular cells in the brain. Are you aware of that
8 anticipated publication?

9 A No, sir. I wasn't aware of that.

10 Q So the adult monkey studies and the baby
11 monkey studies together, if this other study came out
12 showing that the inorganic mercury derived from
13 Thimerosal containing vaccines actually ended up in
14 glial cells, particularly astrocytes and microglia,
15 that might provide evidence of a neuroinflammatory
16 process at least in an infant primate. Correct?

17 A As I say, of a process, what that's caused
18 by and what it's directed at of course is unknown.

19 Q Would you agree that neuro inflammation is
20 being considered as a possible cause of some forms of
21 autism in some children?

22 A I'm aware that is among the things that Dr.
23 Kinsbourne has considered, for example.

24 Q Would you agree that it is among the things
25 that the Vargas/Pardo/Zimmerman group at Johns Hopkins

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2588

1 is considering?

2 A It is one of the things that they have
3 considered, that's correct.

4 Q And they're considering it seriously enough
5 that they're even looking into potential studies
6 involving the administration of anti-inflammatories as
7 a therapeutic response to the possibility that
8 neuroinflammation might be associated with autism
9 symptoms. Is that correct?

10 A I hadn't been aware that they planned to do
11 that, but it seems like a very interesting thing to
12 do.

13 Q You mentioned in one of your early slides,
14 it wasn't a reference to a particular study by Dr.
15 Courchesne?

16 A Yes, sir.

17 Q But I did want to refer to one that has been
18 introduced into evidence here, and this is
19 Petitioner's Exhibit 104. Again, I don't know if
20 you've listened in on any of the proceedings, but if
21 you have this is another one of those studies that has
22 been cited and discussed several times.

23 If you look on the monitor, do you see a
24 paper there called "Autism at the beginning" and then
25 it goes on with a longer subtitle?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2589

1 A Yes, sir. I do see it.

2 Q We're going to look to page 584 of the text
3 there. For the transcript and for the Special
4 Masters, this is page eight of the exhibit. Text page
5 584 of the study.

6 If you can find that page, Doctor, and then
7 look up to me so I know that you've found that page.
8 Okay. And I'm going to quickly ask you to look back
9 down at the page and look at the bottom right hand
10 corner, the last full paragraph. And it goes on to
11 the next page. From page 584 to 585, or from exhibit
12 page 8 to exhibit page 9.

13 A What am I meant to do?

14 Q We're going to pause here for a technical
15 moment to get this in front of you so it's readable.

16 What I'm going to do is ask you to read that
17 and I'm going to have a question for you.

18 A I'd have to start before that, of course.

19 Q I'm just going to -- Wait until you hear the
20 question. You may be able to answer it just based on
21 this section.

22 A Am I meant to read or listen to it?

23 Q Have you had a chance to read that
24 highlighted paragraph?

25 A No, sir. I just got it.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2590

1 Q Okay. Go ahead and read it.

2 (Pause).

3 A I finished that portion, sir.

4 Q Okay. So my question is, do you agree that
5 some of these neuronal changes that take place in the
6 brains of autistics, might be as Dr. Courchesne says,
7 citing to the Vargas group, are these things that
8 could be triggered by adverse events such as those
9 that ignite the neuroinflammatory reaction? Would you
10 agree with that statement that adverse events such as
11 those that can ignite neuroinflammation can explain
12 some of the pathological changes in the brains of
13 autistic people?

14 A Well, it's one of several possible
15 explanations.

16 MR. POWERS: I have no further questions.

17 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

18 Any Redirect?

19 MR. MATANOSKI: Yes, there will be. But if
20 we could take the afternoon break at this time.

21 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: It's getting
22 close to time. I have 4:36. How long would you like?

23 MR. MATANOSKI: Five after? would that be
24 permissible?

25 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: A half hour?

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2591

1 MR. MATANOSKI: I'm sorry, I meant to run to
2 the next five.

3 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: I'm glad I'm not the
4 only one that has trouble with math.

5 (Laughter).

6 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Fifteen
7 minutes. Let's, if we round up to 4:40. Let's come
8 back at 4:55. Just shy of 5:00 o'clock.

9 MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you.

10 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

11 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken).

12 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Please be
13 seated as quickly as you can.

14 And just a housekeeping note that during our
15 break, if you might step away from the microphones
16 it's like backstage. Excitement and revelation on the
17 microphones. We're still live. So just a note to
18 all, stay away from the live microphones during
19 recesses.

20 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Unless you want
21 your conversation to go --

22 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: To be
23 broadcast.

24 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Broadcast to us back
25 in Chambers.

ROBERT S. RUST - CROSS

2592

1 MR. POWERS: Is this a podcast --
2 (Laughter).

3 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Ms.
4 Esposito, are you ready to conduct Redirect?

5 MS. ESPOSITO: Yes, thank you.

6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MS. ESPOSITO:

8 Q Dr. Rust, if Dr. Kinsbourne's hypothesis is
9 true, would it apply to regressive and classic autism
10 alike?

11 A It certainly should. It perhaps should
12 apply more to the classic variety because it does seem
13 to be greater early vulnerability.

14 Q When you said before that you did not see a
15 meaningful distinction between the classic and
16 regressive autism, and this was in reference to the
17 slide that opposing counsel put up from Dr. Rutter,
18 can you explain what you meant by that?

19 A It's what I discussed earlier with regard to
20 the early history of the child and the ensuing outcome
21 and the appearance of the child at a particular age.
22 There is a difference, of course, because the parents
23 are telling us that the child's lost skills and that
24 seems to happen at a variety of ages and with no clear
25 association with any particular life circumstance.

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2593

1 So there are children that seem to lose
2 something that they acquired previously.

3 Q You were asked about the Charleston adult
4 monkey study. Do you recall that?

5 A I was asked about it, yes.

6 Q In that study there were very large doses of
7 inorganic mercury given to the monkeys, is that right?

8 A They seemed to me to be very large, and not
9 only very large but given very repetitively over a
10 long interval.

11 Q Do you recall if there were any clinical
12 symptoms that resulted from the monkeys being given
13 large doses?

14 A So far as I know there are no description of
15 any clinical deterioration in the monkeys until the
16 time they're sacrificed.

17 Q Nothing that resembled autism that you
18 recall from that article?

19 A No. I don't think there was anything.

20 Q If you could assume that inorganic causes of
21 glial activation would deposit, let me rephrase that.

22 If there were other exposures to mercury in
23 a patient's life, if they're otherwise exposed to
24 different types of mercury, would you see any
25 difference in the glial activation from one type of

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2594

1 mercury over another type of mercury?

2 A No. The difference demonstrated in those
3 studies with regard to the amount of mercury
4 accumulating over a short interval, I might say, in
5 those studies, from the breakdown of ethyl as compared
6 to methyl mercury, I think it's 2.1 to 1 or something
7 like that. Given the doses it's not particularly
8 meaningful. It's a difference, but it's perhaps not a
9 meaningful one. Then the question is if we waited
10 over a longer interval, since the presumption would be
11 that methyl mercury taking a little longer to break
12 down would ultimately equal the deposit of the
13 inorganic mercury, it shouldn't be any different. And
14 we also had the additional important contributions
15 environmentally to all of us with regard to mercury.

16 So in comparison to those environmental and
17 especially in comparison to the amount of mercury in
18 vaccines, for example, the doses given, especially to
19 those adult macaques were astronomical and daily for I
20 think three months.

21 Q If one were to suppose that inorganic
22 mercury were the cause of autism, could you say for a
23 certainty that it was from the vaccine or any vaccines
24 given to that person?

25 A No, because again we have these other

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2595

1 exposures.

2 Q With regard to the Vargas article, do you
3 know if that group concluded that neuro inflammation
4 was the cause of autism?

5 A No, they didn't. They simply described a
6 change that they observed by somewhat indirect
7 methodology and whether that was of a response that
8 was protective or a response that was something other
9 than that is not known.

10 But one certainly must think about the
11 possibility that if it's representative, an issue
12 where the nervous system was being challenged in some
13 way, it might well be protective. It could be related
14 to architectural changes, could be related to other
15 things. So there are lots of possibilities. Maybe
16 more refinement in technique is very important in
17 those kinds of studies, as with others.

18 MS. ESPOSITO: Thank you.

19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any Recross?

20 MR. POWERS: No Recross.

21 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

22 I believe my colleagues have some questions.

23 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: I do, and I'll try
24 to be clear, Dr. Rust.

25 If we take your figure of 90 percent

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2596

1 concordance in identical twins in terms of autism in
2 one twin and significant autistic like symptoms in the
3 other twin even if they don't reach the diagnosis of
4 autism. That's the 90 percent figure from your slide.

5 THE WITNESS: I think the 90 percent was
6 referring to a genetic contribution estimated.

7 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Okay. and we've
8 heard in other testimony or in articles that we've
9 read, a concordance, a different concordance, but
10 let's say there's a 60 percent to 90 percent
11 concordance rate. Those seem to be the ranges we've
12 heard.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: How do you account
15 for the other ten percent, if we're looking at what
16 appears to be a strongly genetic explanation?

17 THE WITNESS: That's a very important
18 question. One would expect to see the disease express
19 itself in both children.

20 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Like Huntington's,
21 for example.

22 THE WITNESS: With identical twins. That's
23 right. That's typically the way things present
24 themselves.

25 So it's a little puzzling, as I, it's more

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2597

1 than a little puzzling, and it's an important
2 question. Other factors seem to influence risk and
3 perhaps they're not yet fully understood. If the
4 genetic trait were to come from a particular parent,
5 one would still presume that the imprinting effect
6 would be the same on both children. If the genetic
7 trait were passed on to both children by the same
8 father.

9 There can be some differences in gene dose
10 between children as I understand it. It's not an area
11 I know a great deal about.

12 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: So we should address
13 this to a geneticist, perhaps.

14 THE WITNESS: I think you'll get a more
15 reliable answer.

16 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Let me just ask this
17 question, and you may not know.

18 I understand that Rett's is a genetic
19 defect.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Special
21 Master.

22 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Ma'am is all right.

23 You've drawn parallels between brain
24 abnormalities in Rett's children and behavior in
25 Rett's children and behavior in brain abnormalities

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2598

1 and ASD kids, among many other parallels you drew.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, Special Master.

3 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Is Rett's 100
4 percent concordant?

5 THE WITNESS: I believe that it is, but I'm
6 not sure. It's another important question, especially
7 relative to the prior question. But I believe that
8 that's true.

9 The counseling in these matters is done by
10 geneticists and I may be wrong on that point.

11 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Assume for the
12 purposes of this question that the loss of language or
13 the loss of words is real in some percentage of what
14 we call regressive autistic children. What would
15 account for that loss of words? Is there anything you
16 are aware of?

17 THE WITNESS: It would seem to me, it's the
18 same thing that accounts for it, it's likely to be
19 something similar to what accounts for it in Rett's
20 syndrome because that's what we see in the little
21 girls as well.

22 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: The loss of words.

23 THE WITNESS: They have words, and then they
24 disappear overnight. Or seemingly overnight. That's
25 among the things that the model, it is hoped will give

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2599

1 us some understanding of. But it's quite a striking
2 phenomenon, so it does happen in Rett's.

3 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: But we don't know
4 yet what causes it in Rett's.

5 THE WITNESS: Not so far as I know. It's an
6 area developing so rapidly that almost by the week or
7 the month we get something new.

8 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: You talked about the
9 phasic, the sine curve of the generation. Do you have
10 any idea what generates that?

11 THE WITNESS: I probably was saying that
12 confusingly. I was speaking about life itself. It
13 goes up and down. We see this all the time, whether
14 it's headaches or epilepsy or behavior or other kinds
15 of things.

16 The point I was trying to make there is that
17 when the problems are great and we start some
18 treatment and they get better, we're willing to take
19 the credit for it. And then when they get -- We see
20 this in epilepsy all the time. Things get worse and
21 we give a higher dose and they seem to get better. We
22 do this for a while, and then we see the pattern goes
23 on even when we're at high doses.

24 This is not everybody, but it's some people.
25 Then we begin to realize that sometimes life just does

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2600

1 that and perhaps we shouldn't take credit sometimes.

2 So certain treatments if administered to
3 somebody, even if we think it's outrageous and is
4 outrageous, it may appear to produce an effect that's
5 valuable. Then if we see that it comes and it goes
6 like that, whether it's our orthodox treatments or the
7 ones we regard as unorthodox, we really need to sit
8 back and figure out what it is we're really doing with
9 those children. Then we need to assign, in a
10 carefully designed group, the odds of making a child
11 better to say look, we really know what we're doing
12 with this because we can so much increase the
13 likelihood this child will not have this or another
14 problem.

15 When we do such studies, such as we do for
16 drugs for very severe epilepsy, this is a particularly
17 important comparison that we see with one of the worst
18 kinds of seizures that occur in early childhood called
19 Lennox-Gastaut. We see about a 50 percent likelihood
20 that a very good drug is going to decrease the number
21 of seizures meaningfully. In those studies a placebo
22 does so in about 15 percent.

23 So we've got to be careful in two
24 directions. One is we've got to consider the
25 possibility that something outrageous might be true,

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2601

1 and we've got to consider the possibility that whether
2 it's our treatment or other people's treatment, the
3 report of improvement may simply be related to this
4 change over time.

5 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: My question was a
6 little different than that, but let me follow up on
7 that.

8 When we're looking at something like Lennox-
9 Gastaut, we're looking at a discernible event, a
10 seizure. In most cases you can tell whether someone
11 is having a seizure or not, particularly in that
12 syndrome, correct?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, Special Master.

14 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: It's not the type of
15 seizure you need to put them on an EEG in order to see
16 it.

17 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

18 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: And we have a
19 placebo effect there.

20 THE WITNESS: We seem to. Again, whether
21 it's things going in the opposite direction or things
22 are just getting better for that child, which is the
23 likely explanation.

24 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: And when you are
25 dealing with more subtle behavioral concerns, then you

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2602

1 introduce an element of possible reporting bias.

2 THE WITNESS: It makes it very troublesome.

3 There is some reporting bias problem likely when we're
4 doing those seizure studies and somebody's hopeful for
5 an improvement and the counting of seizures may not be
6 quite so diligent. We don't know that to be true, but
7 we do see this in terms of treating behavior for
8 children with early childhood behavior disorders,
9 attention deficit and so forth. We seem to see more
10 positive reports when the teacher's aware of the
11 treatment as compared to not being aware of the
12 treatment. Everybody wants them to get better.

13 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Let me go back to my
14 earlier question then. What I heard you say in the
15 Hazlehurst trial was something to do with switching
16 from one part of the brain to another at various types
17 of -- In other words when we're born our brain is
18 functioning at a very primitive level. Other parts of
19 our brain come on-line as we grow. That I think is
20 illustrated by your slide that took the brain from
21 birth to --

22 THE WITNESS: Exactly.

23 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: So is that
24 considered one of the explanations for loss of skills
25 or regression?

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2603

1 THE WITNESS: It is. The idea that, and
2 that was the point I was attempting to make. We have
3 these ensuing signals that over time turn on or turn
4 off a particular gene. For that matter, that
5 responsible turn-on other sorts of things. It
6 happens, such as activation of cells that are formed
7 in the elaboration or elimination of arborization or
8 connections of various sorts.

9 So these things, some of the most striking
10 observations have to do with this issue of brain
11 growth at different intervals and why in the world
12 that's taking place.

13 I mentioned that with regard to autism in
14 the first year of life. Wonderful studies that were
15 done quite a few years ago showed that with early
16 adolescence brain size increases rather dramatically
17 within what space is available in the skull at 13, 14,
18 15 years of age, followed by a stage during which that
19 then goes away. This is likely, all the developmental
20 changes that mark adolescence, the good and the bad of
21 it, and things get reorganized and arranged. It may
22 take some kids longer than others, but things come
23 back on-line with regard to different kinds of control
24 and so forth, and people discover what they want to do
25 in the mean time, so that goes way back to studies at

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2604

1 the NIH that Charlie Kennedy did back in the '70s.

2 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Does this switching
3 have to have an external trigger? Can the trigger be
4 in the gene itself?

5 Obviously in adolescents you have some
6 triggers, hormonal changes that may influence that or
7 may not. But I'm looking at, thinking of Huntington's
8 where there does not appear to be an external trigger.
9 It appears to be an internal trigger.

10 THE WITNESS: That's a very correct
11 observation.

12 Things such as hormones can play a
13 particularly important role. So hormonal changes for
14 women in the second decade, aspects of immune
15 function, brain function and vulnerability may change
16 with regard to the endocrine axis changes and do
17 change in favor of having the ability to have
18 children. This is a change in both the immune system
19 and endocrine system.

20 AS to whether external things modify these
21 things, this is very tantalizing for people to
22 understand. We know that with regard to the visual
23 system, visual stimuli in training the system. It not
24 only can train it but it can change the way it
25 functions based on visual changes.

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2605

1 So if somebody puts on glasses that invert
2 their vision and keep them on, the system will turn it
3 back over again, so something happens to modify and we
4 don't understand it. It's been known for a long time.

5 Functions that are apropos of the particular
6 developing system likely can make a big difference.
7 We know this with regard to music so children that
8 have musical experience to a considerable degree
9 before eight or nine years of age, have enlargement of
10 the plana temprali on the non-dominant side, which is
11 the enlargement that accounts for perfect pitch, which
12 is a mixture of probably both of genes and experience.

13 So some kinds of things can do this, but
14 it's probably not every environmental stimulus.

15 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: And I have one final
16 question. You talked about several treatments for
17 autism that are touted on the internet or other places
18 that you do not consider effective. You consider
19 there is no evidence for them to be effective.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, Special Master.

21 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: You did not address
22 one that we've heard a great deal about and that's the
23 gluten-free, casein-free diet.

24 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's been around for
25 quite a while. This is related to the recurring issue

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2606

1 of leaky gut, and called various things over time.
2 The concept largely dismissed by specialists in the
3 area, but the gluten-free diet is tried for these
4 things.

5 We know a little bit about gluten as causing
6 neurological problems very rarely, and we know that
7 there are occasional individuals that develop
8 unsteadiness because of gluten. And we know there are
9 some people with migraines who have a worsening
10 migraine with gluten. But with a gluten-free diet in
11 those individuals, we've tried it. We never see the
12 headaches going away entirely and we don't know
13 whether the modest improvement that takes place is
14 pharmacological or psychological. But we do know that
15 in certain individuals we can see some unsteadiness.

16 Still there are people that might argue we
17 don't know this for absolute certainty with regard to
18 gluten and ataxia, and they'd be right. We don't know
19 for absolutely certain.

20 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: It sounds like
21 you're not rejecting that one out of hand as having
22 some impact on neurological improvement.

23 THE WITNESS: My own experience has been
24 that we don't see any benefit in the cases that come
25 to me, which has never included one of those cases

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2607

1 where ataxia seems to result from --

2 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: I think that's all
3 my questions. Thank you very much, Dr. Rust.

4 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much, Special
5 Master.

6 MR. POWERS: I did have a follow-up.

7 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: I think we've got
8 some more questions.

9 MR. POWERS: I'm sorry.

10 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: I'm not the only one
11 with questions this time.

12 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: I just have a
13 couple. One was a follow-up on your description, I
14 think you called it a sine curve, the curve in
15 response to Special Master Vowel's questions, you
16 mentioned that that's the way life goes in general.

17 Did you also say earlier that that applies
18 to the symptomology of autism? That there are natural
19 fluctuations. Was that the implication of what you
20 were saying?

21 THE WITNESS: I raised the analogy with
22 regard to treatments and whether they're effective,
23 but I think as with all people, individuals that have
24 autistic features, have things that go up and down
25 over time. This can be a very difficult problem,

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2608

1 especially in the second decade of life with regard to
2 how we treat things. Adolescents, on top of other
3 things, seems to make some management problems so very
4 difficult. And because we still have a great deal to
5 learn about what's going on at that point without
6 coming to some really glib conclusion about why these
7 things happen.

8 But it seems to me that they do go up and
9 down. So we're especially helped by the fact that the
10 mothers, typically the mothers of these individuals,
11 become so very good at sorting things out, and very
12 observant. So often as with many difficult problems,
13 the fathers end up leaving.

14 We try to map these things out over an
15 interval so we can see between the mother and myself,
16 if we're really making a difference, if we're making
17 things worse, and see where we get.

18 Sometimes we need to bring the young man
19 into the monitoring unit to see whether we can
20 identify something electrical or something else that
21 might be causing problems. And in that way we've come
22 to have some better understanding of certain things
23 that happen.

24 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: The other
25 question, I want you to clarify for me, in Slide 56

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2609

1 and probably a couple of other slides in that same
2 range, you used the term "classic autism". Tell me
3 what you, how you define "classic autism".

4 THE WITNESS: Typically we define classic
5 autism as a child that manifests the disease from
6 early on, and typically in isolation from a particular
7 identifiable cause. Those are the children that we
8 tend to call classic. They've been called that
9 because they have so many features that satisfy the
10 diagnostic criteria. And because they haven't
11 experienced an obvious regression.

12 The difficulty with those children, since
13 we're identifying them very early on, is it may be
14 more difficult to identify something regressive in the
15 first year of life, although I don't think it's that
16 difficult usually.

17 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Let me interrupt
18 you because I think you answered the question. You're
19 making a distinction there between classic versus
20 regressive. Someone that didn't regress.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes, Special Master.

22 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Are those both
23 subsets of autistic disorder? The narrow category?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. That's how they
25 used.

ROBERT S. RUST - REDIRECT

2610

1 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Thanks. That's
2 all the questions I have.

3 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Special Master.

4 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I think my
5 range of questions has been touched upon.

6 Thank you, Dr. Rust.

7 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Special Master.

8 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Mr. Powers?

9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. POWERS:

11 Q Just a couple of quick questions, Doctor, to
12 follow up on what Special Master Vowell was asking
13 about with triggers. The finely tuned sequence of
14 genetic on and off switches that are going on.

15 In that finely tuned orchestration of
16 genetic signals, is it possible for environmental
17 factors to interfere first with the activation of the
18 gene's message itself? Is that possible? Can an
19 external factor switch off a gene that was going to
20 switch on, or switch on a gene that was going to
21 switch off at a particular time? Can that happen?

22 A This is the sort of theory that's raised
23 with regard to rubella embryopathy.

24 Q Can it happen?

25 A It's possible. And the same thing with

ROBERT S. RUST - RE CROSS

2611

1 regard to cerebellar abnormalities in premature
2 children, also prenatally.

3 Q An extension of that question would be
4 assuming the genetic signal goes at the right time,
5 whether it's an on signal or an off signal, there's
6 going to be something physical in the body reacting to
7 that. Neurons migrating, for example.

8 Assuming the genetic signal gets sent, can
9 an environmental factor intervene to prevent the
10 genetic signal from being effectuated physiologically?

11 A I don't know of a particular example,
12 especially after birth. It's possible, I reckon, but
13 usually those kinds of interferences, when we
14 understand them, have to do with some post-
15 transcriptional modification that also seems to be
16 explained by the working out of a genetic code.

17 Q And its potential effect on any symptoms
18 would depend on the timing, I assume. So that if
19 there was a signal that was going to turn an event in
20 the brain on or off at a particular time, if there was
21 an environmental effect that interfered with that, the
22 symptoms might be different depending on when that
23 happened.

24 A I think that is possibly correct.

25 MR. POWERS: No further questions.

ROBERT S. RUST - RECROSS

2612

1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.

2 Any further questions from Respondent?

3 MS. ESPOSITO: No, thank you.

4 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: any further
5 questions?

6 I think that concludes, Dr. Rust, you may be
7 excused. That concludes our proceedings for today.

8 (Witness excused).

9 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Mr.
10 Matanoski, are we schedule for tomorrow to hear from
11 two witnesses?

12 MR. MATANOSKI: Yes, ma'am, we are.

13 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: And we're on
14 a schedule to commence again at, returning to our 9:00
15 a.m. time?

16 MR. MATANOSKI: Yes, ma'am, we are.

17 MR. POWERS: A quick question. I don't know
18 if the doctor would be included in the first question.

19 One, the reference in the slides to one of
20 his articles, a 1991 article? I've looked at his
21 report, I can't see it cited. And we looked through
22 the Respondent's exhibit list and don't see any
23 article with Dr. Rust as the lead author cited.

24 So we would just request that the relevant
25 article that's addressed in the slides be filed and

ROBERT S. RUST - RE CROSS

2613

1 give us a chance to take a look at it.

2 Also, we conferred about his yesterday or
3 the day before, we requested that Professor Rutter's
4 books that are cited in his report substantively be
5 produced so that we can review those in preparation
6 for his cross-examination. We haven't seen the books
7 yet. We just wanted to see when we would expect to
8 see those presented for our preparation for his cross-
9 examination.

10 MR. MATANOSKI: As to the former issue,
11 we'll be happy to get Dr. Rust's article. The reason
12 why it wasn't submitted was that it was responding to
13 the late-developed theory here.

14 Now with respect to books mentioned in Dr.
15 Rutter's report, we're trying to track those down. To
16 the extent we do obtain them we will be providing
17 them. Of course we received notice of this matter
18 over the weekend, and that's made it a little, as
19 opposed to at the time the reference list was
20 provided. Those were textbooks or books, and rather
21 than trying to reproduce entire books we were, I guess
22 one would figure, just as with Dr. Greenland's Modern
23 Epidemiology, we didn't expect that to be produced by
24 the Petitioners.

25 But we are trying to obtain them. However,

ROBERT S. RUST - RECROSS

2614

1 there are many, and we don't have all of them
2 unfortunately.

3 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: All right then.
4 Since I'll be presiding tomorrow, may I inquire as to
5 how long -- We're not going to have another short day
6 I hope tomorrow.

7 MR. MATANOSKI: No, ma'am. I don't believe
8 so.

9 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: All right.

10 MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you.

11 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Anything
12 else?

13 We are adjourned.

14 (Whereupon, at 5:25 p.m., the hearing in the
15 above-entitled matter was recessed, to reconvene at
16 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 22, 2008.)

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

2615/2685

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

DOCKET NO.: 03-584V; 03-215V
CASE TITLE: In Re: Claims for Vaccine Injuries
Resulting in Autism Spectrum Disorder
or a Similar Neurodevelopmental
Disorder
HEARING DATE: May 21, 2008
LOCATION: Washington, D.C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the United States Court of Federal Claims.

Date: May 21, 2008

Christina Chesley
Official Reporter
Heritage Reporting Corporation
Suite 600
1220 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-4018

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888